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## Miccellaneous Reflections.

## MISCELLANY I.

## C H A P. I.

Of the Nature, Rife, and Eftablijb ment of Miscellanys.The Subject of thefe rebich follow. Intention of the Writer.

PEACE be with the Soul of that charitable and courteous Author, who for the common Benefit of his Fellow-Authors, introduc'd the ingenious way of Miscelianeous Vol. 3.

Mifc. I. Writing!-It muft be own'd that fince this happy Method was eftablifh'd, the Harveft of Wit has been more plentiful, and the Labourers more in number than heretofore. 'Tis well known to the able Practitioners in the writing Art; "That " as eafy as it is to conceive Wit, 'tis the " hardeft thing imaginable to be deliver'd " of It, upon certain Terms." Nothing cou'd be more fevere or rigid than the Conditions formerly prefcrib'd to Writers; when Criticism took place, and Regularity and Order were thought effential in a Treatife. The Notion of a genuine Work, a legitimate and juft Piece, has certainly been the Occafion of great Timidity and Backwardnefs among the Adventurers in Wit: And the Impofition of fuch ftrict Laws and Rules of Compofition, has fet heavy on the free Spirits and forward Genius's of Mankind. 'Twas a Yoke, it feems, which our Forefathers bore; but which, for our parts, we have generoufly thrown off. In effect, the invidious DiAtinctions of Baftardy and Legitimacy being at length remov'd; the natural and lawful Iffue of the Brain comes with like advantage into the World: And Wit (mere Wit) is well receiv'd; without examination of the Kind, or cenfure of the Form.

This the Miscelianeous Manner of Writing, it muft be own'd, has hap-
pily effected. It has render'd almoft every Ch. I. Soil productive. It has difclos'd thofe various Seeds of Wit, which lay fupprefs'd in many a Bofom; and has rear'd numberlefs Conceits and curious Fancys, which the natural Rudenefs and Afperity of their native Soil wou'd have with-held, or at leaft not have permitted to rife above the ground. From every Field, from every Hedge or Hillock, we now gather as delicious Fruits and fragrant Flowers, as of old from the richeft and beft-cultivated Gardens. Miferable were thofe antient Planters, who underitanding not how to conform themfelves to the rude Tafte of unpolifh'd Mankind, made it fo difficult a Tafk to ferve the World with intellectual Entertainments, and furnifh out the Repafts of Literature and Science.

There was certainly a time when the Name of Author ftood for fomething confiderable in the World. To fucceed happily in fuch a Labour as that of writing a Treatife or a Poem, was taken as a fure mark of Underftanding and Good Senfe, The Task was painful: But, it feems, 'twas honourable. How the Cafe happen'd, in procefs of time, to be fo much revers'd, is hard to fay. The primitive $\mathrm{Au}-$ thors perhaps being few in number, and highly refpected for their Art, fell under the weight of Envy. Being fenfible of their

Mifc. 1.their Misfortune in this refpect, and being excited, as 'tis probable, by the Example of fome popular Genius; they quitted their regular Schemes and accurate Forms of Workmanhip, in favour of thofe Wits who could not poffibly be receiv'd as Au Th ors upon fuch difficult Terms. 'Twas neceffary, it feems, that the Bottom of Wit fhou'd be enlarg'd. 'Twas advifable that more Hands fhou'd be taken into the Work. And nothing cou'd better ferve this popular purpofe, than the way of Misceliany, or common EssAy; in which the moft confus'd Head, if fraught with a little Invention, and provided with Common-place-Book Learning, might exert itfelf to as much advantage, as the moft orderly and well-fectled Judgment.

To explain the better how this Revolution in Letters has been effected, it may not perhaps be indecent, fhou'd we offer to compare our Writing-Artifts, to the Manufacturers in Stuff or Silk. For among Thefe 'tis efteem'd a principal piece of Skill, to frame a Pattern, or Plan of Workmanmip, in which the feveral Colour's are agreeably difpos'd; with fuch proportionable Adjuftment of the various Figures and Devices, as may, in the whole, create a kind of Harmony to the Eye. According to this Method, each Piece muft be, in reality, an Original. For

To copy what has gone before, can be of Ch. I. no ufe. The Fraud wou'd cafily be per- $\sim^{\sim}$ ceiv'd. On the other fide, to work originally, and in a manner create each time anew, muft be a matter of preffing weight, and fitted to the Strength and Capacity of none befides the choiceft Workmen.

A Manner therefore is invented to confound this Simplicity and Conformity of Defign. Patch-work is fubftituted. Cuttings and Sbreds of Learning, with various Fragments, and Points of Wit, are drawn together, and tack'd in any fantaftick form. If they chance to caft a Luffer, and fpread a fort of fprightly Glare; the Miscellany is approv'd, and the complex Form and Texture of the Work admir'd. The Eye, which before was to be won by Regularity, and had kept true to Meafure and ftrict Proportion, is by this means pleafingly drawn afide, to commit a kind of Debauch, and amufe it-felf in gaudy Colours, and disfigur'd Shapes of things. Cuftom, in the mean while, has not only tolerated this Licentioufnefs, but render'd it even commendable, and brought it into the higheft repute. The Wild and Whimfical, under the name of the Odd and Pretty, fucceed in the room of the Graceful and the Beautiful. Juftnefs and Accuracy of Thought are fet afide, as too conftraining, and of too painful an
Vol. 3. B afpect,

Mifc. I.afpect, to be endur'd in the agreeable and more eafy Commerce of Gallantry, and modern $W i t$.

Now fince it has been thought convenient, in thefe latter Ages, to diftinguifh the Provinces of Wit and Wisdom, and fet apart the agreeable from the ufeful; 'tis evident there cou'd be nothing devis'd more futable to the diftinct and feparate Intereft of the former of thefe Provinces, than this complex manner of Performance which we call Misceliany. For whatever is capricious and odd, is fure to create Diverfion, to thofe who look no further. And where there is nothing like Nature, there is no room for the troublefom part of Thought or Contemplation. 'Tis the Perfection of certain Grotefque-Painters, to keep as far from Nature as poffible. To find a Likene/s in their Works, is to find the greateft Fault imaginable. A natural Connexion is a Slur. A Coberence, a Defign, a Meaning, is againft their purpofe, and deftroys the very Spirit and Genius of their Workmanihip.

Iremember formerly when I was a Spectator in the French Theater, I found it the Cuftom, at the end of every grave and folemn Tragedy, to introduce a comick Farce, or Misceliany, which they call'd the little Piece. We have indeed

## Reflections.

a Merhod ftill more extraordinary upon Ch. I. our own Stage. For we think it agreeable and juft, to mix the Little Piece or Farce with the main Plot or Fable, thro' every Act. This perhaps may be the rather chofen, becaufe our Tragedy is fo much deeper and bloodier than that of the French, and therefore needs more immediate Refrefhment from the elegant way of Drollery, and Burlefque-wit; which being thus clofely interwoven with its oppofite, makes that moft accomplifh'd kind of theatrical Miscellany, calld by our Poets a Tragi-comedy.

I cou'd go further perhaps, and demonftrate from the Writings of many of our grave Divines, the Speeches of our Senators, and other principal Models of our national Erudition, "That the Mrs"cellaneous Manner is at prefent in " the higheft efteem." But fince my chief Intention in the following Sheets is to defcant curforily upon fome late Pieces of a Britils Author; I will prefume, That what I have faid already on this Head is fufficient; and That it will not be judg'd improper or abfurd in me, as I proceed, to take advantage of this mifcellaneous Tafte which now evidently prevails. According to this Method, whilit I ferve as Critick or Interpreter to this new Writer, I may the better correct his Flegm, and give him B 2 more

Mifc. x.more of the fafhionable Air and Manner of the World ; efpecially in what relates to the Subject and Manner of his two laft Pieces, which are contain'd in his fecond Volume. For thefe being of the more regular and formal kind, may eafily be oppreffive to the airy Reader; and may therefore with the fame affurance as Tragedy claim the neceffary Relief of the little Piece or Farce above-mention'd.

Nor ought the Title of a Miscelianeous Writer to be deny'd me, on the account that I have grounded my Mifcellanys upon a certain Set of Treatifes already publifh'd. Grounds and Foundations are of no moment in a kind of Work, which, according to modern Eftablifhment, has properly neither Top nor Bottom, Begimning nor End. Befides, that I hall noway confine myfelf to the precife Contents of thefe Treatifes; but, like my FellowMifcellanarians, fhall take occafion to vary often from my propos'd Subject, and make what Deviations or Excurfions I fhall think fit, as I proceed in my random Essays.

## CH A P. II.

Of Controverfial Writings: Anfwers: Replies.—Polemick Divinity; or the Writing Ciburch-Militant. Philofophers, and Bear-Garden.Authors pair'd and matched.The Match-makers._Foot-Ball. -A Dialogue between our Aus thor and bis Bookseller.

AMONG the many Improvements daily made in the Art of Writing, there is none perhaps which can be fail to have attain'd a greater Height than that of Controver $j y$, or the Method of Answer and Refutation. 'This true indeed, that antiently the Wits of Men were for the mot part taken up in other Employment. If Authors writ ill, they were defpis'd: If well, they were by forme Party or other efpous'd. For Party there wou'd neceffarily be, and Sects of every kind, in Learning and Philofophy. Every one fided with whom he liked; and having the liberty of hearing each fade freak for it-felf, flood in no need of exprefs Warning-Pieces againft pretended Sophistry, or dangerous Reafoning. ParB $3 \ldots$ cicular

Mifc. i.ticular Anfwers to fingle Treatifes, were thought to be of little ufe. And it was efteem'd no Compliment to a Reader, to help him fo carefully in the Judgment of every Piece which came abroad. Whatever Sects there were in thofe days, the Zeal of Party-caufes ran not fo high as to give the Reader a Tafte of thofe perfonal Reproaches, which might pafs in a Debate betweens the different Partymen.

Thus Matters ftood of old; when as yet the Method of writing Controverfy was not rais'd into an Art, nor the Feuds of contending Authors become the chief Amufement of the learned World. But we have at prefent fo high a Relifh of this kind, that the Writings of the Learned are never truly gufful till they are come to what we may properly enough call their due Ripenefs, and have begot a Fray. When the Anfiwer and Reply is once form'd, our Curiofity is excited: We begin then, for the firft time, to whet our Attention, and apply our Ear.

For example: Let a zealous Divine and flaming Champion of our Faith, when inclin'd to hew himfelf in Print, make choice of fome tremendous Myfery of Religion, oppos'd heretofore by fome damnable Herefiarch; whom having vehemently refuted, he turns himfelf towards
the orthodox Opinion, and fupports the Ch. 2. true Belief, with the higheft Eloquence $\sim \sim$ and profoundeft Erudition ; he fhall, notwithftanding this, remain perhaps in deep Obfcurity, to the great affliction of his Bookfeller, and the regret of all who bear a juft Veneration for Cburch-bifory, and the antient Purity of the Cbriftian Faith. But let it fo happen that in this Profecution of his deceas'd Adverfary, our Doctor raifes up fome living Antagonift ; who, on the fame foot of Orthodoxy with himfelf, pretends to arraign his Expofitions, and refute the Refuter upon cvery Article he has advanc'd; from this moment the Writing gathers Life, the Publick liftens, the Bookfeller takes heart; and when Iffue is well join'd, the Repartees grown fmart, and the Contention vigorous between the learned Partys, a Ring is made, and Readers gather in abundance. Every one takes party, and encourages his own Side. "This fhall be " my Champion!-_This Man for my " Money! - Well hit, on our fide!
"Again, a good Stroke! - There he " was even with him!-——Have at him "c the next Bout!"-Excellent Sport! And when the Combatants are for a-while drawn off, and each retir'd with his own Companions; What Praifes, and Congratulations! What Applaufes of the fuppos'd ViEfor! And how honourably is he faluted

Mifc. i.by his Favourers, and complimented even to the difturbance of his Modefty !
"Nay, but Gentlemen!-Good Gens tlemen! Do you really think thus ? "Are you fincere with me? - Have I "treated my Adverfary as he deferves? " Never was Man fo maul'd. Why you " have kill'd him downright. "Sirs! you flatter me. He can ne"ver rife more. Think ye fo in" deed? Or if he fhou'd; 'twou'd " be a Pleafure to fee how you wou'd han"dle him."

These are the Triumphs. This is what fets Joarp: This gives the Author his Edge, and excites the Reader's Attention; when the Trumpets are thus founded to the Croud, and a kind of Amphitheatrical Entertainment exhibited to the Multitude, by thefe Gladiatorian Pen-men.

The Author of the preceding Treatifes being by profeffion a nice Injpector into the Ridicule of Things, muft in all probability have rais'd to himéelf fome fuch Views as thefe, which hinder'd him from engaging in the way of Controverfy. For when, by accident, the * Firft of thefe Treatifes (a private Letter, and in the Writer's Efteem, little worthy of the

[^0]Pube

Publick's notice) came to be read abroad $\mathrm{Ch}, 2$. in Copys, and afterwards in Print ; the $\sim \sim$ fmarteft Anfivers which came out againft it, cou'd not, it feems, move our Author to form any Reply. All he was heard to fay in return, was, "That he thought who" ever had taken upon him to publifh a " Book in anfwer to that cafual Piece, had " certainly made either a very high Com" pliment to the Author, or a very ill one " to the Publick."

It muft be own'd, that when a Writer of any kind is fo confiderable as to deferve the Labour and Pains of fome fhreud Heads to refute him in publick, he may, in the quality of an Author, be juftly congratulated on that occafion. 'Tis fuppos'd neceffarily that he muft have writ with fome kind of Ability or Wit. But if his original Performance be in truth no better than ordinary; his Anfiverer's Tafk muft certainly be very mean. He muft be very indifferently imploy'd, who wou'd take upon him to anfwer Nonfenfe in form, ridicule what is of it-felf $a \mathfrak{F} f f$, and put it upon the World to read a fecond Book for the fake of the Impertinencys of $a$ former.

Taking it, however, for granted, ${ }^{5}$ 5. That a forry Treatife may be the founstation of a confiderable Anfwer ;" a Reply

Mifc. i. Reply ftill muft certainly be ridiculous, which-ever way we take it. For either the Author, in his original Piece, has been truly refuted, or not. If refuted; why does he defend? If not refuted; why trouble himfelf? What has the Publick to do with his private Quarrels, or his Adverfary's Impertinence? Or fuppofing the World out of curiofity may delight to fee a $P e_{-}$ dant expos'd by a Man of better Wit, and a Controverfy thus unequally carry'd on between two fuch oppofite Partys; How Iong is this Diverfion likely to hold good? And what will become of thefe polemick Writings a few Years hence? What is already become of thofe mighty Controverfys, with which fome of the moft eminent Authors amus'd the World within the memory of the youngeft Scholar? An original Work or two may perhaps remain: But for the fubfequent Defenfes, the Anfwers, Rejoinders, and Replications; they have been long fince paying their attendance to the Paftry-cooks. Mankind perhaps were heated at that time, when firft thofe Matters were debated: But they are now cool again. They laugh'd: They carry'd on the Humour: They blew the Coals: They teaz'd, and fet on, malicioully, and to create themfelves diverfion. But the Jeft is now over. No-one fo much as inquires Where the Wit was; or Where poffibly the Sting fhou'd lie of thofe notable
notable Reflections and fatirical Hints, Ch. 2. which were once found fo pungent, and $\sim \sim$ gave the Readers fuch high Delight. Notable Pbilofoplers and Divines, who can be contented to make fport, and write in learned Billing/gate, to divert the Coffeehoure, and entertain the Affemblys at Bookfellers Shops, or the more airy Stalls of inferior Book-retailers!

It muft be allow'd, That in this reipect, controverfial Writing is not fo wholly unprofitable; and that for Book-Merchants, of whatever Kind or Degree, they undoubtedly receive no fmall Advantage from a right Improvement of a learned Scuffle. Nothing revives 'em more, or makes a quicker Trade, than a Pair of fubftantial Divines or grave Pbilofophers, well match'd, and foundly back'd; till by long worrying one another, they are grown out of breath, and have almoft loft their Force of Biting.-" So have I known a crafty "G Glazier, in time of Froft, procure a Foot"f ball, to draw into the Street the emulous "Chiefs of the robuft Yourh. The tumid " Bladder bounds at every Kick, burfts the " withftanding Cafements, the Cbafys, Lan" terns, and all the brittle vitrious Ware. "The Noife of Blows and Out-cries fills " the whole Neighbourhood; and Ruins " of Glafs cover the ftony Pavements ; os till the bloated battering Engine, fubdu'd

Mifc. i." by force of Foot and Fift, and yielding " up its Breath at many a fatal Cranny, be"comes lank and harmlefs, finks in its "Flight, and can no longer uphold the " Spirit of the contending Partys."

This our Author fuppofes to have been the occafion of his being fo often and zealoufly complimented by his Amanuenfis (for fo he calls * his Bookfeller or Printer) on the Fame of his firft Piece. The obliging Crafts-man has at times prefented him with many a handfom Book, fet off with Titles of Remarks, Reflections, and the like, which, as he affur'd him, were Answers to his fmall Treatife. "Here "Sir! (fays he) you have a confiderable " Hand has undertaken you!-_This "Sir, is a Reverend-This a Rigbt Re"verend -..This a noted Author-"Will you not reply, Sir? - O' my " word, Sir, the World is in expecta" tion. Pity they fhou'd be difap" pointed! A dozen Sheets, Sir, "wou'd be fufficient. - You might dif" patch it prefently. Think you fo? "I have my Paper ready $\quad$ And a " good Letter.- Take my word for it" You fhall fee, Sir! Enough. But " hark ye (Mr. $A, a, a, a$ ) my worthy "Engineer, and Manager of the War of

[^1]" Letters !
" Letters! Ere you prepare your Artille-Ch. 3 .
" ry, or engage me in Acts of Hoftility, $\sim$,
" let me hear, I intreat you, Whether or
" no my Adverfary be taken notice of.
"_Wait for his Second Edition. And " if by next Year, or Year or two after, " it be known in good Company that " there is fuch a Book in being, I thall " then perhaps think it time to confider of " a Reply."

## C H A P. III.

Of the Letter concerning Enthufiafm. -Foreign Criticks.-Of Letters in general; and of the Epifolary Style.-Addreffes to great Men.Authors and Horfemanjbip.-The modern Amble.- Further Explanation of the Miscellaneous Manner.

AS refolute as our Author may have fhewn himfelf in refufing to take notice of the fmart Writings publifh'd againft him by certain Zealots of his own Country, he cou'd not, it feems, but out of curiofity obferve what the foreign and more

Mifc. I. more impartial Criticks might object to his fmall Treatife, which he was furpriz'd to hear had been tranflated into foreign Languages, foon after it had been publifh'd here at home. The firft Cenfure of this kind which came to our Author's fight, was that of the PARIs * Gournal des Savans: Confidering how little favourable the Author of the Letter had fhewn himfelf towards the Romijb Church, and Policy of France, it muft be own'd thofe Journalifts have treated him with fufficient Candor: tho they fail'd not to take what Advantages they well cou'd againft the Writing, and particularly arraign'd it for the want $f$ of Order and Method.

The Proteftant Writers, fuch as live in a free Country, and can deliver their Sentiments without Conftraint, have certainly $\ddagger$ done our Author more Honour than he ever prefum'd to think he cou'd deferve. His Tranflator indeed, who had done him the previous Honour of introdu-

[^2]cing him to the Acquaintance of the fo- Ch. 3. reign World, reprefents particularly, by the $\sim^{\sim}$ Turn given to the latter end of the Letter, that the Writer of it was, as to his Condition and Rank, little better than an inferior Dependent on the noble Lord to whom he had addrefs'd himfelf. And in reality the Original has fo much of that air ; that I wonder not, if what the Author left ambiguous, the Tranflator has determin'd to the fide of Clientfhip and Dependency.

But whatever may have been the Circumftance or Character of our Author himfelf; that of his great Friend ought in juftice to have been confider'd by thofe former Criticks above-mention'd. So much, at leaft, hou'd have been taken notice of, that there was a real great Man characteriz'd, and futable Meafures of Addrefs and Style preferv'd. But they who wou'd neither obferve this, nor apprehend the Letter it-felf to be real, were infufficient Criticks, and unqualify'd to judg of the Turn or Humour of a Piece, which they had never confider'd in a proper light.
> 'Tis become indeed fo common a Practice among Authors, to feign a Correspondency, and give the Title of a private Letter to a Piece addrefs'd folely to the Publick,

Mifc. r. Publick, that it wou'd not be ftrange to fee other Journalifs and Criticks, as well as the Gentlemen of PARis, pafs over fuch Particularitys, as things of Form. This Prejudice however cou'd not mifguide a chief Critick of the Proteftant fide; when * mentioning this Letter concerning Entbufiafm, he fpeaks of it as a real Letter, (fuch as in truth it was) not a precife and formal +Treatise, defign'd for publick View.

I t will be own'd furely, by thofe who have learnt to judg of Elegancy and Wit by the help merely of modern Languages, That we cou'd have little Relinh of the beft Letters of a Balsac or Voiture, were we wholly ignorant of the Cbaracters of the principal Perfons to whom thofe Letters were actually written. But much lefs cou'd we find pleafure in this reading, Shou'd we take it into our heads, that both the Perfonages and Correfpondency it-felf were merely fictitious. Let the beft of Tuley's Epiftles be read in fuch a narrow View as this, and they will cer-

[^3]tainly prove very infipid. If a real $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{U}$ - Ch. 3 . tus, a real Atticus be not fuppos'd, there will be no real Cicero. The elegant Writer will difappear: as will the vaft Labour and Art with which this eloquent Roman writ thofe Letters to his illuftrious Friends. There was no kind of Compofition in which this great Author prided or pleas'd himfelf more than in this; where he endeavour'd to throw off the Mein of the Pbilofopher and Orator, whilft in effect he employ'd both his Rhetorick and Philofophy with the greateft Force. They who can read an Epiftle or Satir of Horace in fomewhat better than a mere fcholaftick Relifh, will comprehend that the Concealment of Order and Method, in this manner of Writing, makes the chief Beauty of the Work. They will own, that unlefs a Reader be in fome meafure appriz'd of the Characters of an Augustus, a Mæcenas, a Florus, or a Trebatius, there will be little Relifh in thofe Satirs or Epifles addrefs'd in particular to the Courtiers, Minifters, and Great Men of the Times. Even the Satirick; or Miscellaneous Manner of the polite Antients, requir'd as much Order as the moft regular Pieces. But the Art was to deftroy every fuch Token or Appearance, give an extenzporary Air to what was writ, and make the Effect of Art be felt, without difcoverVol. 3. C ing

Mifc. i. ing the Artifice. There needs no further Explanation on this Head. Our Author himfelf has faid enough in his * Advice to an Autbor, particularly where he treats of the fimple Style, in contra-diftinction to the learned, the formal, or methodick.
'Tis a different Cafe indeed, when the Title of Epiftle is improperly given to fuch Works as were never writ in any other view than that of being made publick, or to ferve as Exercifes or Specimens of the Wit of their Compofer. Such were thofe infinite Numbers of Greek and Latin Epiftles, writ by the antient Sophifs, Granmarians, or Rbetoricians; where we find the real Character of the Epifle, the genuine Style and Manners of the correfponding Partys fometimes imitated; but at other times not fo much as aim'd at, nor any Meafures of bitorical Truth preferv'd. Such perhaps we may efteem even the Letters of a+Seneca to his Friend Lucilius. Or fuppofing that philo-

[^4]philofophical Courtier had really fuch a Ch. 3 . Correfpondency; and, at feveral times, had fent fo many fair Epiftles, honeftly fign'd and feal'd, to his Country-friend at
but naturally, and of it-felf, become relax and diffolute, after fuch a Relaxation and Diffolution of Manners, confequent to the Change of Government, and to the horrid Luxury and Effeminacy of the Roman Court, even before the time of a Claudius, or a Nero. There was no more poffibility of making a Stand for Language, than for Liberty. As the World now ftood, the higheft Glory which cou'd be attain'd by mortal Man, was to be Mitigator or Moderator of that univerfal Tyranny already eltablifh'd. 'To this I muft add, That in every City, Principality, or fmaller Nation, where fingle WILL prevails, and Court-power, inftead of Laws or Conftitutions, guides the State ; 'tis of the higheft difficulty for the beft Minifter to procure a juft, or even a tolerable Adminiftration. Where fuch a Minifter is found, who can but moderately influence the petty Tyranny, he deferves confiderable Applaufe and Honour. But in the Cafe we have mention'd, where a univerfal Monarchy was actually eftablifh'd, and the Intereft of a whole World concern'd; He furely muft have been elteem'd a Guardian-Angel, who, as a prime Minifter, cou'd, for feveral Years, turn the very worft of Courts, and worft-condition'd of all Princes, to the fatherly Care and juft Government of Mankind. Such a Minifter was Seneca under an Agrippina and a Nero. And fuch he was acknowledg'd by the antient and never-fparing Satirifts, who cou'd not forbear to celebrate, withal, his Generofity and Friend/bip in a private Life:

Nemo petit, modicis que mittebantur amicis A Seneca; que Piso bonus, que Cotta folebal Largiri: namque to titulis, Eの fafcibus olim Major babebatur dionandi gloria.

Juvenal. Sat. v. ver. 108 ,
2uis tam
perditus, ut dubitet Senecam preferre Neroni? Id. Sat, viii, ver. 21 I.

Mifc. r.a diftance ; it appears however by the $\sim$ Epiftles themelves, in their proper Order, (if they may be faid to have any) that after a few Attempts at the beginning, the Author by degrees lofes fight of his Correfpondent, and takes the $W$ orld in general for his Reader or Difciple. He falls into the random way of Mijcellaneous Writing; fays every-where great and noble Things, in and out of the way, accidentally as Words led him (for with thefe he plays perpetually;) with infinite Wit, but with little or no Coherence; without a Shape or Body to his Work; without

This Remark is what I have been tempted to make by the way, on the Cbarater of this Roman Author, more mifalsen (if I am not very much fo my-felf) than any other fo generally fudy'd. As for the philofoppick Character or Function imputed to him, 'twas foreign, and noway proper or peculiar to one who never affum'd fo much as that of Sopbijf, or Penfonary Teacher of Pbilofopby. He was far wide of any fuch Order, or Profeffion. There is great difference between a Courtier who takes a Fancy for Philofophy, and a Philofopher who fhou'd take a Fancy for a Court. Now Seneca was born a Courtier; being Son of a Court-Rbetor: himfelf bred in the fame manner, and taken into favour for his Wit and Genius, his admird Style and Eloquence; not for his Learning in the Books of Piiilofophy and the Antients. For this indeed was not very profound in him. In fhort, he was a Man of wonderful Wit, Fluency of Thought and Language, an able Minifer, and boneft Courtier. And what has been deliver'd down to his prejudice, is by the common Enemy of all the free and generous Romans, that apifh fhallow Hiftorian, and Court-Flatterer, Dion Cassius, of a low Age, when Bavarifm (as may be eafily feen in his own Work) came on apace, and the very Traces and Features of Virtue, Science and Knowledg, were wearing out of the World.
a real * Beginning, a Middle, or an End.Ch. 3. Of a bundred and twenty four Epiftles, you may, if you pleafe, make five Hundred, or balf a Score. A great-one, for inftance, you may divide into five or fix. A little-one you may tack to another; and that to another ; and fo on. The Unity of the Writing will be the fame: The Life and Spirit full as well preferv'd. 'Tis not only wobole Letters or Pages you may change and manage thus at pleafure: Every Period, every Sentence almoft, is independent; and may be taken afunder, tranfpos'd, poftpon'd, anticipated, or fet in any new Order, as you fanfy.

This is the Manner of Writing fo much admir'd and imitated in our Age, that we have fcarce the Idea of any other Model. We know little, indeed, of the Difference between one Model or Cbaracter of writing and another. All runs to the fame Tune, and beats exactly one and the fame Meafure. Nothing, one wou'd think, cou'd be more tedious than this uniform Pace. The common Amble or Canterbury is not, I am perfuaded, more tirefom to a good Rider, than this fee-faw of Es-say-Writers is to an able Reader. The

[^5]Mifc. r.juft Compofer of a legitimate Piece is like un able Traveller, who exactly meafures his Journey, confiders his Ground, premeditates his Stages, and Intervals of Relaxation and Intention, to the very Conclufion of his Undertaking, that he happily arrives where he firft propos'd when he fet out. He is not prefently upon the Spur, or in his full Carcer; but walks his Steed leifurely out of his Stable, fettles himfelf in his Scirrups, and when fair Road and Seafon offer, puts on perhaps to a round Trot; thence into a Gallop, and after a while takes $u p$. As Down, or Meadow, or fhady Lane prefent themfelves, he accordingly futes his Pace, favours his Palfry; and is fure not to bring him puffing, and in a heat, into his laft Inn. But the Poft-way is become highly fafhionable with modern Authors. The very fame ftroke fets you out, and brings you in. Nothing ftays, or interrupts. Hill or Valley; rough or fmooth; thick or thin: No Difference; no Variation. When an Autboir fits down to write, he knows no other Bufinefs he has, than to be zuitty, and take care that his Periods be well turn'd, or (as they commonly fay) run frrooth. In this manner, be doubts not to gain the Character of bright. When he has writ as many Pages as he likes, or as his Run of Fancy wou'd permit; he then perhaps confiders what Nome he
he had beft give to his new Writing: Ch. 3 . whether he fhou'd call it Letter, Efiay, Mifcellany, or aught elfe. The Bookfeller perhaps is to determine this at laft, when all, befides the Preface, Epiftle Dedicatory, and Title-page, is difpatch'd.
——Incertus fcamnum, faceretne Priapum. ———Deus inde ego!

Horat. Sat. 8. Lib. i, ver. 2.

## MISCELLANY II.

## C H A P. I.

Review of EnTHUSIASM.--Its Defenfe, Praife:——Ufe in Bufle nefs as well as Pleafure:-Operation by Fear, Love.-Modifications of. Enthufiafm : Magnanimity; Heroick Virtue; Honour; Publick Zeal; Religion; Superfition; Perfecution; Martyrdom. Energy of the extatick Devotion in the Tender Sex.-Account of antient Priefthood.——Religious War.—Reference to a fucceeding Cbapter.

WHETHER in fact there be any real Encbantment, any Influence of Stars, any Power of Damons or of foreign Natures over our own Minds, is thought queftionable by many. Some there are who affert the Negațive,

Negative, and endeavour to folve the Ap-Ch. r. pearances of this kind by the natural Ope- $\sim \sim$ ration of our Paffions, and the common Courfe of outward Things. For my own part, I cannot but at this prefent apprehend a kind of Enchantment or Magick in that which we call Enthusiasm; fince I find, that having touch'd flightly on this Subject, I cannot fo eafily part with it at pleafure.

After having made fome curfory Reflections on our Author's * Letter, I thought I might have fufficiently acquitted my-felf on this head; till paffing to his next Treatife, I found my-felf ftill further ingag'd. I perceiv'd plainly that I had as yet fcarce enter'd into our Author's Humour, or felt any thing of that Pafion, which, as he informs us, is fo eafily communicable and naturally engaging. But what I had pafs'd over in my firlt Reflections, I found naturally rifing in me, upon fecond thoughts. So that by experience I prov'd it true what our Author fays + , " That we all of us know fome"thing of this Principle." And now that I find I have in reality fo much of it imparted to me, I may with better reafon be

[^6] with Caution, at different Reprijes; and not fingly, in one Breath.

I have heard indeed that the very reading of Treatifes and Accounts of Melancholy, has been apt to generate that $\mathrm{Paf}-$ fion in the over-diligent and attentive Reader. And this perhaps may have been the reafon, why our Author himfelf (as he feems to intimate towards the Conclufion of his firft * Letter) car'd not in reality to grapple clofely with his Subject, or give us, at once, the precife Definition of Enthusiasm. This however we may, with our Author, prefume to infer, from the cooleft of all Studys, even from Criticifm it-felf, (of which we have been lately treating) 中 "That there is a Power in "IJumbers, Harmony, Proportion, and " Beauty of every kind, which naturally " captivates the Heart, and raifes the Ima" gination to an Opinion or Conceit of " fomething majefick and divine."

Whatever this Subject may be in it--jelf; we cannot help being tranfported with the thought of it. It infpires us with fomething more than ordinary, and

[^7]raifes us above our-felves. Without this Ch. i. Imagination or Conceit, the World wou'd be but a dull Circumftance, and Life a forry Pafs-time. Scarce cou'd we be faid to live. The animal Functions might in their courfe be carry'd on; but norhing further fought for, or regarded. The gallant Sentiments, the elegant Fancys, the Belle-paffions, which have, all of them, this Beauty in view, wou'd be fet afide, and leave us probably no other Employment than that of fatisfying our coarfeft Appecites at the cheapeft rate; in order to the attainment of a fupine State of Indolence and Inactivity.

Slender wou'd be the Enjoyments of the Lover, the ambitious Man, the Warrior, or the Virtuofo, (as our Author has * elfewhere intimated) if in the Beautys which they admire, and paffionately purfue, there were no reference or regard to any higher Majefy or Grandure, than what fimply refults from the particular Objects of their purfuit. I know not, in reality, what we fhou'd do to find a feafoning to moft of our Pleafures in Life, were it not for the Tafte or Relifh, which is owing to this particular Paffion, and the Conceit or Imagination which fupports it. Without this, we cou'd not fo much as

[^8]admire

Mifc.2.admire a Poem, or a Picture; a Gárdeńs, $\cdots$ or a Palace; a charming Shape, or a fair Face. Love it-felf wou'd appear the loweft thing in Nature, when thus anticipated, and treated according to the Antientbufiaftick Poet's method:

* Et jacere bumorem collectum in corpora queque.

How Heroifm or Magnanimity muft ftand in this Hypothefis, is eafy to imagine. The Muses themfelves muft make a very indifferent figure in this philofophical Draught. Even the Prince of + Poets wou'd prove a moft infipid Writer, if he were thus reduc'd. Nor cou'd there, according to this Scheme, be yet a place of Honour left even for our + Latin Poet, the great Difciple of this un-polite Philofophy, who dares with fo little Equity employ the Muses Art in favour of fuch a Syftem: But in fpite of his Philofophy, he everywhere gives way to Admiration, and rapturous Niews of Nature. Hie is tranfported with the feveral Beautys of the World, even whilft he arraigns the Order of it, and deftroys the Principle of

[^9]Beouty,

Beauty, from whence in antient Languages Ch. I. the * World it-felf was nam'd.

This is what our Author advances; when in behalf of Enthusiasm he quotes its formal Enemys, and Chews That they are as capable of it as its greateft Confeffors and Affertors. So far is he from degrading Entbufiafin, or difclaiming it in himfelf; that he looks on this Paffion, fimply confider'd, as the moft natural, and its Object as the jufeeft in the World. Even Virtue it-felf he takes to be no other than a noble Entbufiafin juftly directed, and regulated by that high Standard which he fuppofes in the Nature of Things.

He feems to affert, 中 " That there are "certain moral Species or Appearances fo " ftriking, and of fuch force over our Na" tures, that when they prefent themfelves, " they bear down all contrary Opinion or "Conceit, all oppofite Paffion, Senfation, " or mere bodily Affection." Of this kind he makes Virtue it-felf to be the chief : fince of all Views or Contemplations, this, in his account, is the moft na-

[^10]Mifc. 2.turally and ftrongly affecting. The exalted part of Love is only borrow'd hence. That of pure FriendJhip is its immediate Self. He who yields his Life a Sacrifice to his Prince or Country; the Lover who for his Paramour performs as much; the heroick, the amorous, the religious Martyrs, who draw their Views, whether vifionary or real, from this Pattern and Exemplar of Divinity: all thefe, according to our Author's Sentiment, are alike actuated by this Paffion, and prove themfelves in effect fo many different Entbufiafts.

Nor is thorow Honefy, in his Hypothefis, any other than this Zeal, or Paffion, moving ftrongly upon the Species or View of the Decorum, and Sublime of Actions. Others may purfue * different Forms, and fix their Eye on different Species, (as all Men do on one or other:) The real bonef Man, however plain or fimple he appears, has that higheft Species,中 Honefy it-felf, in view; and inftead of outward Forms or Symmetrys, is ftruck: with that of inward Character, the Harmony and Numbers of the Heart, and Beauty of the Affections, which form the Manners and Conduct of a truly focial Life.

[^11]'T is indeed peculiar to the Genius of that cool Philofophy * above defcrib'd ; that as it denies the Order or Harmony of Things in general, fo by a juft Confequence and Truth of Reafoning, it rejects the Habit of admiring or being charm'd with whatever is call'd Beautiful in particular. According to the Regimen prefcrib'd by this Philofophy, it muft be acknowledg'd that the Evils of Love, Ambition, Vanity, Luxury, with other Difturbances deriv'd from the florid, high, and elegant Ideas of Things, muft in appearance be fet in a fair way of being radically cur'd.

IT need not be thought furprizing, that Religion it-felf mou'd in the account of thefe Philofophers be reckon'd among thore Vices and Difturbances, which it concerns us after this manner to extirpate. If the Idea of Majefy and Beauty in other inferior Subjects be in reality diftracting; it muft chiefly prove fo, in that principal Subject, the Bafis and Foundation of this Conceit. Now if the Subject it-felf be not in Nature, neither the Idea nor the Paffion grounded on it can be properly efteem'd natural: And thus all Admiration ceafes; and Enthusiasm is at an end. But

[^12]Mifc. 2.if there be naturally fuch a Paffion ; 'tis Nevident that Religion it-felf is of the kind, and muft be therefore natural to Man.

We can admire nothing profoundly, without a certain religious Veneration. And becaufe this borders fo much on Fear, and raifes a certain Tremor or Horror of like appearance ; 'tis eafy to give that Turn to the Affection, and reprefent all Enthusiasm and religious Extafy as the Product or mere Effect of FEAR:

## Primus in orbe deos fecit timor.

But the original Paffion, as appears plainly, is of another kind, and in effect is fo confefs'd by thofe who are the greateft Oppofers of Religion, and who, as our Author obferves, have fhewn themfelves fufficiently convinc'd, "* That altho thefe " Ideas of Divinity and Beauty were vain; " they were yet in a manner innate, or " fuch as Men were really born to, and " cou'd hardly by any means avoid."

Now as all Affections have their Excefs, and require Judgment and Difcretion to moderate and govern them; fo this high and noble Affection, which raifes

[^13]Man to Action, and is his Guide in Bufi-Ch. I. nets as well as Pleafure, requires a fteddy $\sim \sim$ Rein and ftrict Hand over it. All Moralifts, worthy of any Name, have recogniz'd the Paffion; tho among thefe the wifeft have prefcrib'd Reftraint, prefs'd Moderation, and to all Tyro's in Philofophy forbid the forward Ufe of Admiration, Rapture, or Extafy, even in the Subjects they efteem'd the higheft, and moft divine. They knew very well that the firft Motion, Appetite, and Ardour of the Youth in general towards * Philofophy and Knowledg, depended chiefly on this Turn of Temper: Yet were they well appriz'd, withal, That in the Progrefs of this Study, as well as in the affairs of Life, the florid Ideas and exalted Fancy of this kind became the Fuel of many incendiary Paffions; and that, in religious Concerns particularly, the Habit of Admiration and contemplative Delight, wou'd, by overIndulgence, too eafily mount into high Fanatici/m, or degenerate into abject Superfition.

UPON the whole therefore, according to our Author, Enthusiasm is, in itfelf, a very natural boneft Paffion; and has

[^14]> Vol.3. D properly

Mifc. 2.properly nothing for its Object but what is * Good and Honef. 'Tis apt indeed, he confeffes, to run aftray. And by modern example we know, perhaps yet better than by any antient, that, in Religion, the EnThusiasm which works by Love, is fubject to many Atrange Irregularitys; and that which works by Fear, to many monftrous and horrible Superfitions. Mypticks and Fanaticks are known to abound as well in our Reform'd, as in the Romijb Churches. The pretended Floods of Grace pour'd into the Bofoms of the 2uietifts, Pietifts, and thofe who favour the extatick way of Devotion, raife fuch Tranfports, as by their own Profelytes are confefs'd to have fomething itrangely agreeable, and in common with what ordinary Lovers are us'd to feel. And it has been remark'd by many, That the Female Saints have been the greateft Improvers of this foft part of Religion. What truth there may be in the related Operations of this pretended Grace and amorous Zeal, or in the Accounts of what has ufually paft between the Saints of each Sex, in there devout Extafys, I fhall leave the Reader to examine: fuppofing he will find credible Accounts, fufficient to convince him of the dangerous progrefs of Enthusiasm in this amorous Lineage.

[^15]There are many Branches indeed more vulgar, as that of Fear, Melanchoby, Consternation, Suspicion, Despair. And when the Paffion turns more towards the afonifling and frightful, than the amiable and delightful fide, it create rather what we call Superstition than Enthusiasm. I mut confers withal, that what we commonly ftyle Zeal in matters of Religion, is feldom without a mixture of both the fe Extravagancys. The extatick Motions of Love and Admiration, are feldom un-accompany'd with the Horrors and Confernations of a lower fort of Devotion. There Paroxyfms of Zeal are in reality as the hot and cold Fits of an Ague, and depend on the different and occafional Views or ASpects of the DIvinity; according as the Worshiper is * guided from without, or affected from within, by his particular Conftitution. Seldom are thole Aspects fo determinate and fix'd, as to excite conftantly one and the fame Spirit of Devotion. In Religions therefore, which hold mot of Love, there is generally room left for Terrors of the deepeft kind. Nor is there any Religion fo diabolical, as, in its reprefentation of Divinity, to leave no room for Admration and Esteem. Whatever Perfonage or

[^16]Mifc.2.Specter of Divinity is worfhip'd; a certain Efteem and Love is generally affected by his Worfhipers. Or if, in the Devotion paid him, there be in truth no real or abfolute Efeem; there is however a certain aftonifhing Delight or Ravifbment excited.
'This Paflion is experienc'd, in common, by every Wormiper of the Zealotkind. The Motion, when un-guided, and left wholly to it-felf, is in its nature turbulent and incentive. It disjoints the natural Frame, and relaxes the ordinary Tone or Tenor of the Mind. In this Difpofition the Reins are let loofe to all Paffion which arifes: And the Mind, as far as it is able to act or think in fuch a State, approves the Riot, and juftifies the wild Effects, by the fuppos'd Sacrednefs of the Cauje. Every Dream and Frenzy is made Inspiration; every Affection, Zeal. And in this Perfuafion the Zealots, no longer felf-govern'd, but fet adrift to the wide Sea of Paffion, can in one and the fame Spirit of Devotion, exert the oppofite Paffions of Loove and Hatred; unite affectionately, and abbor furiounly ; curfe, blefs, fing, mourn, exult, tremble, carefs, affaffinate, ingitit and fuffer * Martyrdom, with
a

[^17]a thoufand other the moft vehement $\mathrm{Ef}-\mathrm{Ch}$. i. forts of variable and contrary Affection.

THE common Heathen Religion, efpecially in its latter Age, when adorn'd with the moft beautiful Temples, and render'd more illuftrious by the Munificence of the Roman Senate and fucceeding Emperors, ran wholly into Pomp, and was fupported chiefly by that fort of EnthuSIASM, which is rais'd from the * external Objects of Grandure, Majefy, and what we call Auguft. On the other fide, the Egyptian or Syrian Religions, which lay more in Mytery and conceal'd Rites; having lefs Dependence on the Magiftrate, and lefs of that Decorum of Art,
that Spirit of MAR TYRD OM which furnifhes, it feems, fuch folid Matter for the Opinion and Faith of many Zealots. The Story, in the words of our Divine, and with his own Reflections on it, is as follows: "Two Francifcans offer'd " themfelves to the Fire to prove Savanorola to be a Here" tick. But a certain $\mathfrak{F}$ acobine offer'd himfelf to the Fire to ${ }^{\text {"s }}$ prove that Sarjanorola had true Revelations, and was no "Heretick. In the mean time Savanorola preach'd; but " made no fuch confident Offer, nor durit he venture at that " new kind of Fire-Ordeal. And put Cafe, all four had " pals'd thro' the Fire, and died in the flames; What wou'd " that have prov'd? Had he been a Heretick, or no Here" tick, the more, or the lefs, for the Confidence of thefe "c zealous Idiots? If we mark it, a great many Arguments " whereon many Seits rely, are no better Probation than "this comes to." Bifhop Taylor in his dedicatory Difcourfe, before his Liberty of Propbefing. See Letter of Entbufiafm, V OL. I. pag. 26, \&c,

* Infra, P. 90, 91.
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Mic. 2.Politenefs, and Magnificence, tan into a $\sim$ more pusillanimous, frivolous, and mean kind of Superstition; " The Obfer"vation of Days, the Forbearance of " Meats, and the Contention about Tradi" tions, Seniority of Laws, and * Priority ${ }^{\text {"6 }}$ of GodJips."
-_-humus utrinque
Inde furor vulgo, quod numina vicinorum
Odit uterque locus, gum Solos credat babendos
Ede dos, ques ip fe colt.
History, withal, informs us of a certain Eftablifhment in EgYPT, which was very extraordinary, and mut needs have had a very uncommon effect; no way advantageous to that Nation in particular, or to the general Society of Mankind. We know very well, that nothing is more injurious to the Police, or municipal Confiturion of any City or Colony, than the forcing of a particular Trade: Nothing more dangerous than the over-peopling any Manufacture, or multiplying the Fraders, or Dealers, of whatever Vocation, beyond their natural Proportion, and the publick Demand. Now it happen'd of old, in this Mother-Land of Superftition,

[^18]that * the Sons of certain Artifts were by Ch. r. Law oblig'd always to follow the fame $\sim \sim$ Calling with their Fathers. Thus the Son of a Prieft was always a Prieft by Birth, as was the whole Lineage after him, without interruption. Nor was it a Cuftom with this Nation, as with others, to have only $\downarrow$ one fingle Prieft or Prieftefs to a Temple: but as the Number of Gods and Temples was infinite; fo was that of the






 Cum tota regio in tres partes divifa fit, primam fibi portionem vendicat ordo facerdotum, magnă apud indigenas auctoritate pollens, tum ob pietatenz in deos, tum quod multam ex eruditione fcientiam ejufmodi bomines afferunt. Ex reditibus autem fuis cuncta per. Egyptum facrificia procurant, miniffros alunt; EO propriis commoditatibus ancillantur,
 mant fas effe deorum bonores mutari, fed femper ab eifdem eodem ritu peragi, neque eos neceffariorum copià defituti qui in commune omnibus confulunt. In univerfunn namque de maximis rebus confulentes, indefinenter Regi praftò funt, in nonnullis tanquam participes imperii, in aliis reges, duces $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$
 aftrologia quoque E facrorum infpecione, futura pradicunt, atque è facrorum librorum fcriptis res gefas cum utilizate conjunctas pralegunt. Non enim, ut apud Gracos, unus tantummodo vir, aut fcemina una, facerdotio fungitur; fed complures facrifcia EO bonores deûm obeuntes, liberis fuis eandem vita rationem quafi per manus tradunt. Hi autem cunczis oneribus funt immunes, $\mathrm{O}^{\circ}$ primos pof Regem bonoris O$^{\circ}$ poteftatis gradus obtinent. Diod. Sic. lib. i. pag. 66.
$$
\text { D } 4 \quad \text { Priefts }
$$

## Miscellaneous

Mifc.2.Priefts. The Religious Foundations were without Reftriction: and to one fingle Worfhip or Temple, as many of the Holy Order might be Retainers, as cou'd raife a Maintenance from the Office.

Whatever happen'd to other Races or Profeffions, that of the Prieft, in all likelihood, muft, by this Regulation, have propagated the moft of any. 'T'is a tempting Circumfance ; to have fo eafy a Maftery over the World; to fubdue by Wit inftead of Force; to practife on the Paffions, and triumph over the Judgment of Mankind ; to influence private Familys, and publick Councils; conquer Conquerors; controul the Magiftrate himfelf, and govern without the Envy which attends all other Government or Superiority. No wonder if fuch a Profeffion was apt to multiply: efpecially when we confider the ealy Living and Security of the Profeffors, their Exempion from all Labour, and Hazard; the fuppos'd Sacrednefs of their Character; and their free Poffeffion of Wealth, Grandure, Eftates, and Women.

There was no need to inveft fuch a Body as this, with rich Lands and ample Territorys, as it happen'd in Egypt. The Generation or Tribe being once fet apart as facred, wou'd, without further encouragement, be able, no doubt, in procefs

REFLECTIONS.
procefs of time, to eftablifh themfelves a Ch. s. plentiful and growing Fund, or religious $\longrightarrow \sim$ Land-Bank. 'Twas a fufficient Donative, to have had only that fingle Privilege from the * Law ; "That they might retain " what they cou'd get; and that it might " be lawful for their Order to receive fuch "Eftates by voluntary Contribution, as " cou'd never afterwards be converted to " other Ufes."

Now if, befides the Method of Propagation by Defcent, other Methods of Increafe were allow'd in this Order of Men; if Volunteers were alfo admitted at pleafure, without any Stint or Confinement to a certain Number ; 'tis not difficult to imagine how enormous the Growth wou'd be of fuch a Science or Profeffion, thus recogniz'd by the Magiftrate, thus invefted with Lands and Power, and thus intitled to whatever extent of Riches or Poffeffion cou'd be acquir'd by Practice and Influence over the fuperftitious part of Mankind.

There were, befides, in Egypt fome natural Caufes of Superfticion, beyond thofe which were common to other Regions. This Nation might well abound in Prodigys, when even their Country and Soil it-feif was a kind of Prodigy in Nature. Their folitary idle Life, whilft fhut

[^19]Mifc. 2.up in their Houfes by the regular Inundations of the Nile; the unwholefom Vapours arifing from the new Mud, and flimy Relicts of their River, expos'd to the hot Suns; their various Meteors and Pbanomena; with the long Vacancy they had to obferve and comment on them; the neceffity, withal, which, on the account of their Navigation, and the Meafure of their yearly drowned Lands, compell'd them to promote the Studys of Aftronomy and other Sciences, of which their; Priefthood cou'd make good advantages: All thefe may be reckon'd, perhaps, as additional Caufes of the immenfe Growth of Superftition, and the enormous Increafe of the Priefthood in this fertile Land.
'Twill however, as I conceive, be found unqueftionably true, according to political Arithmetick, in every Nation whatfoever; "That the Quantity of Su"Perstition (if I may fo fpeak) "will, in proportion, nearly anfwer the "Number of Priefts, Diviners, Sooth" fayers, Prophets, or fuch who gain " their Livelihood, or receive Advantages " by officiating in religious Affairs." For if thefe Dealers ate numerous, they will force a Trade. And as the liberal Hand of the Magiftrate can eafily raife Swarms of this kind, where they are already but in a moderate proportion ; fo where, thro'
any other caufe, the Number of thefe in-Ch. 1. creafing fill, by degrees, is fuffer'd to grow ' $\sim$, beyond a certain meafure, they will foon raife fuch a Ferment in Mens Minds, as will at leaft compel the Magiftrate, however fenfible of the Grievance, to be cautious in proceeding to a Reform.

We may obferve in other neceffary Profeffions, rais'd on the Infirmitys and Defects of Mankind, (as for inftance, in Law and Pbyick) " That with the leaft " help from the Bounty or Beneficence of " the Magiftrate, the Number of the Pro" feffors, and the Subject-matter of the "Profeflion, is found over and above in"creafing." New Difficultys are ftarted: New Subjects of Contention: Deeds and Inftruments of Law grow more numerous and prolix: Hypotbefes, Methods, Regimens, more various ; and the Materia Medica more extenfive and abundant. What, in procefs of time, muft therefore naturally have happen'd in the cafe of Religion, among the Egyptians, may eafily be gather'd.

NOR is it frange that we fhou'd find the * Property and Power of the Egyptian Prieft-

[^20]Mifc. z.Priefthood, in antient days, arriv'd to fuch a height, as in a manner to have fwallow'd up the State and Monarchy. A worfe Accident befel the Perfian Crown, of which the Hierarchy having got abfolute poffeffion, had once a fair Chance for Univerfal Empire. Now that the Perfian or Babylonian Hierarchy was much after the Model of the Egyptian, tho different perhaps in Rites and Ceremonys, we may well judg; not only from the Hiftory of the * Magi, but from what is recorded of antient Colonys fent long before by the Egyptians into + Cbaldea and the adjacent Countrys. And whether the Etbiopian Model was from that of Egypt, or the Egyptian from that of Ethiopia, (for $\ddagger$ each Nation had its pretence) we know by remarkable ** Effects, that the Etbiopian Empire was once in the fame

Con-

[^21] fwallow'd in the exorbitant Power of their landed Hierarchy. So true it is, "That "Dominion muft naturally follow Proper"ty." Nor is it poffible, as I conceive, for any State or Monarchy to withftand the Encroachments of a growing Hierarchy, founded on the Model of thefe Egyptian and Afiatick Priefthoods. No SuperstiTION will ever be wanting among the Ignorant and Vulgar, whilft the Able and Crafty have a power to gain Inheritances and Poffeffions by working on this buman Weakne/s. This is a Fund which, by thefe Allowances, will prove inexhauftible. New Modes of Worfhip, new Miracles, new Heroes, Saints, Divinitys (which, ferve as new Occafions for facred Donatives) will be eafily fupply'd on the part of the reli-
boc edici: nec fas efe ab ullo mortalium, quod Dii immortales jufferint, contemni.—So much for their Kings. For as to Subjects, the Manner was related a litcle before. Unus ex lictoribus ad reum mittitur, Jignum mortis praferens: quo ille vifo, domum abiens fbbi mortem confijcit. This, the People of oar days wou'd call Paffive-Obedience and Prieftcralt, with a witnefs. But our Hiftorian proceeds-EEt per fuperiores quidem atates, non armis aut vi coacti, fed
 te capti reges facerdotibus niorem gefferunt: donec ERgamenes, Athionun rex, ( P tolomato fecundo rerum potiente) Gracorum difciplince EO philofopbia particeps, mandata illa primus adfpernari aufus fuit. Nam bic anima, qui regcm decerct, funito, cum militum manu in locum inaccefum, ubi aurcum fuit templum Etbiopum, profectus; omnes illos facrificos jusulavit, $\mathfrak{F}$ abolito more prifina, facra pro erbitrio fuo inflauravit. Diod. Sic. lib. iii.

Mifc. 2.gious Orders; whilft the Civil Magiftrate authorizes the accumulative Donation, and neither reftrains the Number or PofSeffions of the Sacred Body.

We find, withal, that in the early days of this antient Priefly Nation of whom we have been fpeaking, 'twas thought expedient alfo, for the increafe of Devotion, to enlarge their Syfem of Deity; and either by myfical Genealogy, Confecration, or Canonization, to multiply their reveal'd Objects of Wormip, and raife new Perfonages of Divinity in their Religion. They proceeded, it feems, in procefs of time, to increafe the * Number of their Gods, fo far that, at laft, they became in a manner numberlefs. What odd Shapes, Species, and Forms of Deity were in latter times exhibited, is well known. Scarce an Animal or Plant but was adopted into fome fhare of Divinity.
$\uparrow$ O fanctas Gentes, quibus baed nafcuntur in bortis
Numina!-
No wonder if by a Nation foabounding in religious Orders, fpiritual Conquefts

[^22]were fought in foreign Countrys, * Colo-Ch. I. nys led abroad, and Miffionarys tletach'd, in on Expeditions, in this profperous Service. 'Twas thus a Zealot-People, influenc'd of old by their very Region and Climate, and who thro' a long Tract of Time, under a peculiar Policy, had been rais'd both by Art and Nature to an immenfe Growth in religious Science and Myftery ; came by degrees to fpread their variety of Rites and Ceremonys, their diftinguiming Marks of feparate Worfhips and fecrete Communitys, thro' the diftant World ; but chiefly thro' their neighbouring and dependent Countrys.

We underftand from Hiftory, that even when the Egyptian State was leaft powerful in Arms, it was ftill refpected for its Religion and Myferys. It drew Strangers from all Parts to behold its Wonders. And the Fertility of its Soil forc'd the adjacent People, and wandring Nations, who liv'd difpers'd in fingle Tribes, to vifit them, court their Alliance, and follicit a Trade and Commerce with them, on whatfoever Terms. The Strangers, no doubr, might well receive religious Rites

[^23]Mif.2.and Doctrines from thofe, to whom they $\leadsto$ ow'd their Maintenance and Bread.

Before the time that Israel was conftrain'd to go down to Egypt, and fue for Maintenance to thefe powerful $D y$ nafys or Low-Land States, the Holy Patriarch* Ав ${ }^{*}$ анам himfelf had been neceffitated to this Compliance on the fame account. He apply'd in the fame manner to the Egyptian Court. He was at firft well receiv'd, and handfomly prefented; but afterwards ill us'd, and out of favour with the Prince, yet fuffer'd to depart the Kingdom, and retire with his Effects; without any attempt of recalling him again by force, as it happen'd in the cafe of his Pofterity. 'Tis certain that if this holy Patriarch, who firft inftituted the facred Rite of Circumcifon within his own Family or Tribe, had no regard to any Policy or Religion of the EgyptiAns; yet he had formerly been a Gueft and Inhabitant in Egypt (where + Hiftorians mention this to have been a national Rite;)

[^24]Rite;) long * ere he had receiv'd any Ch. I divinc Notice or Revelation, concorning' this Affair. Nor was it in Religion merely that this reverend Gueft was faid to have deriv'd Knowledg and Learning from the Egyptians. 'Twas from this Pa-rent-Country of occult Sciences, that he was prefum'd, together with orher Wifdom, to have learnt that of + judicial Aftrology; as his Succeffors did afterwards other prophetical and miraculous Arts, proper to the Magi, or Priefthood of this Land.

One cannot indeed but obferve, in after times, the ftrange Adherence and fervile Dependency of the whole Hebrew Race on the Egyptian Nation. It appears that tho they were of old abus'd in the Perfon of their grand Patriarch; tho afterwards held in bondage, and treated as the moft abject Slaves; tho twice expel'd, or neceffitated to fave themfelves by flight, out of this oppreflive Region; yet in the very inftant of their laft Retreat,

Tofue cap. 5. ver. 3. Tam 不gyptiis quàm Judæis opprobrio crant incircumcijf._Apud Aigyptios circumcidendi ritus
 nullorum aliorum hominum intitutis uti volunt. Heredot. lib.

 lib. ii. cap. 36. Marßami Chronicus Canon, p. 72.

* Gen. cap. xvii.
† Julius Firmicus, apud Marfhamum, p. 452, 453 .
Vol. 3.
E
whilfe

Mifc. 2.whilft they were yet on their March, ~~ conducted by vifible Divinity, fupply'd and fed from Heaven, and fupported by continual Miracles; they notwithftanding inclin'd fo ftrongly to the Manners, the Religion, Rites, Diet, Cuftoms, Laws, and Conftitutions of their tyrannical Mafters, that it was with the utmoft difficulty they could be with-held from * returning again into the fame Subjection. Nor could their great Captains and Legiflators prevent their $\dagger$ relapfing

[^25]REFLECTIONS。
lapfing perpetually into the fame Wor-Ch. $x$. thip to which they had been fo long ac- $\sim \sim$ cuftom'd.

How far the divine Providence might have indulg'd the ftubborn Habit and fupid Humour of this People; by giving them Lawes (as the * Prophet fays) which be

God, for the reafon given, "That it rwas taking from them " the Reproach of the Egyptians, or what render'd them "odious and impious in the eyes of that People." Compare with this the Paffage concerning Moses himfelf, Exod. iv. 18,25, 26. (together with Aits vii. 30, 34.) where in regard to the Egyptians, to whom he was now returning when fourfore years of Age, he appears to have circumcis'd his Children, and taken off this National Reproach: Zipporah his Wife, neverthelefs, reproaching him with the Bloodinefs of the Deed; to which fhe appears to have been a Party only thro' Neceffity, and in fear rather of her Husband, than of GOD.

* Ezek.xx. 25. Act xv. 10. Of thefe A.Egyptian Intitutions receiv'd amongt the 'ferw, fee our Spencer. Cum morum quorundam antiquorum toleratio wi magnà polleret, ad Hebraorum animos Dei legi $\mathrm{O}^{\text {cultui conciliandus, }} \mathfrak{O}$ à refornatione Mofaicà invidiam omnem amoliretur; maximè conveniebat, ut Deus ritus aliquos antiquitùs ufftatos in facrorum fuorum numerum affumerte, ह $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ lex à Mofe data Speciem aliquam cultus olim rectpti ferret.———Ita nempe nati factique erant Ifraelitæ, ex Ægypto recens egrefl , quod Deo penè neceffe effet (bumanitùs loqui fas fit) rituunn aliquorum veterum ufum iis indulgere, $\sigma$ illius infituta ad corum morem $\xi^{\circ}$ modulun accommodare. Nam populus erat
 rum ufu confirmatus.—.-Hebræi, non tantum Rigypti moribus afueti, Jed etiam refractarii fuerunt.-_-2uemadmodum cujufque regionis Eo terrce populo fua funt ingenia, morefque proprii, ita natura gentem Hebræorum, prater cateros orbis incolas, ingenio morofo, diffcili, $\mathcal{E}$ ad infamiam ufque pertinaci, finxit.——Cum itaque rveteres Hebrai moribus effent apperis $\xi^{\circ}$ efferatis adeo, populi conditio pofutaE 2

Mifc. 2. be bimfelf appros'd not, I have no IntenMtion to examine. This only I pretend to infer from what has been advanc'd; "That the Manners, Opinions, Rites and "Cuftoms of the Egyptians, had, in " the earlieft times, and from Genera" tion to Generation, ftrongly influenc'd " the Hebrew People (their Guefts, and "Subjects) and had undoubtedly gain'd " a powerful Afcendency over their Na " tures."

How extravagant foever the multitude of the Egyptian Superfitions may appear, 'tis certain that their Doctrine and Wifdom were in high repute; fince it is taken notice of in Holy Scripture, as no fmall Advantage even to Mo-
vit, ut Deus ritus aliguos ufu veteri frrmatos iis concederet,
 quitur Theodoretus) cultum legalem corum infirmitati accommodatum infituerit.——Hebrai fuperfitiofa gens erani, Ev onni pene literatur会 defituti. Luam alte Gentium fuperffitionibus immergebantur, ̀̀ legibus intelligere licet, qua populo tanquam remedia fiperfitionis imponebentur. Contumax autem bellua fuperffitio, fi prafertion ab ignorantice tenebris novam ferociam fo contumaciam bauferit. Facile aeerò credi foteft, Ifraelitas, nuper ì fervorun domo liberatos, ariuun bumaniorum rudes fuife, छซ vix quicquam fupra lateres atque alliun Exypti fapuibe. Quando itaque Deo jam negatium effet, cum populo tann barbaro, Eo fuperfitioni tam impensè dedito; pene neceffe fuit, ut aliquid torum infirmitati daret, eofque dolo quodam (non argumentis) ad feipfum alliceret. ivullum animal fuperfitiofo, rudi procipue, morofus eff, aut majori arte tractandum. Spencerus de Leg. Hebr. pag. 627, 628, 629.
secs himfelf, "* That he had imbibed the Ch. i. "Wijdom of this Nation;" which, as is $\sim \sim$ well known, lay chiefly among their Priefts and Magi.

Before the Time that the great Hebrew Legiflator received his Education among there Sages, a + Hebrew Slave, who came a Youth into the Egyptian Court, had already grown fo powerful in this kind of Wifdom, as to outdo the chief Didiners, Prognoficators, and Interpreters of Egypt. He rais'd himfelf to be chief Minifter to a Prince, who, following his Advice, obtain'd in a manner the whole Property, and confequently the absolute Dominion of that Land. But to what height of Power the eftablifh'd Priefthood was arriv'd even at that time, may be conjectur'd hence; "That the Crow on (to speak in a modern Style) " offered not to " meddle with the Cburcb-Lands;" and that in this great Revolution nothing was

[^26] Landed Clergy: The prime Minifter himfelf having join'd his Intereft with theirs, and enter'd $\dagger$ by Marriage into their Alliance. And in this he was follow'd by the grear Founder of the Hebrew-State: for he alfo $\ddagger$ match'd himfelf with the Priefthood of fome of the neighbouring Nations, and Traders ** into Egypt, long ere his Eftablifhment of the He brew Religion and Commonwealth. Nor had he perfected his Model, till he confulted the foreign Prieft his $\dagger+$ Father-in-law, to whofe Advice he paid fuch remarkable Deference.

BUT TO refume the Subject of our Speculation, concerning the wide Diffufion of the Priefly Science or Function ; it appears from what has been faid, that notwithtanding the Egyptian Priefthood was, by antient Eftablifhment, hereditary; the Skill of Divining, Sootbfaying, and Magick was communicated to others befides their national facred Body: and that the Wijdom of the Magicians, the Power

[^27]Reflections.
of Miracles, their Interpretation of DreamsCh. i. and Vifions, and their Art of adminittring $\sim \sim$ in Divine Affairs, were entrufted even to Foreigners who refided amongft them.

It appears, withal, from thefe Confiderations, how apt the religious Profeffion was to fpread it-felf widely in this Region of the World ; and what Efforts wou'd naturally be made by the more neceffitous of thefe unlimited Profeffors, towards a Fortune, or Maintenance, for themfelves and their Succeffors.

Common Arithmetick will, in this Cafe, demonftrate to us, "That as the "Proportion of fo many Lay-men to each "Prieft grew every day lefs and lefs, fo " the Wants and Neceffitys of each Prieft " muft grow more and more." The Magiftrate too, who according to this Egyptian Regulation had refign'd his Title or fhare of Right in facred Things, cou'd no longer govern, as he pleas'd, in thefe Affairs, or check the growing Number of thefe Profeflors. The fpiritual Generations were left to prey on others, and (like Filb of Prey) even on themfelves, when deftitute of other Capture, and confin'd within too narrow Limits. What Method, therefore, was there left to heighten the Zeal of Wormipers, and augment theis Liberality, but " to foment their EmulaE $4 \quad \because$ tion,

Mifc. 2." tion, prefer Worfhip to Worfhip, Faith " to Faith; and turn the Spirit of Enthu" SIASM to the fide of facred Horror, re" ligious Antipathy, and mutual Dijcord " betwreen Worfhipers?"

Thus Provinces and Nations were divided by the moft contrary Rites and Cuftoms which cou'd be devis'd, in order to create the ftrongeft Averfion poffible between Creatures of a like Species. For when all other Animofitys are allay'd, and Anger of the fierceft kind appeas'd, the religicus Hatred, we find, continues ftill, as it began, without Provocation or voluntary Offence. The prefum'd Misbeliever and Blafpbemer, as one rejected and abhor'd of Good, is thro' a pious Imitation, abhor'd by the adverfe Worhiper, whofe Enmity mult naturally increafe as his religious Zeal increafes.

From hence the Oppofition rofe of Temple againft Temple, Profelyte againft Proflyte. The moft zealous Worfhip of one God, was beft exprefs'd (as they conceiv'd) by the open defiance of another. Gir-Names and Titles of Divinity pafs'd as Watch-words. He who had not we Symbol, nor cou'd give the Word, receiv'd the Krock.

Ch. I.

> Down with bim! Kill bim! Merit ~~ Heaven thereby;

As our * Poet has it, in his American Tragedy.

Nor did $\uparrow$ Philosophy, when introduc'd into Religion, extinguifh, but rathe inflame this Zeal: as we may flew perhaps in our following Chapter more particularly ; if we return again, as is likely, to this Subject. For this, we perceive, is of a kind apt enough to grow upon our hands. We fall here, therefore, obferve only what is obvious to every Student in fared Antiquitys, That from the contentious Learning and Sophiftry of the antient Schools (when true Science, Philofophy, and Arts were already deep in their $\ddagger$ Decline) religious Problems of a like contentious Form fang up; and certain Doctrinal Tests were fram'd, by which religious Party were ingag'd and lifted againft one another, with more Animofity than in any other Cafe or Quarrel had been ever known. Thus religious Ma\|facres began, and were carry'd on; Temples were demolih'd; holy Uten-

[^28]Mifc. 2. fils deftroy'd; the facred Pomp trodden under-foot, infulted; and the Infulters in their turn expos'd to the fame Treatment, in their Perfons as well as in their Worthip. Thus Madne/s and Confufion were brought upon the World, like that Chaos, which the Poet miraculoully defcribes in the mouth of his mad Hero: When even in Celeftial Places, Diforder and Blindnefs reign'd: - "No Dawn of Light;
> —_* "No Glimpfe or ftarry Spark, " But Gods met Gods, and jofled in the " Dark.
> * OEDIPUS of Dryden and Lee.

## CH A P. II.

Judgment of Divines and grave Mum thous concerning Enthufiafm. Reflections upon Scepticifm.-M $A$ Sceptick-Cbriftian.-Iudgment of the Infpir'd concerning their own Inspirations. - Knowledg and Belief._Hiftory of Religion refum'd. $-Z_{e a l}$ Offenfive and Defer. five. - $A$ Church in Danger. -Perfecution.-Policy of the Church of Rome.

WHAT I had to remark of my own concerning Enthusiasm, I have thus difpatch'd: What Others have remark'd on the fame Subject, I may, as an Apologif to another Author, be allow'd to cite; efpecially if I take notice only of what has been dropt very naturally by forme of our molt approv'd Authors, and ablest Divines.

Ir has been thought an odd kind of Te merity, in our Author, to affert, ** That " even Atheism it-felf was not whole-

* Viz. In his Letter concerning Enthufiafm, VOL. I.

$$
{ }^{66} \mathrm{ly}
$$

Mifc. 2." ly exempt from Entbufiafm; That there " have been in reality Entbufiafical A"theifts; and That even the Spirit of "Martyrdom cou'd, upon occafion, exert "it-felf as well in this Caufe, as in any "otber." Now, befides what has been intimated in the preceding Chapter, and what in fact may be demonftrated from the Examples of Vaninus and other Martyrs of a like Principle, we may hear an * excellent and learned Divine, of higheft Authority at home, and Fame abroad; who after having defcrib'd an Enthufacfical Atheift and one atbeifically infpir'd, fays of this very fort of Men, "That they " are Fanaticks too; however that word " feem to have a more peculiar refpect to " Jomething of a Deity: All Atheifts "being that blind Goddefs-Nature's "Fanaticks."

And again: "All Atheints (fays he) " are poffers'd with a certain kind of " Madnefs, that may be call'd 中 Pneuma" topbobia, that makes them have an irra" tional

[^29]"c tional but defperate Abhorrence from Spi-Ch. 2. " rits or incorporeal Subftances ; they be- $\sim \sim$ " ing acted alfo, at the fame time, with " an Hylomania, whereby they madly dote " upon Matter, and devoutly wormip it, " as the only Numen."

What the Power of Extasy is, whether thro' Melancholy, Wine, Love, or other natural Caufes, another learned * Divine of our Church, in a Difcourfe upon Enthufiafm, fets forth : bringing an Example from Aristotle, " of a Syracufean " Poet, who never verfify'd fo well, as " when he was in bis diftracted Fits." But as to Poets in general, compar'd with the
vantage. Superstition it-felf is but a certain kind of Fear, which poffeffing us frongly with the apprehended Wrath or Difpleafure of Divine Powers, hinders us from judging what thoie Powers are in themfelves, or what Conduct of ours may, with beft reafon, be thought futable to fuch highly rational and fuperior Natures. Now if from the Experience of many grofs Delufions of a fupertitious kind, the Courfe of this Fear begins to turn ; 'tis natural for it to run, with equal violence, a contrary way. The extreme Paffion for religious Objects paffes into an Averfion. And a certain Horror and Dread of Impofture caufes as great a Ditturbance as even Impoffure it-felf had done before. In fuch a Situation as this, the Mind may eafily be blinded; as well in one refpect, as in the other. ' 「is plain, both thefe Diforders carry fomething with them which difcover us to be in fome manner befide our Reafon, and out of the right ufe of Judgment and Undertanding. For how can we be faid to intruft or ufe our Reafon, if in any cafe we fear to be convinc'd? How are we Mafters of our-felves, when we have acquir'd the Habit of bringing Horror, Averfion, Favour, Fondnefs, or any other Temper than that of mere Indiference and Impartiality, into the Judgment of Opinions, and Search of Truth ?

* Dr.More, §. I1, 19, 20, and foon.

Mifc.2.religious Entbufiafts, he fays: There is this Difference ; " That a Poet is an En"thuliaft in jeft: and an Entbufiaft is a " Poet in good earneft."
"'Tis a ftrong Temptation * (fays the " Doctor) with a Melancholift, when he " feels a Storm of Devotion and Zeal come " upon him like a migbty Wind; his Heart " being full of Affection, his Head preg" nant with clear and fenfible Reprefenta"s tions, and his Mouth flowing and ftream"ing with fit and powerful Expreffions; " fuch as would aftonifh an ordinary $+\mathrm{Au}-$ " ditory ; 'tis, I fay, a hhreud 'Tempta' tion to him, to think it the very Spirit of God that then moves fupernaturally in him; whenas all that Excefs of Zeal and Affection, and Fluency of Words, " is moft palpably to be refolv'd into the " power of Melancholy, which is a kind of " natural Inebriation."

The learned Doctor, with much pains afterwards, and by help of the Peripatetick

[^30]Philofophy, explains this Enthufiafick Ine-Cb. 2. briation, and hews in particular *, "How "the Vapours and Fumes of Melancholy "partake of the nature of Wine."

One might conjecture from hence, that the malicious Oppofers of early Chriftianity were not unvers'd in this Philofowhy; when they fophiftically objected agains the apparent Force of the Divine Spirit freaking in divers Languages, and attributed it "To the Power of new +Wine."

But our devout and zealous Doctor feems to go yet further. For befides what he fays of the $\ddagger$ Entbufiafick Power of Fancy in Atheifts, he calls Melancholy ** a pertinacious and religious Complexion: and afferts, "That there is not any true " spiritual Grace from God, but this mere " natural Constitution, according to the fe" veral Tempers and Workings of it, will " not only resemble, but fometimes rem to "out/frip." And after f peaking of $\uparrow+$ Propoetical Enthusiasm, and eftablifhing (as our Author ++ does) a Legitimate and a Baftard-fort, he afferts and justifies the (a) Devotional Enthusiasm (as he calls

[^31]He allows, "That the Soul may fink " fo far into Pbantafms, as not to recover " the ufe of her free Facultys; and that "this enormous Strength of Imagination "does not only beget the Belief of mad " internal Apprehenfions, but is able to af"fure us of the Prefence of external $O b=$ " jects which are not." He adds, "That "what Cuftom and Education do by de" grees, diftemper'd FANCY may do in a "fhorter time." And fpeaking * of Extasy and the Power of Melancholy in Extatick Fancys, he fays, "That what 's the Imagination then puts forth, of her"felf, is as clear as broad day; and the " Perception of the Soul at leaft as frong " and vigorous, as at any time in beholding " things aroake."

From whence the Doctor infers, " That "the Strength of Perception is no fure " Ground of Truth."

Had any other than a reverend Father of our Church exprefs'd himfelf in this manner, he mult have been contented perhaps to bear a fufficient Charge of Scep ticijm.

* §. 28.

Ch. 2.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ was good fortune in my Lord $\mathrm{BA}-\sim \sim$ con's Cafe, that he hou'd have efcap'd being called an Atheist, or a ScepTICK, when freaking in a folemn mannet of the religious Pafion, the Ground of Superstition, or Enthusiasm, (which he alfo terms * a Panick) he derives it from an Imperfection in the Ceation, Make, or natural Conftitution of Man. How far the Author of the + Letter differs from this Author in his Opinion both of the End and Foundation of this Paffion, may appear from what has been raid above. And, in general, from what we read in the other fucceeding Treaties

* NATURA RERUM omnibus viventibus indidit snetum E' formidinem, vita atque effentic fuse confervatricem, ac mala ingruentia vitantem no depellentem. Veruntamer cadem Natura modum tenere nefia eft, fed timoribus falutaribus femper vanos $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ inanes adnifcet: adè ut omnia ( $f \mathrm{f}$ inrus confpici darentur) Panicis Terroribus plenif/zma font, prafertim bumana; $\mathcal{O}^{\circ}$ maximè omnium apud vulgum, quiz fuperfitione (que verè nihil aliud quàm Panicus Terror eft) in immenfum laborat Es agitator: pracipuè temporibus duris, $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}$ trepidis, $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}$ adverts. Francifcus Bacon de Augment. Scent. lib. ii. c. 13.

The Author of the Letter, I dare fay, wound have expetted no quarter from his Criticks, had he exprefs'd himfelf as this celebrated Author here quoted; who, by his Nature Rerun, can mean nothing less than the Universal Difpenfing Nature, erring blindly in the very first Design, Contrivance, or original Frame of Things; according to the Opinion of Epicurus himself, whom this Author, immediately after, cites with Praise.

+ Viz. The Letter concerning Enthusiasm, above, VOL. I.
Vol, 3.
E
of

Mifc. 2.of our Author, we may venture to fay of him with Affurance, "That he is as lit"tle a Sceptick (according to the "vulgar Senfe of that word) as he is Epi"curean, or Atbeift." This may be prov'd fufficiently from his Pbilofopby: And for any thing higher, 'tis what he no-where prefumes to treat; having forborn in particular to mention any Holy Myterys of our Religion, or Sacred Article of our Belief.

As for what relates to * Revelation in general, if I miftake not our Author's meaning, he profefles to believe, as far as is poffible for any one who himfelf had never experienc'd any Divine Communication, whether by Dream, Vifon, Apparition, or other fupernatural Operation; nor was ever prefent as Eye-witnefs of any Sign, Prodigy, or Miracle whatfoever. Many of thefe, + he obferves, are at this day pretendedly exhibited in the World, with an Endeavour of giving them the perfect Air and exact Refemblance of thofe recorded in Holy Writ. He fpeaks indeed with Contempt of the Mockery of modern Miracles and Infpiration. And as to all Pretences to things of this kind in our

[^32]frefent Age; he feems inclin'd to look Ch. 2. upon 'em as no better than mere Impofure or Dehifion. But for what is recorded of Ages heretofore, he feems to refign his Judgment, with intire Condefcention, to his Superiors. He pretends not to frame any certain or pofitive Opinion of his own, notwithftanding his beft Searches into Antiquity, and the Nature of religious Recora and Tradition: but on all occafions fubmits moft willingly, and with full Confidence and Truft, to the * Opinions by Law eftablifb'd. And if this be not fufficient to free him from the Reproach of Scepticism, he muft, for ought I fee, be content to undergo it.

To fay truth, I have often wonder'd to find fuch a Difturbance rais'd about the fimple name of 中Sееттск. 'Tis certain that, in its original and plain fignification, the word imports no more than barely, "That State or Frame of Mind " in which every one remains, on every "Subject of which he is not certain." He who is certain, or prefumes to fay be knows, is in that particular, whether he be miftaken or in the right, a Dogmatist. Between thefe two States or Situations of

* VOL.I. pag. $360,1,2$, 8\%c. And Infra, pag. 103. 231,315,316.
+ VOL. II. tag. 205, 206, \& 323, E0c. And Infra, pag. 317,318, Egc. $_{6}$.

[^33]Mifc.2.Mind, there can be no medium. For he who fays, "That be believes for certain, " or is affur'd of what be believes;" either fpeaks ridiculoufly, or fays in effect, "That be believes frongly, but is not fure." So that whoever is not confcious of Revelation, nor has certain Knowledy of any Miracle or Sign, can be no more than Sceptick in the Cafe: And the beft Chriftian in the World, who being deftitute of the means of Certainty, depends only on Hiftory and Tradition for his Belief in thefe Particulars, is at beft but a Scep-tick-Cbriftian. He has no more than a nicely critical * Hiforical Faith, fubject to various Speculations, and a thoufand different Criticifms of Languages and Literature.

This he will naturally find to be the Cafe, if he attempts to fearch into Originals, in order to be bis own $\mathfrak{F u d g}$, and proceed on the bottom of bis own Difcernment, and Underftanding. If, on the other hand, he is no Critick, nor competently learned in thefe Originais; 'tis plain he can have no original Judgment of his own ; but muft rely ftill on the Opinion of thofe who have opportunity to examine fuch matters, and whom he takes to be the unbiafs'd and difinterefted Judges

[^34]Reflections.
of thefe religious Narratives. His FaithCh, 2. is not in antient FaEts or Perfons, nor in $\sim \sim$ the antient Writ, or Primitive Recorders; nor in the fucceffive Collators or Confervators of thefe Records (for of thefe he is unable to take cognizance:) But his Confidence and Truft muft be in thofe modern Men, or Societys of Men, to whom the Publick, or He himfelf, afcribes the Right to judg of thefe Records, and commits the Determination of facred Writ and gemuine Story.

Let the Perfon feem ever fo pofitive or dogmatical in thefe high Points of Learning ; he is yet in reality no Dogmatift, nor can any way free himfelf from a certain kind of Scepticism. He muft know himfelf ftill capable of Doubting : Or if, for fear of it, he ftrives to banifh every oppofite Thought, and refolves not fo much as to deliberate on the Cafe; this fill will not acquit him. So far are we from being able to be fiure when we have a mind; that indeed we can never be thorowly fiure, but then only when we can't help it, and find of neceffity we muft be fo, whether we will or not. Even the higheft implicit Faith is in reality no more than a kind of pafive Scepticism; " A Refolution to examine, re"collect, confider, or hear, as little as " poffible to the prejudice of that Belieff, F 3 "which

Mifc. 2." which having once efpous'd we are ever " afterwards afraid to lofe."

If I might be allow'd to imitate our Autbor, in daring to touch now and then upon the Cbaracters of our Divine Worthys, I fhou'd, upon this Subject of Bexief, obferve how fair and generous the great Cbriftian Convert, and learned Aposties, has thewn himfelf in his Sacred Writings. Notwithftanding he had himfelf an original Teftimony and Revelation from Heaven, on which he grounded his Converfion; notwithftanding he had in his own Perfon the Experience of outward Miracles and inward Communications; he condefcended fitll, on many occafions, to fpeak fceptically, and with fome Hefitation and Referve, as to the Certainty of thefe Divine Exbibitions. In his account of fome Tranfagions of this kind, himfelf being the Wienels, and peaking (as we may prefume) of his own Perfon, and proper Vifion, * he fays only that "He knew a "Man: wheiber in the Body or out of it, " be cannot tell. But fuck a one caugbt up "to the third Heaven, be knew formerly " (he fays) above fourtecn years before his, "then Writing." And when in another Capacity the fame infpir'd Writer, giving Precepts to his Difciples, diftinguifhes

[^35]what

what * he writes by Divine Commiffion from Ch. 2. what he delivers as bis own 'fudgment and $\sim \sim$ private Opinion, he condefcends neverthelefs to fpeak as one no way pofitive, or Mafter of any abfolute Criterion in the Cafe. And in feveral fubfequent + Paflages, he expreffes himfelf as under fome kind of Doubt how to judg or determine certainly, "Whether he writes by Infpi"ration or otherwife." He only "tbinks " he has the Spirit." He " is not fure," nor wou'd have us to depend on him as politive or certain in a matter of fo nice Difcernment.

The holy Founders and infípir'd Authors of our Religion requir'd not, it feems, fo Aricit an Affent, or fuch implicit Faith in behalf of their original Writings and Revelations, as later un-infpir'd Doctors, without the help of Divine Teftimony, or any Miracle on their fide, have requir'd in behalf of their own Comments and Interpretations. The earlieft and worft of Hereticks, 'tis faid, were thofe call'd Gnoficks, who took their name from an audacious Pretence to certain Knowledy and Comprebenfion of the greateft Myferys of Faith. If the moft dangerous State of Opinion was this dogmatical and prefump-

[^36]Mif. 2.tuous fort; the fafeft, in all likelihood, $\sim$ muft be the fieptical and modef.

There is nothing more evident than that our Holy Religion, in its original Conftitution, was fet fo far apart from all Pbilofophy or refin'd Speculation, that it feem'd in a manner diametrically oppos'd to it. A Man might have been not only a Sceptick in all the controverted Points of the Academys, or Schools of Learning, but even a perfect Stranger to all of this. kind; and yet compleat in his Religion, Faith, and WorMip.

AMONG the polite Heathens of the antient World, thefe different Provinces of Religion and Pbilofophy were upheld, we know, without the leaft interfering with each other. If in fome barbarous Nations the Pbilofopber and Prieft were join'd in one, 'tis obfervable that the Myfterys, whatever they were, which fprang from this extraordinary Conjunction, were kept fecret and undivulg'd. 'Twas Satisfaction enough to the Prief-Pbilofopher, if the initiated Party preferv'd his Refpect and Veneration for the Tradition and Worfhip of the Temple, by complying in every reSpect with the requifite Performances and Rites of Worfhip. No Account was afterwards taken of the Philofopbick Faith of the Profelyte, or Worfhiper. His Opinions
nions were left to himfelf, and he might Ch. 2. philofophize according to what foreign $\sim \sim$ School or Sect he fanfy'd. Even amongft the Feres themfelves, the Sadducee (a Materialift, and Denyer of the Soul's Immortality) was as well admitted as the Pharisee; who from the Schools of Pythagoras, Plato, or other latter Philofophers of Greece, had learnt to reafon upon immaterial Subfances, and the natural Immortality of Souls.
'Tis no aftonifhing Reflection to obferve how faft the World declin'd in * Wit and Senfe, in Manhood, Reafon, Science, and in every Art, when once the Roman Empire had prevail'd, and fpread an univerfal Tyranny and Oppreffion over Mankind. Even the Romans themfelves, after the early Sweets of one peaceful and long Reign, began to groan under that Yoke, of which they had been themfelves the Impofers. How much more mult other Nations, and mighty Citys, at a far diftance, have abhor'd this Tyranny, and detefted their common Servitude under a People, who were themfelves no better than mere Slaves?

It may be look'd upon, no doubt, as providential, that at this time, and in

[^37]thefe fhou'd arife fo high an expectation of a divine Deliverer ; and that from the Eaftern Parts and Confines of Judea the Opinion hhou'd fpread it-felf of fuch a Deliverer to come, with Strength from Heaven fufficient to break that Empire, which no earthly Power remaining cou'd be thought fufficient to encounter. Nothing cou'd have better difpos'd the generality of Mankind, to receive the Evangelical Advice; whilft they miftook the Newes, as many of the firft Chriftians plainly did, and underftood the Promifes of a MessiA's in this temporal Senfe, with refpect to his fecond Coming, and futden Reign here upon Earth.

* Superstition, in the mean while, cou'd not but naturally prevail, as Mifery and Ignorance increas'd. The Roman Emperors, as they grew more barbarous, grew fo much the more fupertitious. The Lands and Revenues, as well as the Numbers of the Heathen Priefts grew daily. And when the feafon came, that by means of a Convert-Emperor, the Heathen + Cburch-Lands, with an Increafe of Power ${ }_{2}$

[^38]Power, became transfer'd to the Chriftian Ch. 2. Clergy, 'twas no wonder if by fuch Riches $\underbrace{(2)}$ and Authority they were in no fmall meafure influenc'd and corrupted; as may be gather'd even from the Accounts given us of thefe matters by themfelves.

When, together with this, the Schools of the antient + Philofophers, which had been long in their Decline, came now to be diffolv'd, and their fophiftick Teachers
the fingle Order of the Veftals, and what we read of the Revenues belonging to the 'Tomples of the Sun, (as in the time of the Montter Meliogabales) and of other Donations by other Emperors. But what may give us yet a greater Idea of thefe Riches, is, That in the latter Heathen IImes, which grew more and more fuperftitious, the reftraining Laws (or Statutes of Mort-main) by which Men had formerly been with-held from giving away Eftates by Will, or otherviie, to Religious Ufes, were repeal'd; and the Heathen-Church left, in this manner, as a bottomlefs Gulph and devoring Receptacle of Land and Treafure. Senatûs-confulto, © Confactutionibus Principum, Haredes infituere conceffum eft Apolinem Didymaum, Dianam Epbefiam, Matrem Dcorum, \&c. Ulpianus pof Cod. Theodof. pag. 9z. apud Marfh.
This anfwers not amifs to the modern Practice and Expreffion of Making our Soul our Heir: Giving to God what has been taken fometimes with freedom enough from Man; and conveying Eftates in fuch a manner in this World, as to make good Intereft of them in another. The Reproach of the antient Satirift is at prefent out of doors. 'Tis no affront to Religion now-a-days to compute its Profits. And a Man might well be accounted dull, who, in our prefent Age, fhou'd ask the Queftion, Dicite, Pontifices, in facro quid facit Atrom? Perf. Sat. ii, ver. 69. See below, pag. go, and $\$ 25$ in the Notes, and 88. ibid.

[^39]Mifc. 2.became Ecclefiaftical Inftructors; the unnatural Union of Religion and Pbilofophy was compleated, and the monftrous Product of this Match appear'd foon in the World. The odd exterior Shapes of Deitys, Temples, and holy Utenfils, which by the 类 Egyptian Sects had been formerly fet in battel againft each other, were now metamorphos'd into philofopbical Forms and Pbantoms; and, like Flags and Banners, difplay'd in hoftile manner, and borne offinfively, by one Party againft another. In former times thofe barbarous Nations above mention'd were the fole Warriors in thefe religious Caufes; but now the whole World became engag'd : when inftead of Storks and Crocodiles, other Enfigns were erected; when Jopbifical Cbimeras, crabbed Notions, bombaftick Pbrafes, Solecifms, Abfurditys, and a thoufand Monfters of a fcholaftick Brood, were fet on foot, and made the Subject of vulgar Animofity and Difpute.

Herf firft began that Spirit of Bio gotry, which broke out in a more raging manner than had been ever known before, and was lefs capable of Temper or Moderation than any Species, Form, or Mixture of Religion in the antient World.

[^40]
## Reflections。

Myterys, which were heretofore treatedCh. 2. with profound refpect, and lay unexpos'd to vulgar Eyes, became publick and proftitute; being enforc'd with Terrors, and urg'd with Compulfion and Violence, on the unfitted Capacitys and Apprehenfions of Mankind. The very Ferwifb Traditions, and Cabalifick Learning underwent this Fate. That which was naturally the Subject of profound Speculation and Inquiry, was made the neceffary Subject of a ftrict and abfolute Affent. The allegorical, mytbological Account of Sacred Things, was wholly inverted: Liberty of Judgment and Expofition taken away: No Ground left for Inquiry, Search, or Meditation: No Refuge from the dogmatical Spirit let loofe. Every Quarter was taken up; every Portion prepoffefs'd. All was reduc'd to * Article and Propofition.
'Thus a fort of philofopbical Ent нum siasm overfpread the World. And BiGotry (a + Species of Superfition hardly known before) took place in Mens Affections, and arm'd 'em with a new Jealoufy againft each other. Barbarous

[^41]Terms

Mifc. 2.Terms and Idioms were every day intros duc'd: Monftrous Definitions invented and impos'd: New Schemes of Faith erected from time to time; and Hoftilitys, the fierceft imaginable, exercis'd on thefe occafions. So that the Enthusiasm or Zeal, which was ufually fhewn by Mankind in behalf of their particular Worfhips, and which for the moft part had been hitherto defenfive only, grew now to be univerfally of the offenfive kind.

IT MAY be expected of me perhaps, that being fallen thus from remote Antiquity to Jater Periods, I hou'd fpeak on this occafion with more than ordinary Exactnefs and Regularity. It may be urg'd againft me, that I talk here, as at random, and without-book: neglecting to produce my Authoritys, or continue my Quotations, according to the profefs'd Style and Manner in which I began this prefent Chapter. But as there are many greater Privileges by way of Variation, Interruption, and Digreffion, allow'd to us Writers of Misceleany; and efpecially to fuch as are Commentators upon other Authors; I fhall be content to remain myfterious in this refpect, and explain my-felf no further than by a noted Story; which feems to fute our Author's purpofe, and the prefent Argument.
${ }^{\prime}$ Irs observable from Holy Writ, that $\sim^{\sim}$ the ancient Ephesian Worshipers, however zealous or enthufiatick they appeared, had only a defensive kind of Zeal in behalf of their * Temple; whenever they thought in earneft, it was brought in danger. In the $\dagger$ Tumult which happen'd in that City near the time of the holy ApoAle's Retreat, we have a remarkable in Stance of what our Author calls a religious Panick. As little Bigots as the People were, and as far from any offensive Zeal, yet when their eftablifh'd Church came to be call'd in queftion, we fee in what a manner their Zeal began to operate. $\ddagger$ "All with one voice, about the "Space of two hours, cried out, Saying,

[^42]$\sim$ the fame time this Affembly was fo confus'd, that * the greater part knew not wherefore they were come together; and confequently cou'd not underftand why their Church was in any Danger. But the EnthuSIASM was got up, and a PANICK Fear for the Cburch had ftruck the Multitude. It ran into a popular Rage or epidemical Pbrenzy, and was communicated (as our + Author expreffes it) " by Afpect, " or, as it were, by Contact, or Sym"pathy."

IT muft be confefs'd, that there was, befides thefe Motives, a fecret Spring which forwarded this Enthusiasm. For certain Partys concern'd, Men of Craft, and ffrictly united in Intereft, had been fecretly call'd together, and told, "Gentlemen! "f $\ddagger$ (or Sirs!) Ye know that by this My/te"ry, or Craft, we have our Wealth. Ye "fee withal, and have heard, that not only " here at Ephesus, but almoft thro'out "all Asia, this Paul has perfuaded and "turn'd away many People, by telling "them, They are no real Gods wobo are "figur'd, or wrought with bands: fo that

[^43]" not only this our Craft is in danger; Ch. 2. " but alfo the Temple it-felf."

Nothing cou'd be more modorate and wife, nothing more agreeable to that magifterial Science or Policy, which our Author * recommends, than the Behaviour of the Taron-Clerk or Recorder of the $\mathrm{Ci}-$ ty, as he is reprefented on this occafion, in Holy Writ. I muft confefs indeed, he went pretty far in the ufe of this moderating Art. 'He ventur'd to affure the People, "That every one acquiefc'd in " their antient Worfhip of the great God"defs, and in their Tradition of the " Image, which fell down from Jupi"t ter: That thefe were Facts undenia" ble: and That the new Sect neither " meant the pulling down of their Church, " nor fo much as offer'd to blafpheme or ${ }^{\text {"c }}$ fpeak amifs of their Goddefs."

This, no doubt, was flretching the point fufficiently; as may be underftood by the Event, in after time. One might perhaps have fufpected this Recorder to have been himfelf a Difenter, or at leaft an Occafional Conformif, who cou'd anfwer fo roundly for the new Sect, and warrant the Cburch in Being fecure of Damage, and out of all Danger for the future. Meas

[^44]Vol. 3.
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Mifc.2.while the Tumult was appeas'd: No harm befel the Temple for that time. The new Sect acquiefc'd in what had been fpoken on their behalf. They allow'd the Apology of the Recorder. Accordingly the Zeal of the Heathen Church, which was only defenjive, gave way: And the new Religionifts were profecuted no further.

Hitherto, it feems, the Face of Persecution had not openly fhewn it-felf in the wide World. 'Twas fufficient Security for every Man, that he gave, no difturbance to what was publickly eftablifh'd. But when offenfive Zeal came to be difcover'd in one Party, the reft became in a manner neceffitated to be Aggreffors in their turn. They who obferv'd, or had once experienc'd this intolerating Spirit, cou'd no longer tolesate on their part *. And they who had once

[^45]once exerted it over others, cou'd expect Ch. 2. no better Quarter for themfelves. So that $\sim \sim$ nothing lefs than mutual Extirpation became the Aim, and almoft open Profeffion of each religious Society.

Idolatry. The name Idolater is fufficient Excuse for almoft any kind of Infult againt the Peron, and much more againft the Worlhip of fuch a Mif-Believer. The very word Cbrifitian is in common Language us'd for Man, in oppofition to BruteBeaft, without leaving fo much as a middle place for the poor Heathen or Pagan: who, as the greater Beat of the two, is naturally doom'd to Maffacre, and his Gods and Temples to Fracture and Demolifhment. Nor are we mafters of this Paffion, even in our bet humour. The French Poets, we fee, can with great Success, and general Applause, exhibit this primitive Zeal even on the publick Stage : Polyeucte, Act II. Sc. 6.

> Ne perdons plus de temps, le Sacrifice eft prêt. Allons $y$ du cray Lieu foutenir lintéret, Allons fouler aux piés ce Foudre ridicule
> Dint arme un boos pourri ce Peuple troop credule: Allows en éclairer l'aveuglement fatal, Allows brijer aces Dieux de Pierre Go de Metal:
> Abandonnons nos jours à cette ardour celefte,
> Faijons triompher Dieu; quail di/pofe du refile.

I fhou'd farce have mention'd this, but that it came into my mind how ill a Conitruction forme People have endeavour'd to make of what our Author, stating the Cafe of Heathen and Christian Persecution, in his Letter of Entbryfafm, has raid concerning the Emperor Julian. It was no more indeed than had been fail of that virtuous and gallant Emperor by his greateft Enemys; even by thole who, to the frame of Christianity, boated of his having been molt infolently affronted on all occafions, and even treacheroufly affaifinated by one of his Chriftian Soldiers. As for fuck Authors as thee, thou'd I cite them in their proper invective Style and Saintlike Phrafe, they would make no very agreeable appearance, efpecially in Mifcellanys of the kind we have here undertaken. But a Letter of that elegant and witty Emperor, may not be improperly placed amongst our Citations, as a Pattern of his

In this extremity, it might well perhaps have been efteem'd the happieft Wirh for Mankind, 'That one of thefe contending Partys of incompatible Religionifts hou'd

Humour and Genius, as well as of his Principle and Sentiments, on this occafion. Julian's Epifles, Numb. $5^{2}$.

## Juitan to the Bostrens.

"I Bould bave thought, inideed, that the Galilæan Leaders "rwould have eftem'd themfelves more indebted to me, than " to bim cubo preceded me in the Adminiffration of the Em"pire. For in bis time, many of them fuffer'd Exile, Per" Jecution, and Imprifonnent. Multitudes of thofe rwbom " in their Religion they term Hereticks, recre put to the "f fword. Infomuch that in Samofata, Cyzicum, Paphla" gonia, Bithynia, Galatia, and many other Countrys, zwbole "Towns were leovl'd with the Eartb. The juft Reverve of "this bas been obferv'd in my time. The Exiles bave been "recall'd; and the Profrib'd reftor'd to the laweful Pofiefion " of their Efates. But to that beight of Fury and Diftrac"tion are this People arrived, that being no longer allow'd "the Privilege to tyrannize over one another, or perfecute "either their own Sectarys, or the Religious of the lawful "Cburch, they fwell wuitb rage, and leave no fone un" turn'd, no opporitunity wnimploy"d, of raingng Tumult and "Sedition. So little regard bave they to true Piety; fo little "Obedience to our Laws and Confititutions; bowever bu" mane and tolerating. For fill do ove dictermine and fed"dily refolie, never to fiffer one of them to be drazen in"voluntarily to our Altars. * * * As for the mere People, "indeed, they appear driven to thefe Riots and Seditions by "thofe amongft them rubom they call CLERICKS: rubo " are now enrag'd to fund themfelves reftrain'd in the ufe of " their former Poweer and intemperate Rule. * * * They can "no longer ast the Magifrate or Civil Gudg, nor afune Au"thiority to make Peoples Wills, fupplant Relations, pof" fefs thennelves of other Mens Patrimorys, and by peccious "Pretences transfer all into their ozyn poffefion. * * * For " this recefon I bave thougbt fit, by this Publick EDICT, "to forewarn the People of this fort, that they raife no
thou'd at laft prevail over the reft; foCh. 2. as by an univerfal and abfolute Power to $\sim$ * determine Orthodoxy, and make that Opinion effectually Catbolick, which in their particular Judgment had the beft right to that Denomination. And thus by force of Maffacre and Defolation, Peace in Wor-
" more Commotions, nor gatber in a riotous manner about "t their Seditious CLERICKS, in defiance of the Magifrate, " wwo bas been infulted and in danger of being fron'd by "thefe incited Rabbles. In their Congregations they may, not"" withflanding, affemble as they pleafe, and croud about their "Leaders, performing Worßip, receiving Doctrine, and pray" ing, according as they are by them taught and conducted: "But if withb any Tendency to Sedition; let them berware " bow they bearken, or give afent; and remenber, 'tis at "" their peril, if by thefe means they are fecretly worought ap ", to Mutiny and Infurrection. ** * Live, therefore, in "Peace and Quietnefs! neither fititefully oppofng, or inju"rioufly treating one another. You mifguided People of the " neww way, Berware, on your fide! And you of the antient "and efablifb'd Cburch, injure not your Neigbbours and Fel" loww-Citizens, whbo are entbuffafically led arway, in Igno"rance and Mifake, ratber than with Defign or Malice! "' Tis by DISCOURSE and REASON, not by Blows, " Infults, or Violence, that Men are to be informn'd of Truth, " and convinc'd of Error. Again therefore ond again I en" join and charge the zealous Followers of the true Religion, no " rway to injure, moleft, or affront the Galilæan People."

Thus the generous and mild Emperor; whom we may indeed call Heathen, but not fo juflly Apofate: fince being, at different times of his Youth, transfer'd to different Schools or Univerfitys, and bred under Tutors of each Religion, as well Heathen as Cbrifian; he happen'd, when of full age, to make his choice (tho very unfortunately) in the former kind, and adher'd to the antient Religion of his Country and Forefathers. See the fame Emperor's Letters to Artabius, Numb. 7. and to Hecefolus, Numb. 43. and to the People of Alexandria, Numb. 10. See V OL.I. fag. 25.

[^46] ritual, might be prefum'd in a fair way of being reftor'd to Mankind.

I SHALL conclude with obferving how ably the Roman-Cbrifian, and once $C a-$ tholick Church, by the affiftance of their converted * Emperors, proceeded in the Etablifhment of their growing Hierarchy. They confider'd wifely the various Superfritions and Entbufafms of Mankind; and prov'd the different Kinds and Force of each. All thefe feeming Contrarietys of human Paffion they knew how to comprehend in their political Model and fubfervient Syftem of Divinity. They knew how to make advantage both from the high Speculations of Pbilofophy, and the grafejt Ideas of vulgar Ignorance. They faw there was nothing more different than that Enthusiasm which ran upon Spi= rituals, according to the $q$ fimpler Views of the divine Exiftence, and that which ran upon + external Proportions, Magnificence of Structures, Ceremonys, Proceffions, Quires, and thofe other Harmonys which captivate the Eye and Ear. On this account they even added to this latter kind, and difplay'd Religion in a yet more gorgeous Habit of Temples, Statues, Paint-

[^47]ings, Veftments, Copes, Miters, Purple, Ch. 2. and the Cathedral Pomp. With thefe $\sim$ Arms they cou'd fubdue the victorious Goths, and fecure themfelves an AtтiLA ${ }^{\text {w }}$, when their C 压sARS fail'd them.

The truth is, 'tis but a vulgar Species of Enthusiasm, which is mov'd chiefly by Shero and Ceremony, and wrought upon by Chalices and Candles, Robes, and figur'd Dances. Yet this, we may believe, was lookt upon as no flight Ingredient of Dervotion in thofe Days; fince, at this hour, the Manner is found to be of confiderable Efficacy with fome of the Devout amongft our-felves, who pafs the leaft for fuperfitious, and are reckon'd in the Number of the polite World. This the wife Hierarchy duly preponderating; but being fatisfy'd withal that there were other Tempers and Hearts which cou'd not fo eafily be captivated by this exterior Allurement, they affign'd another Part of Religion to Profelytes of another Cbaracter

* When this victorious Ravager was in full March to
Rome, St. Leo (the then Pope) went out to meet him
in folemn Pomp. The Gotb was ftruck with the Appearance,
obey'd the Prieft, and retir'd inftantly with his whole Army in
a panick Fear ; alledging that among the reft of the Pontiff-
cal Train, he had feen one of an extraordinary Form, who
threaten'd him with Death, if he did not inftantly retire. Of
this important Encounter there are in St. Peter's Church,
in the Vatican, and elfewhere, at Rome, many fine Sculp-
tures, Paintings, and Reprefentations, defervingly made, is
honour of the Miracle.
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Mifc. 2.and Complexion, who were allow'd to proceed on a quite different bottom; by the invoard way of Contemplation, and Divine Love.

They are indeed fo far from being jealous of mere Enthusiasm, or the extatick manner of Devotion, that they allow their Myficks to write and preach in the moft rapturous and feraphick Strains. They fuffer them, in a manner, to fuperfede all external Worfhip, and triumph over outward Forms; till the refin'd Religionifts proceed fo far as either exprefly or feemingly to diffuade the Practice of the vulgar and eftablifh'd Ceremonial Dutys. And then, indeed *, they check the fuppos'd exorbitant Enthusiasm, which wou'd prove dangerous to their Hierarchal State.

If modern Vifions, Propbecys, and Dreams, Cbarms, Miracles, Exorcijms, and the reft of this kind, be comprehended in that which we call FANATICISM or Superstition; to this Spirit they allow a full Career; whilft to ingenuous Writers they afford the Liberty, on the other fide, in a civil manner, to call in

[^48]Reflections.
queftion thefe fpiritual Feats perform'd in Ch. 2. Monafterys, or up and down by their mendicant or itinerant Priefts, and ghoftly Miffionarys.

THis is that antient Hierarchy, which in refpect of its firft Foundation, its Pom licy, and the Confiftency of its whole Frame and Conftitution, cannot but appear in fome refpect auguft and venerable, even in fuch as we do not ufually efteem weak Eyes. Thefe are the fpiritual Conquerors, who, like the firft C $x$ SARS, from fmall Beginnings, eftablifh'd the Foundations of an almoft Univerfal Monarchy. No wonder if at this day the immediate View of this Hierarchal Refidence, the City and Court of Rome, be found to have an extraordinary Effect on Foreigners of other latter Churches. No wonder if the amaz'd Surveyors are for the future fo apt either to conceive the horrideft Averfion to all Prieftly Government; or, on the contrary, to admire it, fo far as even to wifh a Coalefcence or Re-union with this antient Mother-Church.

In reality, the Exercife of Power, hown ever arbitrary or defpotick, feems lefs intolerable under fuch a fpiritual Sovereignty, to extenfive, antient, and of fuch a long Succeffion, than under the petty Tyrannys and mimical Politys of fome new Pretenders.

Mifc.2.ders. The former may even * perfecute with a tolerable Grace: The latter, who wou'd willingly derive their Authority from the former, and graft on their fuccefive Rigbt, muft neceffarily make a very aukard Figure. And whilft they frive to give themfelves the fame Air of Independency on the Civil Magiftrate ; whilft they affect the fame Authority in Government, the fame Grandure, Magnificence, and Pomp in Worthip, they raife the higheft Ridicule, in the Eyes of thofe who have real Difcernment, and can diftinguifh Ori= ginals from Copys:

$\dagger$ O Initatores, Servum pecus!<br>* Ihfra, pag. iro.<br>+ Horat. Lib.i. Ep. 19. ver. 19.

## C H A P. III.

Of the Force of Humour in Religion. -Support of our Author's Aro gument in bis Effay on the Fres dom of Wit and Raillery.Zeal difcufs'd. Spiritual Surgeons: Executioners: Carvers. -Original of human Sacrifice. - Exbilaration of Religion Various Ajpects, from outward Caules.
$\square \mathrm{HE}$ celebrated Wits of the Mrscellanarian Race, the E $\int a y$ Writers, cafual Difcourfers, ReflectionCoiners, Meditation-Founders, and others of the irregular kind of Writers, may plead it as their peculiar Advantage, "That they follow the Variety of Nature." And in fuch a Climate as ours, their Plea, no doubt, may be very juft. We Iflanders, fam'd for other Mutabilitys, are particularly noted for the Variablenefs and Inconftancy of our Weather. And if our Tafte in Letters be found anfwerable to this Temperature of our Climate; 'tis certain

Mifc. 2.tain a Writer muft, in our Account, be the more valuable in his kind, as he can agreeably furprize his Reader, by Judden Cbanges, and Tran/ports, from one Extreme to another.

Were it not for the known Prevalency of this Relifh, and the apparent Deference paid to thofe Genius's who are faid to elevate and furprize; the Author of thefe Miscellanys might, in all probability, be afraid to entertain his Reader with this multifarious, complex, and defultory kind of Reading. 'Tis certain, that if we confider the Beginning and Procefs of our prefent Work, we fhall find fufficient Variation in it. From a profers'd Levity, we are laps'd into a fort of Gravity unfutable to our manner of fetting out. We have fteer'd an adventurous Courfe, and feem newly come out of a ftormy and rough Sea. 'Tis time indeed we hou'd enjoy a Calm, and inftead of expanding our Sails before the fwelling Gufts, it befits us to retire under the Lee-fhore, and ply our Oars in a fmooth Water.
'Tis the Pbilofopher, the Orator, or the Poet, whom we may compare to fome Firft-Rate Veffel, which launches out into the wide Sea, and with a proud Motion infults the encountering Surges. We

Essay-Writers are of the Small-Craft, or Ch. 3. Galley-kind. We move chiefly by Starts and Bounds ; according as our Motion is by frequent Intervals renew'd. We have no great Adventure in view; nor can tell certainly Whither we are bound. We undertake no mighty Voyage, by help of Stars or Compafs ; but row from Creek to Creek, keep up a coafting T'rade, and are fitted only for fair Weather and the Summer Seafon.

Happy therefore it is for us in particular, that having finifh'd our Courfe of Enthusiasm, and purfu'd our Author into his * fecond Treatife, we are now, at laft, oblig'd to turn towards pleafanter Reflections, and have fuch Subjects in view as muft naturally reduce us to a more familiar Style. Wit and Humour (the profefs'd Subject of the Treatife now before us) will hardly bear to be examin'd in ponderous Sentences and pois'd Difcourfe. We might now perhaps do beft, to lay afide the Gravity of ftrict Argument, and refume the way of Cbat; which, thro' $A$ verfion to a contrary formal manner, is generally relifh'd with more than ordinary Satisfaction. For excefs of Pby/ck, we know, has often made Men hate the name of robolefom. And an abundancy of forc'd

[^49]Mifc. 2. Inftruction, and folemn Counfel, may have made Men full as averfe to any thing deliver'd with an Air of high Wifdom and Science; efpecially if it be fo bigh as to be fet above all human Art of Reafoning, and even above Reafon it-felf, in the account of its fublime Difpenfers.

However, fince it may be objected to us by certain Formalifs of this fort, "That we can prove nothing duly with${ }^{66}$ out proving it in form:" we may for once condefcend to their Demand; ftate our Cafe formally; and divide our Subject into Parts, after the precife manner, and according to juft Rule and Metbod.

Our purpofe, therefore, being to defend an Author, who has been charg'd as too prefumptuous for introducing the way of Wit and Humour into religious Searches; we fhall endeavour to make appear:
if $/$, That Wit and Humour are corroborative of Religion, and promotive of true Faith.

2 ly, 'That they are us'd as proper Means of this kind by the holy Founders of Religion.

3hy, That notwithfanding the dark Complexion and four Humour of fome re-
ligious Teachers, we may be juftly faid to Ch. 3 . have in the main, A weitty and good-bumour'd Religion.

Among the earlieft Acquaintance of my Youth, I remember, in particular, a Club of three or four merry Gentlemen, who had long kept Company with one another, and were feldom feparate in any Party of Pleafure or Diverfion. They happen'd once to be upon a travelling Advencure, and came to a Country, where they were told for certain, they fhould find the worft Entertainment, as well as the worft Roads imaginable. One of the Gentlemen, who feem'd the leaft concern'd for this Difanter, faid flightly and without any feeming Defign, "That the " beft Expedient for them in this Extre" mity wou'd be to keep themfelves in " high Humour, and endeavour to com" mend every thing which the Place af" forded." The other Gentlemen immediately took the hint ; but, as it happen'd, kept filence, pafs'd the Subject over, and took no further notice of what had been propos'd.

Being enter'd into the difmal Country, in which they proceeded without the leaft Complaint ; 'twas remarkable, that if by great chance they came to any tolerable Bit of Road, or any ordinary Profpect,

Mifc. 2.pect, they fail'd not to fay fomething or other in its praife, and wou'd light often on fuch pleafant Fancys and Reprefentations, as made the Objects in reality agreeable.

When the greateft part of the Day was thus fpent, and our Gentlemen arriv'd where they intended to take their Quarters, the firft of 'em who made trial of the Fare, or tafted either Glafs or Dijh, recommended it with fuch an air of Affurance, and in fuch lively Expreffions of Approbation, that the others came inftantly over to his Opinion, and confirm'd his Relifh with many additional Encomiums of their own.

MAny ingenious Reafons were given for the feveral odd Taftes and Looks of Things, which were prefented to 'em at Table. "Some Meats were wholefom: "Others of a bigh Tafe: Others accor"ding to the manner of eating in this or "that foreign Country." Every Difh had the flavour of fome celebrated Receit in Cookery; and the Wine, and other Liquors, had, in their turn, the advantage of being treated in the fame elegant ftrain. In fhort, our Gentlemen eat and drank heartily, and took up with their indifferent Fare fo well, that'twas apparent they had wrought upon themfelves to believe they were tolerably well ferv'd.

Their

Their Servants, in the mean time, having laid no fuch Plot as this againft themfelves, kept to their Senfes, and food it out, " That their Mafters had certainly " loft theirs. For how elfe cou'd they " Jwallow fo contentedly, and take all for "good which was fet before 'em ?"-

Had I to deal with a malicious Reader; he might perhaps pretend to infer from this Story of my travelling Friends, that I intended to reprefent it as an eafy matter for People to perfuade themfelves into what Opinion or Belief they pleas'd. But it can never furely be thought, that Men of true $\mathcal{F u d y m e n t}$ and Underftanding hou'd fet about fuch a Task as that of perverting their own Fudgment, and giving a wrong Biafs to their Reason. They muft eafily forefee that an Attempt of this kind, fhou'd it have the leaft Succefs, wou'd prove of far worfe Confequence to them than any Perverfion of their Tafle, Appeo tite, or ordinary Senjes.

I must confefs it, however, to be my Imagination, that where fit Circumfinnces concur, and many inviting Occafions offer from the fide of Mens Intereft, their Humour, or their Paffion; 'tis no extraordinary Cafe to fee 'em enter into fuch a Plot as this againft their own UnderftandVol. 3.

H
ings,

Mifc. 2.ings, and endeavour by all poffible means to perfuade both themfelves and others of what they think converient and ueful to believe.

If in many particular Cafes, where Favour and Affection prevail, it be found fo eafy a thing with us, to impofe upon ourfelves; it cannot furely be very hard to do it, where we take for granted, our bigheft Intereft is concern'd. Now it is certainly no imall Intereft or Concern with Men, to believe what is by Authority eftablifh'd ; fince in the Cafe of Disbelief there can be no Choice left but either to live a Hypocrite, or be efteem'd profane. Even where Men are left to themfelves, and allow'd the Freedom of their Choice, they are ftill forward enough in believing; and can officioufly endeavour to perfuade themfelves of the Truth of any flattering Impofture.

Nor is it unufual to find Men fucceffful in this Endeavour: As, among other Inftances, may appear by the many religious Faiths or Opinions, however prepofterous or contradictory, which, Age after Age, we know to have been rais'd on the Foundation of Miracles and pretended Commiffions from Heaven. Thefe have been as generally efpous'd and paffionately cherifh'd as the greateft Truths and mont cer-

REFLECTIOMS.
fain Revelations. 'Tis hardly to be fup- Ch. 3 . pos'd that fuch Combinations fhou'd be $\sim$ d form'd, and Forgerys erected with fuch Succefs and Prevalency over the Underftandings of Men, did not they themfolves co-operate, of their own accord, towards the Impofture, and fhew, "That by a "good-Will and hearty Defire of believing; " they had in reality a confiderable Hand " in the Deceit:"
'Tis certain that in a Country, where FaIt h has, for a long time, gone by Inberitance, and Opinions are entail'd by Law, there is little room left for the Vulgar to alter their Perfuafion, or deliberate on the Choice of their religious Belief. Whenfoever a Government thinks fit to concern itfelf with Mens Opinions, and by its abfolute Authority impofe any particular Belief, there is none perhaps ever fo ridiculous or monftrous in which it needs doubt of having good Succefs. This we may fee thorowly effected in certain Countrys, by a fteddy Policy, and found Application of Punifhment and Reward: with the Afiif.. tance of particular Courts erected to this end; peculiar Methods of Juftice; peculiar Magiffrates and Officers; proper Inquefts, and certain wbolefom Severitys, not Nlightly adminifter'd, and play'd with, (as certain Triflers propofe) but duly and properly inforc'd; as is abfolutely requifite to this end

Mifc. 2.of frict Conformity, and Unity in one and the fame Profeffion, and manner of Worfhip.

But thou'd it happen to be the Truth it-felf which was thus effectually propagated by the Means we have defcrib'd; the very Nature of fuch Mearis can, however, allow but little Honour to the Proparators, and little Merit to the Dijciples and Believers. 'Tis certain that Mahometism, Paganism, Judaism, or any otber Belief may ftand, as well as the trueft, upon this Foundation. He who is now an Orthodox Christian, wou'd by virtue of fuch a Difcipline have been infallibly as true a Mussulman, or as errant a Heretick; had his Birth happen'd in another place.

For this reafon there can be no rational Belief but where Comparifon is allow'd, Examination permitted, and a fincere Toleration eftablifn'd. And in this cafe, I will prefume to fay, "That Whatever Belief " is once efpous'd or countenanc'd by the " Magiftrate, it will have a fufficient ad" vantage; without any help from Force " or Menaces on one hand, or extraordi" nary Favour and partial Treatment on "s the other." If the Belief be in any meafure confonant to Truth and Realon, it will find as much favour in the Eyes of Mankind, as Truth and Reafon need defire.

Whatever

Whatever Difficultys there may be in any Ch. 3 . particular Speculations or My/terys belong-~~ ing to it ; the better fort of Men will endeavour to pafs 'em over. They will believe (as our * Author fays) to the fill fretch of their Reason, and add Spurs to their Faith, in order to be the more fociable; and conform the better with what their Interef, in conjunction with their GoodHumour, inclines them to receive as credible, and obferve as their religious Duty and devotional Task.

Here it is that Good Humour will naturally take place, and the Hoppitable Dijpofition of our travelling Friends aboverecited will eafily transfer it-felf into $R e-$ ligion, and operate in the fame manner with refpect to the eftablifh'd Faith (however miraculous or incomprehenfible) under a tolerating, mild, and gentle Government.

Every one knows, indeed, That by Heresy is underftood a Stubbornnefs in the Will, not a Defect merely in the Uriderftanding. On this account 'tis impoffible that an honeft and good-bumour'd Man fhou'd be a Schifinatick or Heretick, and affect to feparate from his national Worfhip on flight Reafon, or without fevere Provecation.

[^50] to be threatned with Punifbment, or penal Laves; to be mark'd out as dangerous and furpected; to be rail'd at in bigh Places, with all the Atudy'd Wit and Art of Calumny; are indeed fufficient Provocations to ill Humour, and may force People to divide, who at firf had never any fuch Intention. But the Virtue of Good-Humour in Reifgion is fuch, that it can even reconcile Perfons to a Belief, in which they were never bred, or to which they had conceiv'd a former Prejudice.

From thefe Confiderations we cannos but of courfe conclude, "That there is " nothing fo ridiculous in refpect of Po" licy, or fo wrong and odious in refpect "of common Humanity, as a moderate "and balf-way Persecution.". It only frets the Sore; it raifes the Ill-bumour of Mankind ; excites the keener Spirits; moves Indignation in Beholders; and fows the very Seeds of Schifm in Mens bofoms. Arcfolute and bold-facid Persecution leaves no time or foope for thefe engendring Diftempers, or gathering Ill-humours. It does the work at once ; by $E x-$ tirpation, Banifoment, or Maflacre; and like a bold Stroke in Surgery, difpatches by one fhort Amputation, what a bungling Hand wou'd make worfe and worfe, to
she perpetual Sufferance and Mifery of the Ch. 3 . Patient.

If there be on earth a proper way to render the moft facred Truth fufpected, 'tis by fupporting it with Tbreats, and pretending to terrify People into the Belief of it. This is a fort of daring Mankind in a Caufe, where they know themfelves fuperior, and out of reach. The weakeft Mortal finds within himfelf, that tho he may be out-witted and deluded, he can never be forc'd in what relates to his Opinion or Aflent. And there are few Men fo ignorant of human Nature, and of what they hold in common with their Kind, as not to comprehend, " That where great " Vehemence is exprefs'd by any-one in "what relates folely to another, 'tis fel${ }^{6}$ dom without fome private Interest of " his own."

In common Matters of Difpute, the angry Difputant makes the beft Caufe to appear the worft. A Cloron once took a fancy to hear the Latin Difputes of Doctors at a Univerfity. He was ask'd what pleafure he could take in viewing fuch Combatants, when he could never know fo much as which of the Partys had the better. "For that matter, reply'd the "Clown, I a'n't fuch a Fool neither, but I ${ }_{-}^{〔}$ can fee who's the firft that puts tother $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ " into

Mifc. 2." into a Paffion." Nature her-felf dictaMted this Leffon to the Clown; "That he " who had the better of the Argument, "wou'd be eafy and well-bumour'd: But " he who was unable to fupport his Caufe " by Reafon, wou'd naturally lofe his "Temper, and grow violent."

Were two Travellers agreed to tell their Story feparate in publick: the one being a Man of Sincerity, but pofitive and dogmatical; the other lefs fincere, but eafy and good-bumour'd: tho it happen'd that the Accounts of this latter Gentleman were of the more miraculous fort; they wou'd yet fooner gain Belief, and be more favourably receiv'd by Mankind, than the frongly afferted Relations and vehement Narratives of the other fierce Defender of the Truth.

That GOOD HUMOUR is a chief Caufe of Compliance, or Acquiefcence in matters of Faith, may be prov'd from the very Spirit of thofe, whom we commonly call Criticks. 'Tis a known Prevention againft the Gentlemen of this Character; "That they are generally ill-bu$\because$ mour'd, and jplenetick." The World will needs have it, That their Spleen difzurbs 'em. And I muft confers I think the World in general to be fo far right in this Conceit, That tho all Criticks perhaps People (whether naturally fuch, or made $\longrightarrow$ fo by ill Ufage) have a neceffary Propenfity to Criticifn and Satir. When Men are eafy in themfelves, they let others remain fo; and can readily comply with what feems plaufible, and is thought conducing to the 2uiet or good Carrefpondence of Mankind. They ftudy to raife no Difficultys or Doubts. And in religious Affairs, 'tis feldom that they are known forward to entertain ill Thoughts or Surmifes, whilft they are unmolefted. But if difturb'd by groundlefs Arraignments and Sufpicions, by unneceffary Invectives, and bitter Declamations, and by a contentious quarrelfom Afpect of Religion; they naturally turn Criticks, and begin to queftion every thing. The Spirit of Satir rifes with the ill Mood: and the chief Paffion of Men thus difeas'd and thrown out of Good Humour, is to find fault, cenfure, unravel, confound, and leave nothing without exception and controverfy.

These are the Scepticks or Scrupulifts, againft whom there is fuch a Clamor rais'd. 'Tis evident, in the mean while ${ }_{2}$ that the very Clamor it-felf, join'd with the ufual Menaces and Shew of Force, is that which chiefly raifes this fceptical Spirit, and helps to multiply the number of thefe inquifitive and ill-bumour' $d$ CRI-

Mifc.2.Ticks. Mere Threats, without power of Execution, are only exafperating and provocative. They * who are Mafters of the carnal as well as /piritual Weapon, may apply each at their pleafure, and in what proportion they think neceffary. But where the Magiftrate refolves fteddily to referve his Fafces for his own proper Province, and keep the Edg-Tools and deadly Inftruments out of other Hands, 'tis in vain for fpiritual Pretenders to take fuch magifterial Airs. It can then only become them to brandifh fuch Arms, when they have ftrength enough to make the Magiftrate refign his Office, and become Provoft or Executioner in their fervice.-

SHou'd any one who happens to read thefe Lines, perceive in himfelf a rifing Animofity againft the Author, for afferting thus zealoufly the Notion of a religious Liberty, and mutual Toleration; 'tis wifh'd that he wou'd maturely deliberate on the Caufe of his Difturbance and Ill-humour, Wou'd he deign to look narrowly into himfelf, he wou'd undoubtedly find that it is not $\mathbb{Z e m a l}_{\text {eal }}$ for Religion or the Truth, which moves him on this occafion. For had he happen'd to be in a Nation where he was $n 0$ Conformift, nor had any Hope or Expectation of obtaining the Prece-

[^51]dency
dency for his oren Manner of Wormip, he Ch. 3 . wou'd have found nothing prepofterous in this our Doctrine of Indulgence. 'Tis a Fact indifputable, that whatever Sect or Religion is undermoft, tho it may have perfecuted at any time before; yet as foon as if begins to fuffer Perfecution in its turn, it recurs inftantly to the Principles of Moderation, and maintains this our Plea for Complacency, Sociablene/s, and, Good Humour in Religion. The Myftery therefore of this Animofity, or rifing Indignation of my devout and zealous Reader, is only this; "That being devoted " to the Intereft of a Party already in pof"feffion or expectation of the temporal "Advantages annex'd to a particular Be" lief; he fails not, as a zealous Party"Man, to look with jealoufy on every " unconformable Opinion, and is fure to " juftify thofe Means which he thinks "proper to prevent its growth." He knows that if in Matters of Religion any one believes amifs, 'tis at his own peril. If Opinion damns; Vice certainly does as much. Yet will our Gentleman eafily find, if he inquires the leaft into bimfelf, that he has no fuch furious Concern for the Security of Mens Morals, nor any fuch violent Refentment of their Vices, when they are fuch as no-way incommode him. And from hence it will be eafy for him to infer, "That the Paffion " he

Mifc.2." he feels on this occafion, is not from " pure Zeal, but private Interest, ". and worldly Emulation."

COME we now (as authentick Rhetoricians exprefs themfelves) to our fecond Head: which we fhou'd again fubdivide into Firfts and Seconds, but that this manner of carving is of late days grown much out of fafhion.
'Twas the Cuftom of our Anceftors, perhaps as long fince as the days of our hofpitable King Arthur, to have nothing ferv'd at Table but what was intire and fubftantial. 'Twas a whole Boar, or folid Ox which made the Feaft. The Figure of the Animal was preferv'd intire, and the Diffection made in form by the appointed Carver, a Man of Might as well as profound Craft and notable Dexterity; who was feen erect, with goodly Mein and Action, dijplaying Heads and Members, dividing according to Art, and diftributing his Subject-matter into proper Parts, futable to the Stomachs of thofe he ferv'd. In latter days 'tis become the Fafhion to eat with lefs Ceremony and Method. Every-one chufes to carve for himfelf. The learned Manner of Diffection is out of requeft; and a certain Merhod of Cookery has been introduc'd; by which
which the anatomical Science of the Table Ch. 3 . is intirely fet afide. Ragouts and Fricaffees are the reigning Difhes, in which every thing is fo difmember'd and thrown out of all Order and Form, that no Part of the Mafs can properly be divided, or diftinguifh'd from another.

Fashion is indeed a powerful Miftrefs, and by her fingle Authority has fo far degraded the carving Method and Ufe of Solids, even in Difcourfe and Writing, that our religious Paftors themfelves have many of 'em chang'd their Manner of diftributing to us their fpiritual Food. They have quitted their fubftantial Service, and uniform Divifion into Parts and UnderParts; and in order to become fafhionable, they have run into the more favoury way of learned Ragout and Medley. 'Tis the unbred ruftick Orator alone, who prefents his clownifh Audience with a divifible Difcourfe. The elegant Court-Divine exhorts in Misceliany, and is afham'd to bring his Two's and Tbree's before a falhionable Affembly.

Shou'd I therefore, as a mere Mifcels lanarian or E/fay-Writer, forgetting what I had premis'd, be found to drop a Head, and lofe the connecting Thred of my prefent Difcourfe; the Cafe perhaps wou'd not be fo prepofterous. For fear however left my Reader, by purfuing my Method propos'd: if peradventure he can call to mind, what that Method was. Or if he cannot; the matter is not fo very important, but he may fafely purfue his reading, without further trouble.

To proceed, therefore. Whatever Mean's or Methods may be employ'd at any time in maintaining or propagating a religious Belief already current and eftablifh'd, 'tis evident that the firft Beginnings muft have been founded in that natural Complacency, and Good Humour, which inclines to Truft and Confidence in Mankind. Terrors alone, tho accompany'd with Miracles and Prodigys of whatevet kind, are not capable of raifing that fincere Faith and abfolute Reliance which is requir'd in favour of the divinely authoriz'd Inftructor, and fpiritual Cbief. The Affection and Love which procures a true Adherence to the new religious Foundation, muft depend either on a real or counterfeit * Goodness in the religious Founder. Whatever ambitious Spirit may infpire him; whatever favage Zeal or perfecuting Principle may lie in referve, ready to difclofe it-felf when Authority and

[^52]Power is once obtain'd; the Firft Scene ofCh. 3. Doctrine, however, fails not to prefent us $\sim \sim$ with the agreeable Views of $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathrm{y}$, Love, Meeknefs, Gentlene/s, and Moderation.

In this refpect, Refigion, according to the common Practice in many Sects, may be compar'd to that fort of Courthip, of which the Fair Sex are known often to complain. In the Beginning of an Amour, when thefe innocent Charmers are firft accofted, they hear of nothing but tender Vows, Submiffion, Service, Love. But foon afterwards, when won by this Appearance of Gentlenefs and Humility, they have refign'd themfelves, and are no longer their oron, they hear a different Note, and are taught to underftand Submifion and Service in a fenfe they little expected. Cbarity and Brotherly Love are very engaging Sounds: But who wou'd dream that out of abundant Charity and Brotherly Love Mou'd come Steel, Fire, Gibbets, Rods, and fuch a found and hearty Application of thefe Remedys as fhou'd at once advance the worldly Greatnefs of religious Paftors, and the particular Intereft of private Souls, for which they are fo charitably concern'd ?

[^53]Mifc. 2." cloudy People." That they had certain'ly in Religion, as in every thing elfe, the leaft Good-Humour of any People in the World, is very apparent. Had it been otherwife, their holy Legiflator and Deliverer, who was declar'd * the meekeft Man on Earth, and who for many years together had by the moft popular and kind Acts endeavour'd to gain their Love and Affection, wou'd in all probability have treated them afterwards with more Sweetnefs, and been able with $\uparrow$ lefs Blood and Maffacre to retain them in their religious Duty. This however we may obferve, That if the firft $\mathcal{F e w i} / \mathrm{b}$ Princes and celebrated Kings acted in reality according to the Inftitutions of their great Founder, not only Musick, but even Play and Dance, were of holy Appointment, and divine Right. The firft Monarch of this Nation, tho of a melancholy Complexion, join'd $\mathrm{Mu}_{\mathrm{U}}$ sick with his fpiritual Exercifes, and even us'd it as a Remedy under that dark Enthusiasm or $\ddagger$ evil Spirit; which how far it might refemble that of Prophecy, experienc'd by him ** even after his

[^54]Apoftacy, our * Author pretends not to Ch. 3. determine. 'Tis certain that the Succeffor of this Prince was a hearty Efpoufer of the 'merry Devotion, and by his example has fhewn it to have been fundamental in the religious Conftitution of his People. 4 The famous Entry or bigh Dance perform'd by him, after fo confpicuous a manner, in the Proceflion of the facred Coffer, fhews that he was not afham'd of expreffing any Extafy of Foy or $\ddagger$ playfom Humour, which was practis'd by the ** meaneft of the Priefts or People on fuch an occafion.

* Letter of Enthufiafm, V OL. I. pag. 45 .
$\dagger$ 2.Sam. Ch. vi. ver. 5,14, \& 16 .
$\ddagger$ Ibid. ver. 2.2 .
** Tho this Dance was not perform'd quite naked, the Dancers, it feems, were fo flightly cloth'd, that in refpect of Modefty, they might as well have wore nothing : their Nakednefs appearing fill by means of their high Caperings, Leaps, and violent Attitudes, which were proper to this Dance. The Reader, if he be curious, may examine what relation this religious Extaly and naked Dance had to the naked and procefinal Propbecy; (1 Sam. Ch. xix. ver. 23, \& 24.) where Prince, Prieft, and People prophery'd in conjunction: the Prince himfelf being both of the itinerant and naked Party. It appears that even before he was yet advanc'd to the Throne, he had been feiz'd with this prophefying Spirit errant, proceffonal, and foltant, attended, as we find, with a fort of Martial Dance perform'd in Troops or Companys, with Pipe and Tabret accompanying the March, together with Pfaltry, Harp, Cornets, Timbrels, and other variety of Mufick. See I.Sam. Ch.J. ver. 5. and Ch. xix. ver. $23,24,8$ c. and 2 Sam. Ch. vi. ver. 5. And above ${ }_{2}$ Letter of Entbufiafm, V OL. I. pag. 45.

> Vol.3. I Besidea

Mifc. 2.
Besides the many Songs and Hymns difpers'd in Holy Writ, the Book of Pfalms it-ielf, Fob, Proverbs, Canticles, and other. intire Volumes of the facred Collection, which are plainly Poetry, and full of humorous Images, and jocular Wit, may fufficiently hew how readily the infpir'd Authors had recourfe to Humour and Diversion, as a proper Means to promote Religion, and ftrengthen the eftablifid Faith.

When the Affairs of the $\mathcal{F e w i k}$ Nation grew defperate, and every thing feem'd tending to a total Conqueft and Captivity, the Style of their holy Writers and Prophets might well vary from that of earlier days, in the Rife and Vigor of their Common-wealth, or during the firft Splendor of their Monarchy, when the Princes themfelves prophefy'd, and potent Kings were of the number of the Sacred Pen-men. This ftill we may be affur'd of; That however melancboly or ill-bumour'd any of the Prophets may appear at any time, 'was not that kind of Spirit, which God was wont to encourage in them. Witnefs the Cafe of the Prophet Jonah; whofe Character is fo naturally defcrib'd in Holy Writ.

Pettish as this Prophet was, unlike $\underbrace{3}$ a Man, and refembling rather fome refractory boyish Pupil; it may be faid that God, as a kind Tutor, was pleas'd to bumour bim, bear with his Anger, and in ax lufory manner, expofe his childifh Fromwardnefs, and thew him to bimfelf:
"*ARISE (aid his gracious Lord) and "go to Ninive." "No fuch matter," fays our Prophet to himfelf; but away over-Sea for TARshish. He fairly plays the Truant, like an arch School-Boy; hoping to hide out of the way. But his Tutor had good Eyes, and a long Reach. He overtook him at Sea; where a Storm was ready prepar'd for his Exercife, and a Firn's Belly for his Lodging. The Renegade found himfelf in harder Durance than any at Land. He was fufficiently mortify'd: He grew good, pray'd, moraliz'd, and fpoke mightily againft + Lying Vanitys.

Again, $\ddagger$ the Prophet is taken into favour, and bid go to Ninive, to foretel Deftruction. He foretels it. Ninive repants: God pardons: and the Prophet is angry.

[^55]When the Almighty had fhown this Indulgence to the Prophet，he grew better－ humour＇d，and pafs＇d a tolerable Night． But the $\ddagger$ next morning the $W_{\text {arm }}$ came，

```
* Jonah, Ch. iv. ver. i, 2,3.
+ \er. &, 5,6.
I Fer.%,8.
```

and an Eafl-Wind: the Arbor was nip'd:Ch. 3 . the Sun Chone vehemently, and the Prophet's Head was heated, as before. Prefently the ill Mood returns, and the Prophet is at the old pafs. "Better die, "than live at this rate. - Death, Dcath " alone can fatisfy me. Let me hear no " longer of Living.-No!-_Tis in " vain to talk of it." -

Again * GOD expoftulates; but is taken up fhort, and anfwer'd churlifhly, by the tefty Prophet. "Angry he is; " angry he ougbt to be, and angry he will "be, to bis Death." But the AlmighTY, with the utmof pity towards him, in this melancholy and froward Temper, lays open the Folly of it ; and exhorts to Mild$n e / s$, and Good Humour, in the mort tender manner, and under the moft familiar and pleafant Images; whilit he fhews + exprefly more Regard and Tendernefs to the very Cattel and Brute-Beafts, than the Prophet to his own Human Kind, and to thofe very Difciples whom by his Preaching he had converted.

In the antienter Parts of Sacred Story, where the Beginning of things, and Origin of human Race are reprefented to us,

[^56]Mifc．2．there are fufficient Inftances of this Fami－ N liarity of Style，this popular pleafant In－ tercourfe，and Manner of Dialogue be－ tween＊God and Man：I might add even between 中 Man and Beaft；and what is till more extraordinary，between God and + Satan。

Whatsoever of this kind may be allegorically underftood，or in the way of Parable or FAble；this I am fure of，That the Accounts，Defcripticns，Nar－ rations，Expreflions，and Pbrafes are in themfelves many times exceedingly plea－ fant，－entertaining，and facetious．But fear－ ing left I might be mif－interpreted，fhou＇d I offer to fet thefe Paffages in their proper Light，（which however has been perform＇d by undoubted good Chriftians，and mort learned and＊＊eminent Divines of our own Church）I forbear to go any further into the Examination or Criticim of this fort．

As for our Saviour＇s Style，＇tis not more vebement and majeftick in his gravert Ani－ madverfions or declamatory Difcourfes； than it is foarp，bumorous，and witty in

[^57]his Repartees, Reflections, fabulous Nar-Ch. 3. rations, or Parables, Similes, Comparifons, and other Methods of milder Cenfure and Reproof. His Exhortations to his Difciples; his particular Defignation of their Manners; the pleafant Images under which he often couches his Morals and prudential Rules; even his Miracles themfelves (efpecially the * firft he ever wrought) carry with them a certain Fefivity, Alacrity, and Good Humour fo remarkable, that I fhou'd look upon it as impoffible not to be mov'd in a pleafant manner at their Recital.

Now, if what I have here afferted in behalf of Pleasantry and Humour, be found juft and real in refpect of the Jewibs and Cbriftian Religions; I doubt not, it will be yielded to me, in refpect of the antient Heathen Eftablifhments: that the higheft Care was taken by their original Founders, and following Reformers, to exbilarate Religion, and correct that Melancholy and Gloominess to which it is fubject; according to thofe different Modifications of +Enthusiasmabove fpecify'd.

[^58]
## Miscellaneous

Mifc. 2.
Our Author, as I take it, has * elfe where Thewn that thefe Founders were real Muficians, and Improvers of Poetry, Mufick, and the entertaining Arts; which they in a manner incorporated with Religion: Not without good reafon; as I am apt to imagine. For to me it plainly appears, That in the early times of all Religions, when Nations were yet barbarous and favage, there was ever an Aptnefs or Tendency towards the dark part of Superftition, which among many other Horrors produc'd that of buman Sacrifice. Something of this nature might poffibly be deduc'd even from + Holy Writ. And

* VOL. I. pag. 237.
$\dagger$ Gen. chap. xxii. ver. $\mathrm{s}, 2,8 \mathrm{c}$. and Judg. chap. xi. ver. 30, 31, Evc:

Thefe Places relating to Abraham and Jephthab, are cited only with refpect to the Notion which thefe Primitive Warriors may be faid to have entertain'd concerning this horrid Enormity, fo common among the Inhabitants of the Paleffine and other neighbouring Nations. It appears that even the elder of thete Hebrerw Princes was under no extreme Surprize on this trying Revelation. Nor did he think of expoftulating, in the leatt, on this occafion; when at another time he cou'd be fo importunate for the Pardon of an inhofpitable, murderous, impious and inceftuous City; Gen. xviii. 23, EGc. See Markbam's Citations, pag. 76, 77. Ex iffis fatius eft colligere banc Abrahami Tentationem non fuife rexalyeganném wedet , actionem innovatam; non recens excositataim, fed ad prifinos Canancorum mores deffgnatam. See the learned Capel's Differtation upon Jephthar; "Ex bujus woti Lege (Lev. xxvii. ver. 28, "29.) JEPHTE Filian omnino videtur immolafe, boć ${ }^{6}$ eff, morite affecifte, \&s executus eft in eà votum quod ipfe "s voverat, 7 ud. xi. 39."
in other Hiftorys we are inform'd of it Ch. 3 . more at large.

Every one knows how great a Part of the old Heathen Wordhip confifted in Play, Poetry, and Dance. And tho fome of the more melancholy and fuperftitious Votarys might approach the Shrines of their Divinitys with mean Grimaces, Crouchings, and ocher faroning Actions, betraying the low Thoughts they had of the Divine Nature; yet 'tis well known, that in thofe times the illiberal * jycophantick manner of Devotion was by the wifer fort contemn'd, and oft fufpected, \& as knavifh and indirect.

[^59] ry with them to the Temple, let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L} U} \mathrm{u}-$ PARCh fingly, inftead of many others, witness, in his excellent Treatife of * Siperffition;

[^60]What Plutarch mentions here, of the jug Countenance or liberal Air, the som dixuroy, of the Mufical Performer, is agreeably illustrated in his Alcibiades. ' Twas that heroick Youth, who, as appears by this Hiltorian, frt gave occafion to the Athenians of the higher Rank wholly to abandon the ufe of Flutes; which had before been
† Plutarch Open, T. II. tag. 166. Ed. France,
been highly in favour with them. The Reafon given, was "the illiberal Air which attended fuch Performers, and the "ummanly Disfiguration of their Looks and Countenance, ". which this Piping-work produc'd." As for the real Figure or Plight of the fuperfititious Mind, our Author thus defcribes it: "Gladly would the poor comfortlefs Mind, by "" whiles, keep Feffival and rejoice: But fuch as its Reli"gion is, there can be no free Mirth or Yoy belonging to it. "Publick Thankfivings are but private Mournings. Sighs "and Sorrows accompany its Praifes. Fears and Horrors "carrupt its beft Affecions. When it aflumes the outtward "Ornaments of beft Aptarel for the Temple, it even then "Arrikes Melancholy, and appears in Palenels and ghaffly "Looks. While it rworfhips, it trembles. It fends up Vorws " in faint and feeble Voices, wiitb eager Hopes, Defrres, and "Pafions, difcoverable in the whole Diforder of the outward "Frame: and, in the main, it evinces plainly by Practice, "that the Notion of Pythagoras was but ruain, who "dar'd affert, That we were then in the beft State, and "carry"d our moft becoming Looks with us, when we ap"proach'd the Gods. For then, above all otber Seafons, are "the Superfitious found in the moft abject miferable State of "Mind, and with the meaneft Prefence and Behavicur; " approaching the Sacred Sbrines of the Divine Porvers in the " Jame manner as they wou'd the Dens of Bears or Lions, "" the Caves of Baflisks or Dragons, or other bidious Recef" Jes of wild Beafts or raging Monfers. To me therefore it "appears woonderful, that we fhouid arraign Atbei/m as " impious; rubilj Superfition efcapes the Charge. Shall be "wobo bolds there are no Divine Powers, be effecm'd ims"pious; and Brall not be be efteem'd far more impious, swbo "bolds the Divine Beings fuch in their Nature as the Super"fitious believe and reprefent? For my own part, I kad "ratber Men Boou'd fay of me, \&c." See VOL. I. pag. 41. in the Notes. Nothing can be more remarkable than what our Author fays again, a little below. + "The Atheift "believes there is no Deity; the Religionift, or fuperfitious "Believer, reibues there wevere none. If be believes, 'tis a"gainft bis Will: miftruft be dares not, nor call bis Thought "in quefion. But cou'd be with Security, at once, throw " off
! 1bid. 370.

Mifc.2.enough appear * what a fhare Good $\sim \mathrm{HumOUR}$ had in that which the politer Antients efteem'd as Piety, and true Religion.
"off that oppreffrve Fear, which like the Rock of TAN"Talus impends, and preffes over bim, be wou'd with "equal Foy fyurn bis inflaving Thought, and embrace the "Atbifit's State and Opinion as bis bapppief Deliverance. "Atbeifs are free of Superfition, but the Supcrflitious are "ever willing Atheifts, tho impotent in their Thought, and "unable to believe of the Divine Being as they gladly cucsu'd.


 35, 36, 40, 41.

* Where fpeaking of Religion, as it food in the Heathen Church, and in his own time; he confefies, "That as to the "، vulgar Di/pofition, there was no Remedy. Many even of " the better fort wou'd be found, of courfe, to intermix with " their Veneration and Efteem fomething of Terror or Fear " in their religious Worhip, which might give it perhaps the "Character of SUPERSTITION: But that this Evil " was a thoufand times over-balanc'd by the Satisfaction, "Hope, Joy, and Delight which attended religious Worfhip. "This, fays he, is plain and evident from the moft demon" frable Teftimonys. For neither the Societys, or Publick " Meetings in the 'Temples, nor the Feftivals themfelves, nor " any other diverting Partys, Sights, or Entertainments, are " more delightful or rejoicing than what we our-felves be" hold, and act in the Divine Worhip, and in the Holy Sa"crifices and Myiterys which belong to it. Our Difpofition " and Temper is not, on this occafion, as if we were in the "Prefence of worldly Potentates, dread Sovereigns, and de" fpotick Princes. Nor are we here found meanly humbling "our-felves, crouching in Fear and Awe, and full of Anxie"ty and Confufion, as wou'd be natural to us in fuch a Cafe. "But where the Divinity is efteem'd the nearog, and mott " immediately prefent, there Horrors and Amazements are " the furtheft banifh'd ; there the Heart, we find, gives freett "s way to Pleafure, to Entertainment, to Play, Mirth, Kíu" mour, and Diverfion; and this even to an Excefs."

BUT NOW, methinks, I have been fufficiently grave and Jerious, in defenfe of what is directly contrary to Serioufnefs and Gravity. I have very folemnly pleaded for Gaity and Good Humour: I have declaim'd againtt Pedantry in learned Language, and oppos'd Formality in Form. I now find my-felf fomewhat impatient to get loofe from the Conftraint of Metbod: And I pretend lawfully to exercife the Privilege which I have afferted, of rambling from Subject to Subject, from Style to Style, in my Misceleaneous manner, according to my prefent Profeffion and Character.

Imay, in the mean while, be cenfur'd probably for paffing over my Third Head. But the methodical Reader, if he be fcrupulous about it, may content himfelf with looking back: And if poffibly he can pick it out of my Second, he will forgive this Anticipation, in a Writing which is govern'd lefs by Form than Humour. I had indeed refolv'd with my-felf to make a large Collection of Paffages from our moft eminent and learned Divines, in order to have fet forth this Latter Head of my Chapter; and by better Authority than my own to have evinc'd, "That we " had in the main a good-bumour'd Reli" gion."

Mifc.2." gion." But after confidering a littlé while, I came to this Chort Iffue with myfelf: " That it was better not to cite at "all, than to cite partially." Now if I cited fairly what was faid as well on the melancholy as the chearful fide of our Religion, the Matter, I found, wou'd be pretty doubtfully balanc'd: And the Refult at laft wou'd be this; "That, generally " Speaking, as oft as a Divine was in good "Humour, we fhou'd find Reiigion " the fweeteft and beft-bumour'd thing in " Nature: But at other times (and that, " pretty often) we thou'd find a very dif" ferent Face of Matters."

Thus are we alternately exalted and humbled, chear'd and dejected, according as our fpiritual * Director is himfelf influenc'd: And this, peradventure, for our Edification and Advantage; "That by " thefe Contratietys and Changes we may " be render'd more fupple and compliant." If we are very low, and down; we are taken up. If we are $u$, and bigh; we are taken down.-This is Difiopline. This is Authority and Command. -Did Religion carry confantly one and the fame Face, and were it always reprefented to us alike in every refpect; we might perhaps be overbold, and make Acquaintance with it, in

[^61]too familiar a manner: We might think Ch. 3. our-felves fully knowing in it, and affur'd $\sim$ of its true Cbaracter and Genius. From whence perhaps we might become more refractory towards the Ghofly Teachers' of it, and be apt to fubmit our-felves the lefs to thofe who, by Appointment and Authority, reprefent it to us, in fuch Lights, as they efteem moft proper and convenient.

I shall therefore not only conclude abruptly, but even fceptically on this my laft Head: referring my Reader to what has been faid already, on my preceding Heads, for the bare probability " of our " having, in the main, a witty and good"bumour'd Religion."

This, however, I may prefume to affert; That there are undoubtedly fome Countenances or Afpects of our Religion, which are bumorous and pleafant in themfelves ; and that the fadder Reprefentations of it are many times fo over-fad and difmal, that they are apt to excite a very contrary Paffion to what is intended by the Reprefenters.

## MISCELLANY III.

## C H A P. I.

Further Remarks on the Author of the Treatifes.-His Order and Dejign.-His Remarks on the Succession of Wit, and Pro grefs of Letters, and Philofophy. Of Words, Relations, Affec-tions.-Country-Men and Coun-try.-Old ENGLAND.-Patriots of the Soil.-Virtuofi, and Philofophers.-A TASTE.

HAVIN G already afferted my Privilege, as a Miscellaneous or Essay-Writer of the modern Eftablifhment; to write on every Subject, and in every Method, as I fanfy; to ufe Order, or lay it afide, as I think fir; and to treat of Order and Method in other Works, tho free perhaps and unconfin'd as to my own: I fhall prefume, in this place,
to confider the prefent Method and OrderCh. t. of my Author's Treatifes, as in this joint-~~ Edition they are rang'd.

Notwithstanding the high Airs of Scepticism which our Author affumes in his firft Piece; I cannor, after all, but imagine that even there he proves himfelf, at the bottom, a real Dogmatist, and fhews plainly that he has his private Opinion, Belief, or Faith, as ftrong as any Devotee or Religionift of 'em all. Tho he affects perhaps to ftrike at other Hypothefes and Schemes; he has fomething of his own ftill in referve, and holds a certain Plan or Syfem peculiar to himfelf, or fuch, at leaft, in which he has at prefent but few Companions or Followers.

On this account I look upon his Management to have been much after the rate of fome ambitious Architect; who being call'd perhaps to prop a Roof, redrefs a leaning Wall, or add to fome particular Apartment, is not contented with this fmall Specimen of his Mafterhip: but pretending to demonftrate the Un-ferviceablenefs and Inconvenience of the old Fabrick, forms the Defign of a new Building, and longs to fhew his Skill in the principal Parts of Architecture and Mechanicks.
Vol. 3*
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' $T$ is certain that in matters of Learning and Philofophy, the Practice of pulling down is far pleafanter, and affords more Entertainment, than that of building and fetting up. Many have fucceeded, to a miracle, in the firft, who have miferably fail'd in the latter of thefe Attempts. We may find a thoufand Engineers, who can $\int a p$, undermine, and blow up, with admirable Dexterity, for one fingle-one, who can build a Fort, or lay the Plat-form of a Citadel. And tho Compaffion in real War may make the ruinous Practice lefs delightful, 'tis certain that in the literate warring-World, the fpringing of Mines, the blowing up of Towers, Baftions, and Ramparts of P н Ilosophy, with Syltems, Hypothefes, Opinions, and Doctrines into the Air, is a Spectacle of all other the moft naturally rejoicing.

Our Author, we fuppofe, might have done well to confider this. We have fairly conducted him thro' his firt and fecond Letter, and have brought him, as we fee here, into his third Piece. He has hitherto, methinks, kept up his fapping Method, and unravelling Humour, with tolerable good Grace. He has given only fome few, and very flender * Hints of going further,

[^62]ther, or attempting to erect any SchemeCh. I. or Model, which may difcover his Pretence $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ to a real Arcbitect-Capacity: Even in this his Third Piece he carrys with him the fame fceptical Mein: and what he offers by way of Project or Hypothefis; is very faint, hardly fpoken aloud; but mutter'd to himfelf, in a kind of dubious Whifper ${ }_{j}$ or feign'd Soliloeuy. What he difcovers of Form and Method, is indeed fo accompany'd with the random Mijcellaneous Air, that it may pafs for Raillery, rather than good Earneft. 'Tis in his following * Treatife that he difcovers himfelf openly, as a plain Dogmatif, a Formalif, and Man of Method; with his Hypothefes tack'd to him, and his Opinions fo clofe-fticking, as wou'd force one to call to mind the Figure of fome precife and Atrait-lac'd Profeffor in a Univerfity.

What may be juntly pleaded in his behalf, when we come in company with

And 54. concerning the previous Knorwledg. - So again, Treatife II. VOL. I. pag. 81, and i16.-And again, Treatife III. V O L. I. part 294, 295, 297. where the INQUIRY is propos'd, and the Syftem and Genealogy of the Affections previoufly treated; with an Apology (paig. 312.) for the examining Practice, and feeming Pedantry of the Me thod. And afterwards the Apology for Treatife IV. in Treatife V. V OL. II. pag. 263, 264. Concerining this Series and Dependency of thefe joint Treatifes, fee more particularly below, pag. 189, 190, 191, 284, E'c.

* Viz. Treatife V. The INQUIRY concerning Virtue ${ }_{j}$ WOL. II.

K ${ }^{2}$ himi

Mifc. $3 . \mathrm{him}$, to inquire into fuch folemn and profound Subjects, feems very doubtful. Mean while, as his Affairs ftand hitherto in this his Treatife of Advice, I fhall be contented to yoke with him, and proceed, in my mifcellaneous Manner, to give my Advice alfo to Men of Note; whether they are Autbors or Politicians, Virtuof or FineGentlemen; comprehending Him, the faid Author, as one of the Number of the Advis'd, and My-felf too (if occafion be) after his own example of Self-Admonition and private Addrefs.

BUT FIRS'T as to our Author's Differtation in this * third Treatife, where his Reffections upon Authors in general, and the Rife and Progres's of Arts, make the Inlet or Introduction to his Pbilofophy; we may obferve, That it is not without fome appearance of Reafon that he has advanc'd this Method. It muft be acknowledg'd, that tho, in the earlieft times, there may have been divine Men of a tranfcending Genius, who have given Laws both in Religion and Government, to the great Advantage and Improvement of Mankind; yet Philosophy it-felf, as a Science and known Profeffion worthy of that name, cannot with any probability be fuppos'd to have rifen (as our Author fhews) till other

[^63]Arts had been rais'd, and, in a certain pro- Ch. r. portion, advanc'd before it. As this was of $\sim \sim$ the greateft Dignity and Weight, fo it came laft into Form. It was long clearing it-felf from the affected Drefs of Sophits, or Enthufiaftick Air of Poets; and appear'd late in its genuine, fimple, and juft Beauty.

The Reader perhaps may jufly excufe our Author for having * in this place fo over-loaded his Margin with thofe weighty Authoritys and antient Citations, when he knows that there are many grave Profeffors in Humanity and Letters among the Moderns, who are puzzled in this Search, and write both repugnantly to one another, and to the plain and natural Evidence of the Cafe. The real Lineage and Su CCESSION of Wit, is indeed plainly founded in Nature: as our Author has endeavour'd to make appear both from Hifory and Fact. The Greek Nation, as it is Original to us, in refpect to thefe polite Arts and Sciences, fo it was in reality original to it-felf. For whether the Egyptians, Phenicians, Thracians, or BarBARIANS of any kind, may have hit fortunately on this or that particular Invention, either in Agriculture, Building, Navigation, or Letters; which-ever may have introduc'd this Rite of Workhip, this Title of a Deity, this or that Inftrument of Mu-

[^64]Mifc. 3.fick, this or that Feftival, Game, or Dance, (for on this matter there are high Debates among the Learned) 'tis evident, beyond a doubt, that the Arts and Sciences were form'd in Greece it-felf. 'Twas there that Mufick, Poetry, and the reft came to receive fome kind of fhape, and be diftirguif̣'d into their feveral Orders and Degrees. Whatever flourifh'd, or was rais'd to any degree of Correctnefs, or real Perfection in the kind, was by means of Greece alone, and in the hand of that fole polite, mof̣t civiliz'd, and accomplifh'd Nation.

Nor can this appear ftrange, when we confider the fortunate Conftitution of that People. For tho compos'd of different $\mathrm{Na}-$ tions, diftinct in Laws and Governments, divided by Seas and Continents, difpers'd in diftant Iflands; yet being originally of the fame Extract, united by one fingle Language, and animated by that focial, publick and free Spirit, which notwithAtanding the Animofity of their feveral warring States, induc'd them to erect fuch heroick Congrefles and Powers as thofe which conftituted the AmPHICTONIAN Conincils, the Olympick, Isthmian, and other Games; they cou'd not but naturally polifh and refine each other. 'Twas thus they brought their beautiful and comprehenive Language to a juft Standard, leaving
leaving only fuch Variety in the DialectsCh. I. as render'd their Poetry, in particular, fo much the more agreeable. The Standard was in the fame proportion carry'd into other Arts. The Secretion was made. The feveral Species found, and fet apart. The Performers and Mafters in every kind, honour'd and admir'd. And, laft of all, even Criticks themfelves acknowledg'd and receiv'd as Mafters over all the reft. From Mufick, Poetry, Rbetorick, down to the fimple Profe of Hiflory, thro' all the plaftick Arts of Sculpture, Statuary, Painting, Architecture, and the reft; every thing Mufè-like, graceful and exquifite, was rewarded with the higheft Honours, and carry'd on with the utmoft Ardor and Emulation. Thus Greece, tho fhe exported Arts to other Nations, had properly for her own thare no Import of the kind. The utmoft which could be nam'd, wou'd amount to no more than raw Materials, of a rude and barbarous form. And thus the Nation was evidently Original in Art; and with them every noble Study and Science was (as the great Mafter, fo often cited by our Author, fays of certain kinds of Poetry) * Jelf-

[^65]$$
\mathrm{K}_{4} \quad \text { form' } d_{3}
$$

Mifc. 3. form ${ }^{i}$, wrought out of Nature, and drawn from the neceflary Operation and Courfe of things, working, as it were, of their own accord, and proper inclination. Now according to this natural Growth of Arts, peculiar to Greece, it wou'd neceffarily happen; That at the beginning, when the Force of Language came to be firft prov'd; when the admiring World made their firt $\mathcal{F} u d g_{m e n t}$, and effay'd their Tafe in the Elegancys of this. fort; the Lofty, the Sublime, the Afonifbing and Amazing wou'd be the moft in fafhion, and prefer'd. Metaphorical Speech, Multiplicity of Fi gures and bigh-founding Words wou'd naturally prevail. Tho in the Commonwealth it-felf, and in the Affairs of Government, Men were us'd originally to plain and direct Speech; yet when Speaking became an Art, and was taught by Sophifts, and other pretended Mafters, the bigh-poetick, and the figurative Way began to prevail, even at the Bar, and in the Publick Affemblys: Infomuch that the Grand-Mafter, in the * above-cited part of his Rbetoricks, where he extols the Tragick Poet Euripides, upbraids the Rhetoricians of his own Age, who retain'd that very bombaftick Style, which even Poets, and thofe too of the tragick kind, had already thrown off, or at leaft confiderably

[^66]mitigated. But the Tafte of Greece wasCh. I . now polifhing. A better Judgment was $n$ foon form'd, when a Demosthenes was heard, and had found fuccefs. The People themfelves (as our Author has hewn) came now to reform their Comedy and familiar Manner, after Tragedy, and the higher Style, had been brought to its perfection under the laft hand of an Euripides. And now in all the principal Works of Ingenuity and Art, Simplicity and Nature began chiefly to be fought: And this was the Taste which lafted thro' fo many Ages, till the Ruin of all things, under a Univerfal Monarchy.

If the Reader fhou'd peradventure be led by his Curiofity to feek fome kind of Comparifon between this antient Growth of Taste, and that which we have experienc'd in modern days, and within our own Nation; he may look back to the Speeches of our Anceftors in Parliament. He will find 'em generally fpeaking, to have been very fhort and plain, but coarfe, and what we properly call bome-fpun; till Learning came in vogue, and Science was known amongft us. When our Princes and Senators became Scholars, they fpoke fobolafically. And the pedantick Style was prevalent, from the firft Dawn of Letters, about the Age of the Reformation, till long

Mifc. 3.long afterwards. Witnefs the beft written Difcourfes, the admir'd Speeches, Orations, or Sermons, thro' feveral Reigns, down to thefe latter, which we compute within the prefent Age. 'Twill undoubtedly be found, That till very late days, the Fahion of fpeaking, and the Turn of Wit, was after the figurative and forid Manner. Nothing was fo acceptable as the high-founding Phrafe, the far-fetch'd Comparifon, the capricious Point, and Play of Words; and nothing fo defpicable as what was merely of the plain or natural kind. So that it muft either be confers'd, that in refpect of the preceding Age, we are fallen very low in TAste; or that, if we are in reality improv'd, the natural and fimple Manner which conceals and covers ART, is the moft truly artful, and of the genteeleft, trueft, and beft-ftudy'd Tafte: as has * $a=$ bove been treated more at large,

NOW, THEREFORE, as to our Author's Philosophy it-felf, as it lies conceal'd in 中t this Treatife, but more profels'd and formal in his + next ; we thall proceed gradually according to his own Method: fince it becomes not one who

[^67]has undertaken the part of his airy Affif-Ch. i. tant and humorous Parapbraft, to enter fuddenly, without good preparation, into his $d r y$ Reafonings and moral Refearches about the focial Paffions and natural Affections, of which he is fuch a punctilious Examiner.
$\mathrm{Of}_{\mathrm{F}}$ all human Affections, the nobleft and moft becoming human Nature, is that of Love to one's Country. This, perhaps, will eafily be allow'd by all Men, who have really a Country, and are of the number of thofe who may be call'd * a People, as enjoying the Happinefs of a real Conftitution and Polity, by which they are free and independent. There are few fuch Country-men or Free-men fo degenerate, as direitly to difcountenance or condemn this Paffion of Love to their Community and national Brotherhood. The indirect Manner of oppofing this Principle, is the moft ufual. We hear it commonly, as a Complaint, "That there is little of "this Love extant in the World." From whence 'tis hartily concluded, "That there " is little or nothing of friendly or focial

[^68]Mifc. 3."Afection inherent in our Nature, or pro: " per to our Species." 'Tis however apparent, That there is fcarce a Creature of human Kind, who is not poffefs'd at leaft with fome inferior degree or meaner fort of this natural Affection to a Country.
> * Nefcio quá Natale Solum dulcedine captos
> Ducit.

'Tis a wretched Afpect of Humanity which we figure to our-felves, when we wou'd endeavour to refolve the very Effence and Foundation of this generous Paffion into a Relation to mere Clay and Duft, exclufively of any thing fenfible, intelligent, or moral. 'Tis, I muft own, on certain $中$ Relations, or refpective Proportions, that all natural Affection does in fome meafure depend. And in this View it cannor, I confefs, be deny'd, that we have each of us a certain Relation to the mere Earth it-felf, the very Mould or Surface of that Planet, in which, with other Animals of various forts, We (poor Reptiles!) were alfo bred and nourifh'd. But had it happen'd to one of us Britibs-Men to have been born at Sea, cou'd we not therefore properly be call'd Britijb-Men? Cou'd we be allow'd Country-Men of no fort, as having no dif-

[^69]tinct relation to any certain Soil or $\mathrm{Rc}-\mathrm{Ch}$. I. gion; no original Neighbourhood but with $\sim \sim$ the watry Inhabitants and Sea-Monfters? Surely, if we were born of laweful Parents, lawefully employ'd, and under the Protection of Law; wherever they might be then detain'd, to whatever Colonys fent, or whither-foever driven by any Accident, or in Expeditions or Adventures in the Publick Service, or that of Mankind, we fhou'd ftill find we had a Home, and Country, ready to lay claim to us. We ihou'd be oblig'd ftill to confider our-felves as Fellow-Citizens, and might be allow'd to love our Country or Nation as honeftly and heartily as the moft inland Inhabitant or Native of the Soil. Our political and focial Capacity wou'd undoubtedly come in view, and be acknowledg'd full as natural and effential in our Species, as the parental and filial kind, which gives rife to what we peculiarly call natural Affection. Or fuppofing that both our Birth and Parents had been unknown, and that in this refpect we were in a manner younger Brothers in Society to the reft of Mankind ; yet from our Nurture and Education we hou'd furely efpoufe fome Country or other; and joyfully embracing the Protection of a Magiftracy, fhou'd of neceffity and by force of Nature join our-felves to the general Society of Mankind, and thofe in particular, with whom we had enter'd into a

Mifc.3.nearer Communication of Benefirs, and clofer Sympathy of Affections. It may therefore be efteem'd no better than a mean Subterfuge of narrow Minds, to afo fign this natural Paffion for Society and a Country, to fuch a Relation as that of a mere Fungus or common Excrefcence, to its Parent-Mould, or nurfing Dung-bill.

The Relation of Country-man, if it be allow'd any thing at all, muft imply fomething moral and focial. The Notion it-felf pre-fuppofes a naturally civil and political State of Mankind, and has reference to that particular part of Society, to which we owe our chief Advantages as $M e n$, and rational Creatures, fuch as are * naturally and neceffarily united for each other's Happinefs and Support, and for the higheft of all Happinefles and Enjoyments; "The " Intercourfe of Minds, the free Ufe of " our Reafon, and the Exercife of mutual "Love and Friendjbip."

An ingenious Phyfician among the Moderns, having in view the natural Dependency of the vegetable and animal Kinds on their common Motber-EARTh, and obferving that both the one and the other draw from her their continual Suftenance ${ }_{5}$ (fome rooted and fix'd down to their firt

[^70]abodes.
abodes, others unconfin'd, and wandring Ch. r. from place to place to fuck their Nourifhment:) He accordingly, as I remember, Atyles this latter animal-Race, ber releas'd Sons; Filios Terra emancipatos. Now if this be our only way of reckoning for Mankind, we may call our-felves indeed, Thbe Sons of EARTH, at large; but not of any particular Soin, or Diftrict. The Divifion of Climates and Regions is fantaftick and artificial: much more the Limits of particular Countrys, Citys or Provinces. Our Natale Solum, or Mother-Earth, muft by this account be the real Globe it-felf which bears us, and in refpect of which we muft allow the common Animals, and even the Plants of all degrees, to claim an equal Brotiorhood with us, under this common Parent.

According to this Calculation we muft of neceffity carry our Relation as far as to the whole material World or Univerfe; where alone it can prove compleat. But for the particular Diftrict or Tract of Earth, which in a vulgar fenfe we call our Country, however bounded or geographically divided, we can never, at this rate, frame any accountable Relation to it, nor confequently affign any natural or proper Affection towards it.

If unhappily a Man had been born either at an Inn, or in fome dirty Village; he felf fo narrowly as to accept a Denomination or Cbarciter from thofe neareft Appendices, or local Circumfances of his Nativity. So far fhou'd one be from making the Hamlet or Parifh to be characteriftical in the Cafe, that hardly wou'd the Shire it-felf, or County, however rich or flourifhing, be taken into the honorary Term or Appellation of one's COUNTRY. "What, then, fhall we prefume to call "our Country? Is it England it"felf? But what of Scotland? " Is i. therefore Britain? But "what of the other Iflands, the Northern "Orcades, and the Southern Jersey " and Guernsey? What of the Plan"tations, and poorIreland?"—Behold, here, a very dubious Circumfoription!

But what, after all, if there be a Conqueft or Captivity in the cafe? a Migration? a national Secefion, or Abandonment of our native Seats for fome other Soil or Climate? This has happen'd, we know, to our Forefathers. And as great and powerful a People as we have been of late, and have ever fhewn our-felves under the influence of free Councils, and a tolerable Miniftry; fhou'd we relaple again into flavifh Principles, or be adminifter'd long under fuch Heads as having
no Thought of Liberty for themfelves, can Ch. i. have much lefs for Eur ope or their Neighbours; we may at laft feel a War at home, become the Seat of it, and in the end a Conqueft. We might then gladly embrace the hard Condition of our Predeceffors, and exchange our beloved native Soil for that of fome remote and uninhabited part of the World. Now fhou'd this poffibly be our Fate; fhou'd fome confiderable Colony or Body be form'd afterwards out of our Remains, or meet, as it were by Miracle, in fome diftant Climate; wou'd there be, for the future, no Engli h-man remaining? No common Bond of Alliance and Friendfhip, by which we cou'd ftill call Country-men, as before? How came we, I pray, by our antient name of Engli/b-men? Did it not travel with us over Land and Sea? Did we not, indeed, bring it with us heretofore from as far as the remoter Parts of GerMANY to this Ifland?

I MUST confers, I have been apt fometimes to be very angry with our Language, for having deny'd us the ufe of the word Patria, and afforded us no other name to exprefs our native Community, than that of Country; which already bore * two different Significations, abftracted

* Rass \& Regio. In French Campagne \& Païs.

[^71]Mifc.3. from Mankind or Society. Reigning words are many times of fuch force, as to influence us confiderably in our Apprehenfion of things. Whether it be from any fuch Caufe as this, I know not: but certain it is, that in the Idea of a Civie State or Nation, we Englifh-men are apt to mix fomewhat more than ordinary grofs and earthy. No People who ow'd fo much to A Constitution, and fo little to A Soil or Climate, were ever known fo indifferent towards one, and fo paffionately fond of the other. One wou'd imagine from the common Difcourfe of our Coun-try-men, that the fineft Lands near the E Uphrates, the Babylonian or Persian Paradifes, the rich Plains of Egypt, the Grecian Tempe, the Roman Campania, Lombardy, Provence, the Spanifh Andalusia, or the moft delicious Tracts in the Eaftern or Weftern Indies, were contemptible Countrys in refpect of Old England.

Now by the good leave of there worthy Patriots of the Soil, I muft take the liberty to fay, I think Old England to have been in every refpect a very indifferent Country: and that Late EngLAND, of an Age or two old, even fince Queen Bess's days, is indeed very much mended for the better. We were, in the beginning of her Grandfather's Reign, undes
der a fort of Polifh Nobility; and had noCh. Is other Libertys, than what were in common to us with the then fafhionable Monarchys and Gotbick Lordhhips of Europe. For Religion, indeed, we were highly fam'd, above all Nations; by being the moft fubject to our Ecclefiafticks at home, and the beft Tributarys and Servants to the Holy See abroad.

I must go further yet, and own, that I think Late England, fince the Revolution, to be better ftill than Old EngLAND, by many degrees; and that, in the main, we make fomewhat a better Figure in Europe, than we did a few Reigns before. But however our People may of late have flourifh'd, our Name, or Credit have rifen; our Trade, and Navigation, our Manufactures, or our Hufbandry been improv'd; 'tis certain that our Region, Climate, and Soil, is, in its own nature, ftill one and the fame. And to whatever Politenefs we may fuppofe ourfelves already arriv'd; we muft confefs; that we are the lateft barbarous, the laft civiliz'd or polifh'd People of Europe. We muft allow that our firf Conqueft by the Romans brought us out of a Sate hardly equal to the Indian Tribes; and that our laft Conqueft by the Normans brought us only into the capacity of receiving Arts and civil Accomplifhments L 2
from

Mifc. 3 .from abroad. They came to us by degrees, from remote diftances, at fecond or third hand ; from other Courts, States, Academys, and foreign Nurferys of Wit and Manners.

Notwithstanding this, we have as over-weaning an Opinion of our-felves, as if we had a claim to be Original and Eartb-born. As oft as we have chang'd Mafters, and mix'd Races with our feveral fucceffive Conquerors, we ftill pretend to be as legitimate and genuine Poffeffors of our Soil, as the antient Athenians accounted themfelves to have been of theirs. 'Tis remarkable however in that truly antient, wife, and witty People, That as fine Territorys and noble Countrys as they poffefs'd, as indifputable Mafters and Súperiors as they were in all Science, Wit, Politenefs, and Manners; they were yet fo far from a conceited, felfin, and ridiculous Contempt of others, that they were even, in a contrary Extreme, " Admirers " of whatever was in the leaft degree in"genious or curious in foreign Nations." Their Great Mien were conftant Travellers. Their Legiflators and Philofophers made their Voyages into Egypt, pafs'd into Chaldea, and Persia; and fail'd not to vifit moft of the difpers'd Grecian Governments and Colonys thro' the Iflands of the 压gean, in Italy, and on the Coafts

Coafts of Asia and Africa. 'TwasCh. r. mention'd as a Prodigy, in the cafe of a great Philofopher, tho known to have been always poor ; "That he fhou'd never have "travel'd, nor had ever gone out of "Athens for his Improvement." How modeft a Reflection in thofe who were themfelves Athenians!

For our part, we neither care that * Foreigners fhou'd travel to us, nor any of ours hhou'd travel into foreign Countrys. Our beft Policy and Breeding is, it feems,

* An ill Token of our being thorowly civiliz'd: fince in the Judgment of the Polite and Wife, this inhofpitable Difpofition was ever reckon'd among the principal Marks of Barbarijm. So Strabo, from other preceding Authors, nou-
 SIAN, L.xvii. p. 802.
 Cbaracter's of Divinity: the peculiar Attribute of the fupreme DEITY, benign to Mankind, and recommending univerfal Love, mutual Kindnefs, and Benignity bétween the remoteft and moft unlike of human Race. Thus their Divine Poet in Harmony with their Sacred Oracles, which were known frequently to confirm this Doctrine.

玉ẽvo

Again,


玉Eิvot And again,
"Apverís Bítolo, ¢íars \&"
 IAIAS. $\zeta$.

Mifc. 3.feems, "To look abroad as little as poffi~" ble; contract our Views within the nar"roweft Compafs; and defpife all Know" ledg, Learning, or Manners, which are " not of a Home Growth." For hardly will the Antients themfelves be regarded by thofe, who have fo refolute a Contempe of what the politeft Moderns of any Nation, befides their own, may have advanc'd in the way of Literature, Politenefs, or Philosophy.

THIS Difpofition of our Country-men, from whatever Caufes it may poffibly be deriv'd, is, I fear, a very prepoffeffing Circumftance againft our Author ; whofe Defign is to advance fomething new, or at leaft fomething different from what is commonly current in Philosophy and Morals. To fupport this Defign of his, he feems intent chiefly on this fingle Point; "To difcover, how we may, to " beft advantage, form within our-felves "what in the polite World is call'd a $R e$ e "lifh, or Good Taste."

See alio Ocyy. lib. iii. ver. 34, © ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$. and 67, Eٌc. lib. iv. wer. $30, \mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$ and 60 .

Such was antient Heatben CHARITY, and pious Duty towards the WHole of Mankind; both thofe of different Nations, and different Worfips. See VOL. II. pag. $165,166$.
$H_{e}$ begins, it's true, as near home as poffible, and fends us to the narroweft of all Converfations, that of SoliloQuy or Self-difcourfe. But this Correspondence, according to his Computation, is wholly impracticable, without a previous Commerce with the World: And the larger this Commerce is, the more practicable and improving the ocher, he thinks, is likely to prove. The Sources of this inproving Art of Self-corre/pondence he de-rives from the higheft Politeness and Elegance of antient Dialogue, and Debate, in matters of Wit, Knowledg, and Ingenuity. And nothing, according to our Author, can fo well revive this felf-correjponding Practine, as the fame Search and Study of the higheft Politeness in modern Converfation. For this, we muff neceffarily be at the pains of going further abroad than the Province we call Home. And, by this Account, it appears that our Author has little hopes of being either relifh'd or comprehended by any other of his Country-men, than thole who delight in the open and free Commerce of the World, and are rejoic'd to gather Views, and receive Light from every Quarter ; in order to jug the belt of what is perfect, and according to a jut Standard, and true Taste in every kind.

$$
\mathrm{L}_{4} \quad \mathrm{IT}_{T}
$$

I t may be proper for us to remark in favour of our Author, that the fort of Ridicule or Raillery, which is apt to fall upon Philosophers, is of the fame kind with that which falls commonly on the Virtuosi, or refin'd Wits of the Age. In this latter general Denomination we include the real fine Gentlemen, the Lovers of Art and Ingenuity; fuch as have feen the World, and inform'd themfelves of the Manners and Ciufoms of the feveral Nations of Europe, fearch'd into their Antiquitys, and Records; confider'd their Police, Latues, and Conkituitions; obferv'd the Situation, Strength, and Ornaments of their Citys, their principal Arts, Studys, and Amufements; their Architecture, Sculpture, Painting, Mufick, and their Tafte in Poetry, Learning, Language, and Converfation.

Hitherto there can lie no Ridicule, nor the leaft Scope for Sativick Wit or Raillery. But when we pufh this VirtuofoCifaracter a little further, and lead our polifh'd Gentleman into more inice Refearches; when from the view of Mankind and their Affairs, our fpeculative Genius, and minute Examiner of Nature's Works, proceeds with equal or perhaps fuperior Zeal in the Contemplation of the Infect-Life, the Conveniencys, Ha-

Bitations and OEconomy of a Race of Ch. 1 . Sbell-Fijb; when he has erected a Cabinet $\sim \sim$ in due form, and made it the real Pattern of his Mind, replete with the fame Trafh and Trumpery of correfpondent empty Notions, and chimerical Conceits; he then indeed becomes the Subject of fufficient Raillery, and is made the Feft of common Converfations.

A worese thing than this happens commonly to thefe inferior Virtuosic. In reeking fo earneftly for Raritys, they fall in love wich Rarity for Rarene/sfake. Now the greateft Raritys in the World are Monsters. So that the Study and Relifls of thefe Gentlemen, thus affiduoufly imploy'd, becomes at laft in reality monfrous: And their whole Delight is found to confift in felecting and contemplating whatever is moft monftrous, difagreeing, out of the way, and to the leaft purpofe of any thing in Nature.

In Phin osophy, Matters anfwer exactly to this Wirtuofo-Scheme. Let us fuppofe a Man, who having this Refolution merely, how to employ his Underftanding to the beft purpofe, confiders "Who or "What he is ; Whence he arofe, or had " his Being; to what End he was defign'd ; " and to what Courfe of Action he is by ${ }^{66}$ his natural Frame and Conftitution de" Atin'd:"

Mifc. 3 " ftin'd :" fhou'd he defcend on this account into bimfelf, and examine his inward Powers and Facultys ; or fhou'd he afcend beyond his own immediate Species, City, or Community, to difcover and recognize his bigber Polity, or Community, (that common and univerfal-one, of which he is born a Member;) nothing, furely, of this kind, cou'd reafonably draw upon him the leaft Contempt or Mockery. On the contrary, the fineft Gentleman muft after all be confider'd but as an Idiot, who talking much of the knowledg of the World and Markind, has never fo much as thought of the Study or Knowledg of bimjelf, or of the Nature and Government of that real Publick and World, from whence he holds his Being.
> * Quid fumus, छ quidnam victuri gig-nimur?-

"Where are we? Under what Roof? Or " on board what Veffel? Whither bound? "On what Bufinefs? Under whofe Pilot"Ship, Government, or Protection?" are Queftions which every fenfible Man wou'd naturally ask, if he were on a fudden tranfported into a new Scene of Life. 'Tis admirable, indeed, to confider, That a Man fhou'd have been long come into a

[^72]World,

## Reflections.

World, carry'd his Reafon and Senfe a-Ch. i. bout with him, and yet have never fe- $\sim \sim$ rioully ask'd himfelf this fingle Queftion, "Wheream $I$ ? or What?" but, on the contrary, fhou'd proceed regularly to every otber Study and Inquiry, poftponing this alone, as the leaft confiderable; or leaving the Examination of it to others, commiffion'd, as he fuppofes, to underftand and think for him, upon this Head. To be bubbled, or put upon by any fham-Advices in this Affair, is, it feems, of no confequence! We take care to examine accurately, by our oton Judgment, the Affairs of other People, and the Concerns of the World which leaft belong to us: But what relates more immediately to our-felves, and is our chief SElf-Intereft, we charitably leave to others to examine for us, and readily take up with the firft Comers; on whofe Honefty and good Faith 'tis prefum'd we may fafely rely.

Here, methinks, the Ridicule turns more againft the Pbilofophy-Haters than the Virtuof or Pbilofophers. Whilft $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HI}} \mathrm{I}$ losophy is taken (as in its prime Senfe it ought) for Mafter/bip in Life and Manners, 'tis like to make no ill Figure in the World, whatever Impertinencys may reign, or however extravagant the Times may prove. But let us view PhilosoPHY,

Mifc. 3.phy, like mere Virtuofo-gip, in its ufual Career, and we flall find the Ridicule rifing full as ftrongly againft the Profeffors of the higher as the lower kind. Cocklefeell abounds with each. Many things exterior, and without our-felves, of no re-lation to our real Interefts or to thofe of Society and Mankind, are diligently inveftigated: Nature's remoteft Operations, deepeft Myfterys, and moft difficult Pbenomena difcufs'd, and whimfically explain'd; Hypothefes and fantaftick Syltems erected; a Univerfe anatomiz'd; and by fome * notable Scheme fo folv'd and reduc'd, as to ap= pear an eafy Knack or Secret to thofe who have the Clew. Creation it-felf can, upon occafion, be exhibited; Tranfmutations, Projections, and other Pbilofophical Ar$\operatorname{CANA}$, fuch as in the corporeal World can accomplifh all things; whilft in the intellectual, a fet Frame of metaphyfical Phrafes and Diftinctions can ferve to folve whatever Difficultys may be propounded either in Logicks, Etbicks, or any real Science, of whatever kind.

It appears from hence, that the De feets of Philosophy, and thofe of Vir-tuofo-fhip are of the fame nature. Nothing can be more dangerous than a wrong Choice, or Mifapplication in thefe Affairs.

[^73]But as ridiculous as thefe Studys are ren-Ch. r. der'd by their fenflefs Managers ; it ap- $\sim$ pears, however, that each of 'em are, in their nature, effential to the Cbaracter of a Fine Gentleman and Man of Senfe.

To philofopbize, in a juft Signification, is but to carry Good-breeding a ftep higher. For the Accomplifhment of Breeding is, To learn whatever is decent in Company, or beautiful in Arts; and the Sum of Philofophy is, To learn what is juft in Society, and beautiful in Nature, and the Order of the World.
'Tis not Wit merely, but a Temper which muft form the Well-bred Man. In the fame manner, 'tis not a Head merely, but a Heart and Refolution which muft compleat the real Philosopher. Both Cbaracters aim at what is excellent, afpire to a juft Tafte, and carry in view the Model of what is beautiful and becoming. Accordingly, the refpective Conduct and diftinct Manners of each Party are regulated; The one according to the perfecteft Eafe, and good Entertainment of ComPANY; the otber according to the ftricteft Intereft of Mankind and Society: The one according to a Man's Rank and Quality in his private Nation; the other according to his Rank and Dignity in NATURE.

Whether each of there Offices, of Social Parts, are in themfelves as convenient as becoming, is the great Question which muff fome-way be decided. The Wellbred Man has already decided this, in his own Cafe, and declared on the file of what is Handfom: For whatever he procties in this kind *, he accounts no more than what he owes purely to himfelf; without regard to any further Advantage. The Pretender to Philosophy, who either knows not how to determine this Affair, or if he has determin'd, knows not how to purfue his Point, with Conftancy, and Firmness, remains in refpect of Pbilofophy, what a Clown or Coxcomb is in refpect of Breeding and Behaviour. Thus, according to our Author, the Taste of Beauty, and the Relifh of what is decent, juft, and amiable, perfects the Character of the Gentieman, and the Philosopher. And the Study of fuch a Taste or Reliflo will, as we fuppofe, be ever the great Employment and Concern of him, who covets as well to be wife and good, as agreeable and polite.

> +2 quid Verum atque Decens; curbs É roo, fo min in boo fum o.

[^74]
## CH A P. II.

Explanation of a TASTE continu'd. _Ridicules of it._ThbeirWit, and Sincerity. - Application of the Tate to Affairs of Governmont and Politicks.—Imaginary Characters in the State- Young Nobility, and Gentry. - Pursuit of B EA UT Y. -Preparation for Philofophy.

1 Y this time, furely, I must have proved my-felf fufficiently engag'd in the Project and Defign of our Selfdifcourfing Author, whore Defence I have undertaken. His Pretenfion, as plainly appears in this third Treatife, is to * recommend Morals on the fame foot, with what in a lower fenfe is called Manners; and to advance Philosophy (as hard a Subject as it may appear) on the very Foundation of what is called agreeable and polite. And 'tis in this Methad and Management that, as his Interpreter, or Paraphraft, I have proposed to imp-

[^75]tate

Mifc.3.tate and accompany him, as far as my Miscellaneous Character will permit.

Our joint Endeavour, therefore, muff appear this: To thew, *" That nothing " which is found charming or delightful in " the polite World, nothing which is adop" ted as Pleafure, or Entertainment, of "whatever kind, can any way be ac" counted for, fupported, or eftablifh'd, "s without the Pre-eftablifhment or Sup"pofition of a certain Taste." Now a TAste or $\mathcal{F u d g m e n t ,}$ 'is fuppos'd, can hardly come ready form'd with us into the World. Whatever Principles or Materials of this kind we may poffibly bring with us; whatever good Facultys, Senfes, or anticipating Senfations, and Imaginatons, may be of Nature's Growth, and arise properly, of themfelves, without our Art, Promotion, or Affiftance; the general Idea which is form'd of all this Management, and the clear Notion we attain of what is preferable and principal in all the fe Subjects of Choice and Estimation, will not, as I imagine, by any Perfon, be taken for in-riate. Use, Practice and Cubture mut precede the Underfanding and Wit of fuch an advanced Size and Growth as this. A legitimate and juft Taste can neither be begotten, made, conceiv'd,

[^76]or produc'd, without the antecedent La-Ch. 2. bour and Pains of Criticism.

For this reafon we prefume not only to defend the Caufe of Criticks; but to declare open War againft thofe indolent fupine Autbors, Performers, Readers, Auditors, AEtors, or Spectators; who making their Humour alone the Rule of what is beautiful and agreeable, and having no account to give of fuch their Humour or odd Fancy, reject the criticizing or examining Art, by which alone they are able to difcover the true Beauty and Worth of every Object.

According to that affected Ridicule which thefe infipid Remarkers pretend to throw upon juft Criticks, the Enjoyment of all real Arts or natural Beautys wou'd be intirely loft: Even in Behaviour and Manners, we fhou'd at this rate become in time as barbarous, as in our Pleafures and Diverfions. I wou'd prefume it, however, of thefe Critick-Haters, that they are not yet fo unciviliz'd, or void of all focial Senfe, as to maintain, "That the " moft barbarous Life, or brutinh Pleafure, " is as defirable as the moft polifh'd or re" fin'd."

For my own part, when I have fometimes heard Men of reputed Ability join in

Vol. 3.

Mifc.3.with that effeminate plantive Tone of no Invective againft Criticks, I have really thought they had it in their Fancy, to keep down the growing Genius's of the Youth, their Rivals, by turning them afide from that Examination and Search, on which all good Performance as well as good judgment depends. I have feen many a time a well-bred Man, who had himfelf a real good TASTE, give way, with a malicious Complaifance, to the Humour of a Company, where, in favour chiefly of the tender Sex, this foft languifhing Contempt of Criticks, and their Labours, has been the Subject fet a-foot. "Wretch" ed Creatures! (fays one) impertinent " Things, thefe Criticks, as ye call 'em! "__As if one cou'dn't know what was " agreeable or pretty, without their help. " - -.'Tis fine indeed, that one hou'dn't " be allow'd to fanfy for one's-felf." Now fhou'd a thoufand Criticks tell me " that Mr. A-_'s new Play wan't the " wittieft in the World, I wou’dn't mind "'em one bit."

This our real Man of Wit hears patiently; and adds, perhaps of his own, "That he thinks it, truly, fomewhat " hard, in what relates to People's Diver"fion and Entertainment, that they fhou'd " be oblig'd to chure what pleas'd others, " and not themfolves." Soon after this
he goes himfelf to the Play, finds one of Ch. 2. his effeminate Companions commending or admiring at a wrong place. He turns to the next Perfon who fits by him, and asks pivately, "What be thinks of bis Compa" neon's Relifl."

Such is the Malice of the World! They who by Pains and Induftry have acquir'd a real Taste in Arts, rejoice in their Advantage over others, who have either none at all, or fuch as renders 'em ridiculous. At an Auction of Books, or Pictures, you hall hear there Gentlemen perfuading every one " To bid for what be fanfiss." But, at the fame time, they wound be foundly mortify'd themfelves, if by fuch as they efteem'd good Judges, they fhou'd be found to have purchas'd by a wrong Fancy, or ill Taste. The fame Gentleman who commends his Neighbour for ordering his Garden or Apartment, as bis Humour leads him, takes care his own fhou'd be fo order'd as the belt 'Judgments would advise. Being once a Judy himfelf, or but tolerably knowing in thee Affairs, his Aim is not "To " change the Being of Things, and bring "Truth and Nature to his Mu" mour : but, leaving Nature and " Truth jut as he found 'em, to ac"commodate his Humour and Fancy to "their Standard." Wou'd he do this

Mifc. 3.in a yet higher Cafe, he might in reality become as wife and great a Man, as he is already a refin'd and polifod Gentleman. By one of thefe Tastes he underftands how to lay out his Garden, model his Houfe, fanfy his Equipage, appoint his Table: By the other he learns of what Value thefe Amufements are in Life, and of what Importance to a Man's Freedom, Happinefs, and Self-enjoyment. For if he wou'd try effectually to acquire the real Science or Taste of Life; he wou'd certainly difcover, "That a RIGHT "Mind, and generousAffection, " had more Beauty and Charm, than all " other Symmetrys in the World befides:" And, "That a Grain of Honefy and na" tive Worth, was of more value than " all the adventitious Ornaments, Eftates, " or Preferments; for the fake of which "fome of the better fort fo oft turn "Knaves; forfaking their Principles, and "quiting their Honour and Freedom, for " a mean, timorous, fhifting State of gau"dy Servitude."

A LITTLE better Taste (were it a very little) in the Affair of Life itfelf, wou'd, if I miftake not, mend the Manners, and fecure the Happinefs of fome of our noble Countrymen, who come with high Advantage and a worthy Cbaracter
rater into the Publick. But ere they Ch. 2. have long engaged in it, their Worth unhappily becomes venal. Equipages, Titres, Precedencys, Staffs, Ribbons, and othar fuck glittering $W$ are, are taken in exchange for inward Merit, Honour, and a Character.

This they may account perhaps a freud Bargain. But there will be found very untoward Abatements in it, when the matter comes to be experienced. They may have defcended in reality from ever fo glorious Anceftors, Patriots, and Sufferers for their Country's Liberty and Welfare: They may have made their Entrance into the World upon this bottom of anticipated Fame and Honour: They may have been advanced on this account to Dignitys, which they were thought to have deferv'd. But when induc'd to change their honeft Meafures, and facrifine their Cause and Friends to an imagingry private Intereft; they will foo find, by Experience, that they have loft the Relish and Taste of Life; and for inlipid wretched Honours, of a deceitful kind, have unhappily exchanged an amiable and fiweet Honour, of a fincere and lifting Relifh, and good Savour. They may, after this, act Farces, as they think fit; and hear Quality and Virtues affign'd to 'em, under the Titles of Graces, Excellency, HoM 3
nours,

Mifc. 3 .nours, and the reft of this mock-Praife and mimical Appellation. They may even with ferious Looks be told of Honour and Worth, their Principle, and their Country: But they know better within thernfelves; and have occafion to find, 'That, after all, the World too knows better ; and that their few Friends and Admirers have either a very fhallow Wit, or a very profound Hypocrify.
'T is not in one Party alone that thefe Purcbafes and Sales of Honour are carry'd on. I can reprefent to my-felf a noted Patriot, and reputed Pillar of the religious Part of our Conftitution, who having by many and long Services, and a fteddy Conduct, gain'd the Reputation of thorow Zeal with his own Party, and of Sincerity and Honour with his very Enemys, on a fudden (the time being come that the Fulnefs of his Reward was fet before him) fubmits complacently to the propos'd Bargain, and fells himfelf for what he is worth, in a vile deteftable Old-Age, to which he has referv'd the Infamy of betraying both his Friends and Country.

I CAN imagine, on the other fide, one of a contrary Party; a noted Friend to Liberty in Cluurch and State; an Abhorrer of the flavifh Dependency on Courts, and of the narrow Principles of Bigots: Such

Such a one, after many publick Services ofCh. 2. note, I can fee wrought upon, by degrecs, to feek Court-Preferment; and this too under a Patriot-Character. But having perhaps try'd this way with lefs fuccels, he is oblig'd to change his Cbaracter, and become a royal Flatterer, a Courtier againgt bis Nature; fubmitting himfelf, and fuing, in fo much the meaner degree, as his inherent Principles are well known at Court, and to his new-adopted Party, to whom he feigns himfelf a Profelyte.

The greater the Genius or Charazter is of fuch a Perfon, the greater is his Slavery, and heavier his Load. Better had it been that he had never difcover'd fuch a Zeal for publick Good, or fignaliz'd himfelf in that Party; which can with leaft grace make Sacrifices of national Interefts to a Crown, or to the private Will, Appetite, or Pleafure of a Prince. For fuppofing fuch a Genius as this had been to act his Part of Courthip in fome foreign and abfolute Court; how much lefs infamous wou'd his Part have prov'd? How much lefs flavih, amidft a People who were All Slaves? Had he peradventure been one of that forlorn begging Troop of Gentry extant in Denmark, or Sweden, fince the time that thofe Nations loft their Libertys; had he liv'd out of a free Nation, and happily-balanc'd Conftitution; had

Mifc.3.he been either confcious of no Talent in the Affairs of Government, or of no Opportunity to exert any fuch, to the advantage of Mankind: Where had been the mighty fhame, if perhaps he had employ'd fome of his Abilitys in flattering like others, and paying the neceffary Homage requir'd for Safety's fake, and Selfprefervation, in abfolute and defpotick Governments? The Taste, perhaps, in Atrictnefs, might ftill be worong, even in this hard Circumftance: But how inexcufable in a quite contrary one! For let us fuppofe our Courtier not only an Englijhman, but of the Rank and Stem of thofe old Englifh Patriots, who were wont to curb the Licentioufnefs of our Court, arraign its Flatterers, and purge away thofe Poim fons from the Ear of Princes; let us fuppofe him of a competent Fortune and moderate Appetites, without any apparent Luxury or Lavifoment in his Manners: What haall we, after this, bring in Excufe, or as an Apology, for fuch a Choice as his? How thall we explain this prepofterous Relifh, this odd Preference of Subtlety and IndireEtnefs, to true Wijdom, open Honefy, and Uprightnefs?
'Tis eafier, I confefs, to give account of this Corruption of TASTE in fome noble Youth of a more fumptuous gay Fancy: fuppofing him born truly Great, and
of bonourable Defcent; with a gencrous free Ch. 2. Mind, as well as ample Fortune. Even thefe Circumfances themfelves may be the very Caufes perhaps of his being thus enfnar'd. The * Elegance of his Fancy in outward things, may have made him overlook the Worth of inward Cbaracter and Proportion: And the Love of Grandure and Magnificence, wrong turn'd, may have poffers'd his Imagination over-Atrongly with fuch things as Frontipieces, Parterres, Equipages, trim Valets in party-colour'd Clothes; and others in Gentlemens Apparel.-Magnanimous Exhibitions of Honour and Generofity!-" In Town, a "Palace and futable Furniture! In the "Country the fame; with the addition " of fuch Edifices and Gardens as were "unknown to our Anceftors, and are un" natural to fuch a Climate as Great "Britain!"

Mean while the Year runs on; but the Year's Income anfwers not its Expence. For "Which of thefe Articles can be re" trench'd? Which way take up, after " having thus fet out?" A Princely Fancy has begot all this; and a Princely Slavery, and Court-Dependence muft maintain it.

[^77]The young Gentleman is now led into a Cbace, in which he will have flender Capture, tho Toil fufficient. He is himself taken. Nor will he fo eafily get out of that Labyrinth, to which he chore to commit his fteps, rather than to the more direct and plainer Paths in which he trod before. "Farewel that generous proud "Spirit, which was wont to speak only "what it approv'd, commend only whom " it thought worthy, and act only what " it thought right! Favourites muff be "now obferv'd, little Engines of Power " attended on, and loathfomly carefs'd: " an honeft Man dreaded, and every free "Tongue or Pen abhor'd as dangerous " and reproachful." For till our Gentleman is become wholly proftitute and fhamelefs; till he is brought to laugh at publick Virtue, and the very Notion of common Good; till he has openly renounc'd all Principles of Honour and Honefty, he mut in good Policy avoid thole to whom he lies fo much expos'd, and thun that Commerce and Familiarity which was once his chief Delight.

Such is the Sacrifice made to a wrong Pride, and ignorant Self-efteem; by one whore inward Character mut neceffarily, after this manner, become as mean and abject,
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abject, as his outward Behaviour infolent Ch. 2. and intolerable.

There are another fort of Suitors to Power, and Traffickers of invard Worth and Liberty for outward Gain, whom one wou'd be naturally drawn to compaffionate. They are themfelves of a humane, compaffionate, and friendly nature, Well-wifhers to their Country and Mankind. They cou'd, perhaps, even embrace Poverty contentedly, rather than fubmit to any thing diminutive either of their inseard Freedom or national Liberty. But what they can bear in their own Perfons, they cannot bring themfelves to bear in the Perfons of fuch as are to come afrer them. Here the beft and nobleft of Affections are borne down by the Excefs of the next beft, thofe of Tenderness for Relations and near Friends.

Such Captives as thefe wou'd difdain, however, to devote themfelves to any Prince or Miniftry, whofe Ends were wholly tyrannical, and irreconcilable with the true Intereft of their Nation. In other cafes of a lefs Degeneracy, they may bow down perhaps in the Temple of RIMMON, fupport the Weight of their fiupine Lords, and prop the Steps and ruining Credit of their corruipt Patrons.

This is Drudgery fufficient for fuch honeft Natures; fuch as by hard Fate alone cou'd have been made difhoneft. But as for Pride or Infolence on the account of their outward Advancement and feeming Elevation; they are fo far from any thing refembling it, that one may often obferve what is very contrary in thefe fairer Cbaracters of Men. For tho perhaps they were known fomewhat rigid and feivere before; you fee 'em now grown in reality fubmifive and obliging. Tho in Converfation formerly dogmatical and over-bearing, on the Poincs of State and Government; they are now the patientef to hear, the leaft forward to dictate, and the readieft to embrace any entertaining Subject of Difcourfe, rather than that of the Publick, and their own perfonal Advancement.

Nothing is fo near Virtue as this Behaviour; and nothing fo remote from it, nothing fo fure a Token of the moft profigate Manners, as the contrary. In a free Government, 'tis fo much the Intereft of every one in Place, who profits by the Publick, to demean himfelf with Modefty and Submifion ; that to appear immediately the more infolent and haughty on fuch an Advancement, is the mark only of a contemptible Genius, and of a want of
true Underftanding, even in the narrow Ch .2. Senfe of Intereft and private Good.

Thus we fee, after all, that 'tis not merely what we call Principle, but a Taste, which governs Men. They may think for certain " This is right, or that zerong :" They may believe "This a "Crime, or that a Sin; This punifhable " by Man, or that by God!" Yet if the Savor of things lies crofs to Honesty; if the Fancy be florid, and the Appetite high towards the fubaltern Beautys and lower Order of worldly Symmetrys and Proportions; the Conduct will infallibly turn this latter way.

Even Confcience, I fear, fuch as is owing to religicus Difcipline, will make but a flight Figure, where this Taste is fet amifs. Among the Vulgar perhaps it may do wonders. A Devil and a Hell may prevail, where a fail and Gallows are thought infufficient. But fuch is the Nature of the liberal, polifh'd, and refin'd part of Mankind ; fo far are they from the mere Simplicity of Babes and Sucklings; that, inftead of applying the Notion of a future Reward or Punifhment to their immediate Behaviour in Society, they are apt, much rather, thro' the whole Courfe of their Lives, to fhew evidently that they look on the pious Narrations to be indeed

Mifc. 3.indeed no better than Childrens Tales, or the Amufement of the mere Vulgar:
> + Effe aliquos Manes, © Jubterranea regna,
> * 料 * * * * * * *

Nec pueri credunt, nifi qui nondum cere lavantur.

Something therefore fhou'd, methinks, be further thought of, in behalf of our generous Youths, towards the correcting of their Taste, or Relifs in the Concerns of Life. For this at laft is what will. influence. And in this refpect the Youth alone are to be regarded. Some hopes there may be ftill conceiv'd of Thefe. The reft are confirm'd and harden'd in their way. A middle-ag'd Knave (however devout or orthodox) is but a common Wonder: An old-one is no Wonder at all: But a young-one is ftill (thank Heaven!) fomewhat extraordinary. And I can never enough admire what was faid once by a worthy Man at the firft appearance of one of thefe young able Proftitutes, " That he even trembled at the fight, to " find Nature capable of being turn'd fo "foon: and That he boded greater Ca" lamity to his Country from this fingle "Example of young Villany, than from

[^78]" the Practices and Arts of all the old Ch. 2. "Knaves in being."

Let us therefore proceed in this view, addreffing our-felves to the grown Youth of our polite World. Let the Appeal be to there, whofe Relifh is retrievable, and whofe Tafte may yet be form'd in Morals; as it feems to be, already, in exterior Manners and Bebaviour.

THAT there is reallyaStandard of this latter kind, will immediately, and on the firft view, be acknowledg'd. The Conteft is only, "Which is right: " Which the $u n$-afiected Carriage, and ju/t "Demeanour: And Which the affected " and falfe." Scarce is there any-one, who pretends not to know and to decide What is well-bred and bandfom. There are few fo affectedly clownifh, as abfolutely to difown Good-breeding, and renounce the Notion of A Beauty in outward Manners and Deportment. With fuch as thefe, wherever they fhou'd be found, I muft confers, I cou'd fcarce be tempted to beftow the leaft Pains or Labour, towards convincing 'em of a Beauty in inward Sentiments and Principles.

Whoever has any Impreffion of what we call Gentility or Politene/s, is already

Mifc.3.fo acquainted with the Decorum and $\sim$ Grace of things, that he will readily confefs a Pleafure and Enjoyment in the very Survey and Contemplation of this kind. Now if in the way of polite Pleafure, the Study and Love of Beauty be effential; the Study and Love of Symmetry and Order, on which Beauty depends, muft alfo be effential, in the fame refpect.
'Tis impoffible we can advance the leaft in any Relifs or Tafte of outward Symmetry and Order; without acknowledging that the proportionate and regular State is the truly profperous and natural in every Subject. The fame Features which make Deformity, create Incommodioufnefs and Difeafe. And the fame Shapes and Proportions which make Beauty, afford Advantage, by adapting to Activity and Ufe. Even in the imitative or defigning Arts, (to which our Author fo often refers) the Truth or Beauty of every Figure or Statue is meafur'd from the Perfection of Nature, in her juft adapting of every Limb and Proportion to the Activity, Strength, Dexterity, Life and Vigor of the particular Species or Animal defign'd.

Thus Beauty and * Trutb are plainly join'd with the Notion of Utility and

[^79]
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Convenience, even in the Apprehenfion of Ch. 2. every ingenious Artift, the * Architect, $\sim \sim$ the Statuary, or the Painter. 'Tis the fame in the Pbyician's way. Natural Health is the juft Proportion, Truth, and regular Courfe of things, in a Conftitution. 'Tis the inward Beauty of the Body. And when the Harmony and juft Meafures of the rifing Pulfes, the circulating Humours, and the moving Airs or Spirits are difturb'd or loft, Deformity enters, and with it, Calamity and Ruin.

Shou'd not this, one wou'd imagine, be ftill the fame Cafe, and hold equally as to the Mind? Is there nothing there which tends to Difturbance and Diffolution? Is there no natural Tenour, Tone, or Order of the Paffions or Affections? No Beauty, or Deformity in this moral kind?

[^80]Mifc.3.Or allowing that there really is; muft is not, of confequence, in the fame manner imply Healtb or Sicklinefs, Profperity or Difafter? Will it not be found in this refpect, above all, " That what is 粦 beau-

* This is the HONESTUM, the PULCHRUM, To $\mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda$ òv, on which our Author lays the frefs of VIRTUE, and the Merits of this Caufe; as well in his other Treatifes, as in this of Soliloquy here commented. This Beauty the Roman Orator, in his rhetorical way, and in the Majefty of Style, con'd exprefs no otherwife than as $A$ Myftery. + " HONE STUM igitur id intelligimus, quod "tale eft, ut, detractà omni utilitate, fine ullis pramiis "fructibufoe, per feipfum poffit jure loudari. 2uod quale " Jit, non tam defninitione quâ fum ufus intelligi potefir (quan" quam aliquantum poteft) quam COMMUNI omnium " $\mathcal{F U D I C I O , ~ § o ~ o p t i m i ~ c u j u f q u e ~ f u d i z s , ~ a t q u e ~ f a c t i s ; ~}$ "qui permulia ob eam unam caufam faciunt, quia decet, " quia rectum, quia bonefum eft; etf nullum confecuturum "emolumentum vident." Our Author, on the other fide, having little of the Orator, and lefs of the Conftraint of Formality belonging to fome graver Cbaracters, can be more familiar on this occafion: and accordingly defcending, without the leaft fcruple, into whatever Style, or Humour ; he refufes to make the leaft Difficulty or Myyfery of this matter. He pretends, on this head, to claim the Affent not only of Orators, Poets, and the higher Virtuof, but even of the Beaux themfelves, and fuch as go no farther than the Dancing-Mafter to feek for Grace and Beauty. He pretends, we fee, to fetch this natural Ided from as familiar Amufements as Drefs, Equipage, the Tiring-Room, or Toy-fhop. And thus in his proper manner of SOLILOQUY, or Self-Difourfe, we may imagine him running on: beginning perhaps with fome particular Scheme or fanfy'd Scale of BEAUT Y, which, according to his Philofophy, he ftrives to erect; by diftinguifhing, forting, and dividing into Things animate, ix-animate, and mixt: as thus.

In the IN-ANIMATE; beginning from thofe regular Figures and Symmetrys with which Children are delighted; and proceeding gradually to the Proportions of Architecture and

[^81]
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"TIFUL is barmonious and proportion-Ch. 2 . "able; what is harmonious and propor-~~
"tionable, is true; and what is at once " both beautiful and true, is, of confe" quence, agreeable and good?"

Wherf
and the other Arts. -The fame in refpect of Sounds and MUSICK. From beautiful Stones, Rocks, Minerals; to Vegetables, Woods, aggregate Parts of the World, Seas, Rivers, Mountains, Vales. - The Globe. - Celeftial Bodys, and their Order. The higher Arcbitecture of Na-ture.-NATURE her-felf, condiderd as in-animate and paflive.

In the ANIMATE; from Animals, and their feveral Kinds, Tempers, Sagacitys, to Men. - And from fingle Perfons of Men, their private Cbarakers, Underfandinge, Genius's, Difpofitions, Manners; to Publick Societys, Communitys, or Commonzwealtbs.-From Flocks, Herds, and other natural Afemblages or Groups of living Creatures, to human Intelligencys and Correfpondencys, or whatever is higher in the kind. The Corretpondence, Union and Harmony of NATURE her-felf, confider'd as animate and intelligent.

In the MIXT; as in a fingle Perfon, (a Body and a Mind) the Union and Harmony of this kind, which conftitutes the real Perfon: and the Friendfhip, Love, or whatever other Affection is form'd on fuch an Object. A Houfbold, a City, or Nation, with certain Lands, Buildings, and other Appendices, or local Ornaments, which jointly form that $2-$ greeable Idea of Home, Family, Country.-.
"And what of this !" (hays an airy Spark, no Friend to Meditation or deep Thought) "What means this Catalogre, "or Scale, as you are pleas'd to call it? Only, Sir, to " fatisfy my-felf, That I am not alone, or fingle in a certain
" Fancy I have of a thing call'd BEAU'TY; That I have " almoft the whole World for my Companions; and That " each of us Admivers and earnef Purfuers of BEAUTY " (fuch as in a manner we All are) if peradventure we take " not a certain Sagacity along with us, we muft err widely, " range extravagantly, and run ever upon a falfe Scent. We " may, in the Sportiman's Phrafe, bave many Hares afoot, " but fhall ftick to no real Game, nor be fortunate in cmy "S Capiurs which may content us.

Where then isthis Beauty or Haro mony to be found? How is this SymmeTRy to be difcover'd and apply'd? Is it any other Art than that of Philoso PHY, or the Study of inward Numbers and

[^82]Proportions, which can exhibit this in Life? Ch. 2. If no other; Who, then, can poffibly have a Taste of this kind, without being beholden to Philosophy? Who can admire the outward Beautys, and not recur inftantly to the inward, which are the moft real and effential, the moft naturally affecting, and of the higheft Pleafure, as well as Profit and Advantage?
"der, Peace, Harmony, and Beauty! But what is "there anfiverable to this, in the MINDS of the Poffef" fors? What Pofefion or Propriety is theirs? What "Conftancy or Security of Enjoyment? What Peace, what " Harmony WITHIN." $\qquad$
Thus our MONOLOGIST, or felf-difourfing Author, in his ufual Strain ; when incited to the Search of BEAUTY and the DECORUM, by vulgar Admiration, and the univerfal Acknowledgment of the SPECIES in outward Things, and in the meaner and fubordinate Subjects. By this inferior Species, it feems, our frict Infpector difdains to be allur'd: And refufing to be captivated by any thing lefs than the fuperior, original, and genuine Kind; he wallss at leifure, without Emotion, in deep philofophical Referve, thro' all thefe pompous Scenes; paffes unconcernedly by thofe Court-Pageants, the illuftrious and much-envy'd Potentates of the Place; overlooks the Rich, the Great, and even the Fair: feeling no other Aftonifhment than what is accidentally rais'd in him, by the View of thefe Impoftures, and of this fpecious Snare. For here he obferves thofe Gentlemen chiefly to be caught and fafteit held, who are the higheit Ridiculers of fuch Reflections as his own; and who in the very height of this Ridicule prove themfelves the impotent Contemners of a SPECIES, which, whether they will or no, they ardently purfue: Some, in a Face, and certain regular Lines, or Fea tures: Others, in a Palace and Apartnents: Others, in an Equipage and Drefs.—O EFFEMINACY! EF"FEMINNACY! Who wou'd imagine this cou'd be the *Vice of fuch as appear no inconfiderable Men ? But "Perfon is a Subject of Flattery which reaches beyond the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ " Ploom

In fo flont a compafs does that Learning and Knowledge lie, on which Manners and Life depend. 'Tis We our-felves create and form our TAsTE. If we refolve to have it juft; 'tis in our power. We may efteem and value, approve and difapprove, as we wou'd wifh. For who wou'd not rejoice to be always equal and confonant to himfelf, and have conftantly that Opinion of things which is natural and proportionable? But who dares fearch Opinion to the bottom, or call in queftion his early and prepofefing Taste? Who is fo juft to himílf, as to recal his FANCy from the power of Fafbion and Education, to that of Reason? Cou'd we, however, be thus courageous; we fhou'd foon fetthe in our-felves fuch an Opinion of Good as wou'd fecure to us an invari-

[^83]able, agreeable, and luff Taste in Life Ch. 2. and Manners.

THUS HAVE I endeavour'd to tread in my Author's fteps, and prepare the Reader for the ferious and downright Philofophy, which even in this * left commented Treatife, our Author keeps Ail as a Myftery, and dares not formally profess. His Pretence has been to advife Authors, and polifh Styles; but his Aim has been to correct Manners, and regulate Lives. He has affected SoliloQuy, as pretending only to cenfure Himfelf; but he has taken occafion to bring others into his Company, and make bold with Perfonages and Characters of no inferior Rank. He has given fcope enough to Raillery and Mumour ; and has intrench'd very largely on the Province of us Mifcellanarian Writers. But the Reader is $\downarrow$ now about to fee him in a new afpect, "a formal and profefs'd "Pbilofopher, a Sy/tem-Writer, a Dogma-"tit, and Expounder." Babes conftenter rum.

So to his Philosophy I commit him. Tho, according as my Genius and

[^84]Mife. 3.prefent Difpofition will permit, I intend
ftill to accompany him at a diftance, keep him in fight, and convoy him, the beft I am able, thro' the dangerous Seas he is about to pafs.

MISCEL.

## MISCELLANY IV.

## CH A P. I.

Connexion and Union of the SubjectTreaties. - Philosophy in form.-Metapby/icks.-Ego-ity. Identity. - Moral Footing. Proof and Discipline of the Fancys. Settlement of Opinion. Anatomy of the Mind. A Fable.

WE have already, in the beginDing of our preceding Mijcella$n y$, taken notice of our Author's Plan, and the Connection and Dependency of his * Joint-Tracts, compreheaded in two preceding Volumes. We are now, in our Commentator-Capacity, arriv'd at length to his Second Volume, to which the three Pieces of his $\operatorname{fr} / \mathrm{f}$ appear preparatory. That they were really fo
${ }_{-}^{*}$ Above, jag. 135. Again below, 284, 285, Bic. defign'd,

Mifc.4.defign'd, the Advertifement to the firft Edition of his Soliloquy is a fufficient Proof. He took occafion there, in a line or two, under the Name of his Printer, or (as he otherwife calls him) his Amanuenfis, to prepare us for a more elaborate and methodical Piece which was to follow. We have this Syftem now before us. Nor need we wonder, fuch as it is, that it came fo hardly into the World, and that our Author has been deliver'd of it with fo much difficulty, and after fo long a time. His Amanuenfis and he, were not, it feems, heretofore upon fuch good Terms of Correfpondence. Otherwife fuch an unfhapen Fictus, or falfe Birth, as that of which our Author in his * Title-page complains, had not formerly appear'd abroad. Nor had it ever rifen again in its more decent Form, but for the accidental Publication of our Author's Firft + Letter, which, by a neceffary Train of Confequences, occafion'd the revival of this abortive Piece, and gave ufherance to its Companions.

It will appear therefore in this FointEdition of our Author's Five Treatifes, that the Three former are preparatory to the Fourth, on which we are now enter'd;

> * Niz. To the INQUIRY (Treatife IV.) VOL II. o $\mathrm{Viz}_{i z}$. Letter of Enthufiafm, VOL. I.
and

Reflections.
and the Fifth (with which he concludes)Ch. I. a kind of Apology for this reviv'd Treatife $\sim$ concerning Virtue and Religion.

As for his Apology (particularly in what relates to reveal'd Religion, and a World to come) I commit the Reader to the difputant Divines, and Gentlemen, whom our Author has introduc'd in that concluding Piece of Dialogue-Writing, or rbapjodical Pbilofophy. Mean while, we have here no other part left us, than to enter into the dry Philosophy, and rigid Manner of our Author; without any Excurfions into various Literature; without help from the Comick or Tragick Muse, or from the Flowers of Poetry or Rbetorick.

Such is our prefent Pattern, and frict moral Task; which our more humorous Reader fore-knowing, may immediately, if he pleafes, turn over; skipping (as is ufual in many grave Works) a Chapter or two, as he proceeds. We thall, to make amends, endeavour afterwards, in our following Misceilany, to entertain him again with more chearful Fare, and afford him a Deffert, to rectify his Palat, and leave his Mouth at laft in good relifh.

To the patient and grave Reader, therefore, who in order to moralize, can afford

Mifc.4.afford to retire into his Clofet, as to fome religious or devout Exercife, we prefume thus to offer a few Reflections, in the fupport of our Author's profound In Quiry. And accordingly, we are to imagine our Author fpeaking, as follows.

HOW LITTLE regard foever may be fhewn to that moral Speculation or INQuiry, which we call the Study of ourfelves; it muft, in frrictnefs, be yielded, That all Knowledg whatfoever depends upon this previous-one: "And that we can in "reality be affur'd of nothing, till we "c are firft affur'd of What we are OUR"selves." For by this alone we can know what Certainty and Afurance is.

That there is fometbing undoubtedly which thinks, our very Doubt it-felf and fcrupulous Thought evinces. But in what Subject that Thought refides, and how that Subject is continu'd one and the fame, fo as to anfwer conftantly to the fuppos'd Train of Thoughts or Reflections which feem to run fo harmonioufly thro' a long Courfe of Life, with the fame relation ftill to one fingle and felf-fame Person; this is not a Matter fo eafily or haftily decided, by thofe who are nice Self-Examiners, or Searchers after Truth and Certainty.

'Twill

${ }^{2}$ Twile not, in this refpect, be fufficient for us to ufe the feeming Logick of a famous * Modern, and fay "Wc think: cherefore We are." Which is a notably invented Saying, after the Model of that like philofophical Propofition ; That "What is, is."- Miraculoully argu'd! "If I am; I am." Nothing more certain! For the EGO or I, being eftablifh'd in the firt part of the Propofition, the Ergo, no doubr, muft hold it good in the latter. But the Queftion is, "What conftitutes the We or I?" And, "Whe" ther the I of this inftant, be the fame " with that of any inftant preceding, or " to come." For we have nothing but Memory to warrant us: and Memory may be falfe. We may believe we have thought and reflected thus or thus: but we may be miftaken. We may be confcious of that, as Truth; which perhaps was no more than Dream: and we may be confcious of that as a paft Dream, which perhaps was never before fo much as dreamt of.

This is what Metaphyicians mean, when they fay, "That Identity can be "prov'd only by Confcioufnefs; but that "Confcioufnefs, withal, may be as well

[^85]Mifc. 4. "falfe as real, in refpect of what is paft." So that the fame fucceffional We or $I$ muft remain ftill, on this account, undecided.

To the force of this Reafoning I cons fefs I muft fo far fubmit, as to declare that for my own part, I take my Being upon Truft. Let others philofophize as they are able: I fhall admire their ftrength; when, upon this Topick, they have refuted what able Metapbyjicians object, and Pyrrhonists plead in their own behalf.

Mean while, there is no Impediment; Hinderance, or Sufpenfion of Action, on account of thefe wonderfully refin'd $S p e-$ culations. Argument and Debate go on ftill. Conduct is fettled. Rules and Meafures are given our, and receiv'd. Nor do we fcruple to act as refolutely upon the mere Suppofition that we are, as if we had effectually prov'd it a thoufand times, to the full fatisfaction of our Metapbyical or Pyrrbonean Antagonift.

This to me appears fufficient Ground for a Moralif. Nor do I ask more, when I undertake to prove the reality of VIRtue and Morals.

IF it be certain that I AM ; 'tis certain and demonftrable $\mathrm{W}_{\text {но }}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\text {нат }}$

I ought to be, even on my own account, Ch. r. and for the fake of my own private Hap- $\sim \sim$ pinefs and Succefs. For thus I take the liberty to proceed.

The Affections, of which I am confcious, are either Grief, or Joy; Desire, or Aversion. For whatever mere Senfation I may experience; if it amounts to neither of thefe, 'tis indifferent, and no way affects me.

That which caufes Joy and Satisfaction when prefent, caufes Grief and Difturbance when abfent: And that which caufes Grief and Difturbance when prefent, does when abfent, by the fame neceflity occafion Joy and Satisfaction.

Thus Love (which implies Defire, with Hope of Good) muft afford occafion to Grief and Difurbance, when it acquires not what it earnefly feeks. And Hatred (which implies Averfion, and Fear of Ill) muft, in the fame manner, occafion Grief and Calamity, when that which it earnefly shun'd, or wou'd have efcap'd, remains prefent, or is altogether unavoidable.

That which being prefent can never leave the Mind at reft, but muft of neceffity caufe Averfion, is its IL i. But that which can be fuftain'd without any necef-

Mifc.4.fary Abborrence, or Averfion, is not its Ile; but remains indifferent in its own nature; the Ill being in the Affection only, which wants redrefs.

In the fame manner, that which being abfent, can never leave the Mind at reft, or without Difturbance and Regret, is of neceffity its Good. But that which can be abfent, without any prefent or future Difurbance to the Mind, is not its Good, but remains indifferent in its own nature. From whence it muft follow, That the Affection towards it, as fuppos'd Good, is an ill Affection, and creative only of Difurbance and Dijeafe. So that the A Ffections of Love and Hatred, Liking and Diflike, on which the Happinefs or Profperity of the Perfon fo much depends, being influenc'd and govern'd by Opinion; the higheft Good or Happinefs muft depend on rigbt Opinion, and the higheft Mifery be deriv'd from wrong.

To explain this, I confider, for inftance, the Fancy or Imagination I have of Death, according as I find this Subject naturally paffing in my Mind. To this Fancy, perhaps, I find united an Opinion or Apprehension of Evil and Calamity. Now the more my Apprebenfion of this Evil increafes; the greater, I find, my Difturbance proves, not only at the approach
approach of the fuppos'd Evil, but at the Ch. r. very diftant Thought of it. Befides that, the Thought it-felf will of neceflity fo much the oftner recur, as the Averfion or Fear is violent, and increafing.

Frour this fuppos'd Evil I muft, however, fly with fo much the more earnentnefs, as the Opinion of the Evil increafes. Now if the Increafe of the $A$ verfion can be no Caufe of the Decreafe or Diminution of the Evil it-Selfs, but rather the contrary; then the Increafe of the $A$ verfion muft neceffarily prove the Increafe of Dijappointment and Difturbance. And fo on the other hand, the Diminution or Decreafe of the Averfion (if this may any way be effected) muft of neceffity prove the Diminution of inward Difurbance, and the better Eftablifoment of inward 2uist and Satisfaction.

Again, I confider with my-felf, That I have the * Imagination of fomething beautiful, great, and becoming in Things. This Imagination I apply perhaps

[^86]Mifc. 4.to fuch Subjects as Plate, Yfewels, Aparts ments, Coronets, Patents of Honour, $T_{i}$ tles, or Precedencys. I muit therefore naturally feek thefe, not as mere Conveniencys, Means, or Helps in Life, (for as fuch my Paffion cou'd not be fo exceffive towards'em) but as excelefent in themfelves, neceffarily attractive of my Admiration, and directly and immediately caufing my Happinefs, and giving me Satisfaction. Now if the Passion rais'd on this Opinion (call it Avarice, Pride, Vanity, or Ambition) be indeed incapable of any real Satisfaction, even under the moft fucceffful Courfe of Fortune ; and then too, attended with perpetual Fears of Difappointment and Lofs: how can the Mind be other than miferable, when poffefs'd by it ? But if inftead of forming thus the Opinion of Good ; if inftead of placing WORTH or Excelience in thefe outward Subjects, we place it, where it is trueft, in the Affections or Sentiments, in the governing Part and inward Cbaracter; we have then the full Enjoyment of it within our power: The Imagination or Opinion remains fteddy and irreverfible: And the Love, Defire and Appetite is anfwer'd; without Apprehenfion of Lofs or Difappointment.

Here therefore arifes Work and Employment for us Witbin: "To regulate ${ }^{6}$ FANCY,
*FAncy, and rectify * Opinion, on Ch. i. "which all depends." For if our Loves, Defires, Hatreds and Averfions are left to themfelves; we are neceffarily expos'd to endlefs Vexation and Calamity: but if thefe are found capable of Amendment, or in any meafure flexible or variable by Opinion; we ought, methinks, to make trial, at leaft, how far we might by this means acquire Felicity and Content.

Accordingly, if we find it evident, on one hand, that by indulging any wrong Appetite (as either Debauch, Malice, or Revenge) the Opinion of the falfe Good increafes; and the Appetice, which is a real Ill, grows fo much the ftronger: we may be as fully affur'd, on the other hand, that by reftraining this Affection, and nourifhing a contrary fort in oppofition to it ; we cannot fail to diminifh what is $I l l$, and increafe what is properly our Happine/s and Good.
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 sdiplo de, raliselal rdikẼve. Arrian. Lib. iii. cap. 3. See V OL. I. pag. 885, \&cc. 294, $5,6,324, \xi^{\circ} c$. Ar.i. VOL. II. pag. 437 。

On this account, a Man may reafonably conclude, " That it becomes him, by " working upon his own Mind, to with"draw the Fancy or Opinion of Good or "Ill from that to which juftly and by " neceffity it is not join'd; and apply ir, " with the ftrongeft Refolution, to that " with which it naturally agrees." For if the Fancy or Opinion of Good be join'd to what is not durable, nor in my power either to acquire or to retain; the more fuch an Opirion prevails, the more I muft be fubject to Difappointment and Diftrefs. But if there be that to which, whenever I apply the Opinion or Fancy of Good, I find the Fancy more confiftent, and the Good more durable, folid, and within my Power and Command ; then the more fuch an Opinion prevails in me, the more Satisfaction and Happinefs I muft experience.

Now, if I join the Opinion of Good to the Poffeffions of the Mind; if it be in the Affections themfelves that I place my higheft Joy, and in thofe Objects, whatever they are, of inward Worth and Beauty, (fuch as Honefy, Faith, Integrity, Friend(hit, Honour) 'tis evident I can never poffibly, in this refpect, rejoice amifs, or indulge my-felf too far in the Enjoyment. The greater my Indulgence is, the lefs I have reafon to fear either Reverfe or Difappointment.

This,

This, I know, is far contrary in another Regimen of Life. The Tutorage of Fancy and Pleasure, and the cafy Philofophy of taking that for Good which * pleafes me, or which I fanfy merely, will, in time, give me Uneafinefs fufficient. 'Tis plain, from what has been debated, That the lefs fanciful I am, in what relates to my Content and Happinefs, the more powerful and abfolute I mult be, in Selfenjoyment, and the Poffeffion of my Good. And fince 'cis Fancy merely, which gives the force of Good, or power of paffing as fuch, to Things of Chance and outward Dependency ; 'tis evident, that the more I take from Fancy in this refpect, the more I confer upon $m y$-felf. As I am lefs led or betray'd by Fancy to an Efteem of what depends on others; I am the more fix'd in the Efteem of what depends on myjelf alone. And if I have once gain'd the Tafle of Liberty, I fhall eafily underftand the force of this Reafoning, and know boih my true Self and Interest.

The Method therefore requir'd in this my inward OEconomy, is, to make thofe Fancys themfelves the Objects of my Averfion which juftly deferve it; by being the Caufe of a wrong Eftimation and Meafure

[^87]O 3

Mifc.4.of Good and Ill, and confequently the Caufe ~ of my Unhappinefs and Difturbance.

Accordingly (as the learned Mafters in this Science advife) we are to begin rather * by the averfe, than by the prone and forward Difpofition. We are to work rather by the weaning than the ingaging Paffions: fince if we give way chiefly to Inclination, by loving, applauding and admiring what is Great and Good, we may poffibly, it feems, in fome high Objects of that kind, be fo amus'd and extafy'd, as to lofe

[^88]lofe our-felves, and mifs our proper Mark, Ch. :. for want of a fteddy and fettled Aim. But $\sim \sim$ being more fure and infallible in what relates to our Ill, we fhou'd begin, they tell us, by applying our Averfion, on that fide, and raifing our Indignation againft thofe Meanneffes of Opinion and Sentiment, which are the Caufes of our Subjection, and Perplexity.

Thus the covetous Fancy, if confider'd as the Caufe of Mifery, (and confequently detefted as a real Ill) muft of neceffity abate: And the ambitious Fancy, if oppos'd in the fame manner, with Refolution, by better Thought, muft refign it-felf, and leave the Mind free, and difincumber'd in the purfuit of its better Objects.

Nor is the Cafe different in the Paffion of Cowardice, or Fear of
whole taken together, it appears evidently on what Syitem of antient Philofophy this Epittle was form'd. Nor was this Prohibition of the wondering or admiring Habit, in early Students, peculiar to one kind of Philoolophy alone. It was common to many; however the Reafon and Account of it might differ, in one Sect from the other. The Pythagoreans fufficiently check'd their Tyro's, by filencing them fo long on their firt Courthip to Pbilofophy. And tho Admiration, in the Peripatetick Senfe, as abovemention'd, may be juflly calld the inclining Principle or firt Motive to PHILOS OPHY; yet this Miftrefs, when once efpous'd, teaches us to adnire, after a different manner from what we did before. See above, pag. 37. And V OL. I. pag. $4^{1 .}$

$$
\mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{DEATH}^{\text {D.AT }}
$$

Mifc. 4.Death. For if we leave this Paffion to it-felf, (or to certain Tutors to manage for us) it may lead us to the moft anxious and tormenting State of Life. But if it be oppos'd by founder Opinion, and a juft Eftimation of things, it muft diminifh of courfe: And the natural Refult of fuch a Practice munt be, the Refcue of the Mind from numberlefs Fears, and Miferys of other kinds.

Thus at laft a Mind, by knowing it-felf, and its own proper Powers and Vittues, becomes free, and independent. It fees its Hindrances and Obftructions, and finds they are wholly from it-felf, and from. Opinions wrong-conceiv'd. The more it conquers in this refpect, (be it in the leaft particular) the more it is its own Mafter, feels jts own natural Liberty, and congratulates with it-felf on its own Advancesutent and Prosperity.

Whether fome who are calld Pbilofophers have fo apply'd their Meditations, as to underftand any thing of this Language, I know not. But well I am affur'd that many an bonef and free-bearted Fellow, among the vullgar Rank of People, has naturally rome kind of Feeling or Apprehenfion of this Self-enjoyment; when refufing to act for Lucre or outward Profit, the Thing which from his Soul he abhors, and thinks below him; he goes on, with harder

Labour,

Labour, but more Content, in his direct Ch. r. plain Path. He is fecure roithin; free of $\sim m$ what the World calls Policy, or Defign ; and fings, according to the old Ballad,

My Mind to me a Kingdom is, \&c.
Which in Latin we may tranflate,

* $\qquad$
Virtute me involvo, probamque Pauperiem fine dote quaro.

BUT I FORGET, it feems, that I am now fpeaking in the Perfon of our grave Inquirer. I Thou'd confider I have no Right to vary from the Pattern he has fet; and that whilft I accompany him in this particular Treatife, I ought not to make the leaft Efcape out of the high Road of Demonftration, into the diverting Paths of Poetry, or Humour.

As grave however as Morals are prefum'd in their own nature, I look upon. it as an effential matter in their Delivery, to take now and then the natural Air of Pleafantry. The firf Morals, which were ever deliver'd in the World, were in Parables, Tales, or Fables. And the latter and moft confummate Diftributers

[^89]Mifc. 4. of Morals, in the very politeft times, were great Tale-Tellers, and Retainers to honeft届, Sop.

After all the regular Demonftrations and Deductions of our grave Author, I dare fay 'twou'd be a high Relief and Satisfaction to his Reader, to hear an Apologue, or Fable, well told, and with fuch humour as to need no fententious Moral at the end, to make the application.

As an Experiment in this cafe, let us at this inftant imagine our grave Inquirer taking pains to fhew us, at full length, the unnatural and unhappy Excurfions, Rovings, or Expeditions of our ungovern'd Fancys and Opinions over a World of Riches, Honours, and other ebbing and flowing Goods. He performs this, we will fuppofe, with great Sagacity, to the full meafure and fcope of our Attention. Mean while, as full or fatiated as we might find our-felves of ferious and folid Demonftration, 'tis odds but we might find Vacancy ftill fufficient to receive Inftruction by another Method. And I dare anfwer for fuccefs, fhou'd a merrier Moralift of the ÆsOP压AN-Scbool prefent himfelf; and, hearing of this Chace defcrib'd by our Pbilofopber, beg leave to reprefent it to the life, by a homely Cur or two, of his Mafter's ordinary breed.
"Two
"Two of this Race (he wou'd tell $\sim$ n sc us) having been daintily bred, and in " high thoughts of what they call'd Plea" fure and good Living, travel'd once in "queft of Game and Raritys, till they "came by accident to the Sea-fide. They " faw there, at a diftance from the fhore, " fome floating pieces of a Wreck, which " they took a fancy to believe fome won"derful rich Dainty, richer than Amber" greefe, or the richert Product of the "Ocean. They cou'd prove it, by their "Appetite and Longing, to be no lefs " than Quinteffence of the Main, ambrofial "Subfance, the Repaft of marine Deity's, "furpaffing all which Earth afforded."By thefe rhetorical Arguments, after " long Rearoning with one another in this "florid Vein, they proceeded from one "Extravagance of Fancy to another ; till "they came at laft to this iffue. Being " unaccuftom'd to Swimming, they wou'd " not, it feems, in prudence, venture fo "far out of their Depth as was neceffary "to reach their imagin'd Prize : But be" ing ftout Drinkers, they thought with "themfelves, they might compals to "drink all which lay in their way; even "The Sea it-felf; and that by this me"thod they might hortly bring their "Goods fafe to dry Land. To work ${ }^{6}$ there

Mifc.4." therefore they went; and drank till " they were both burft."

For my own part, I am fully fatisfy'd that there are more Sea-drinkers than one or two, to be found among the principal Perfonages of Mankind ; and that if thefe Dogs of ours were filly Curs, many who pafs for wife in our own Race are little wifer; and may properly enough be faid to have the Sea to drink.
'Tis pretty evident that they who live in the higheft Sphere of human Affairs, have a very uncertain View of the thing call'd Happiness or Good. It lies out at Sea, far diftant, in the Offir; where thofe Gentlemen ken it but very imperfectly: And the means they employ in order to come up with it, are very wide of the matter, and far fhort of their propos'd End. - "Firft a general Acquain-"tance.-Vifits, Levees.- Attendance supon the Great and Little.—Popula"rity._A Place in Parliament.-Then " another at Court. - Then Intrigue, " Corruption, Proftitution. 一 Then a " higher Place.-Then a Title.-TMen " a Remove.-A new Minister!— "Fractions at Court, _Ship-wreck of "Minitrys-The new: The old._-En" gage with one: piece up with t'otber. -"Bar-

Reflections.
" Bargains; Loffes; After-Games; Retrie-Ch. I. "vals." Is not this, the Sea to drink?

* At fi Divitice prudentem reddere poffent,
Si cupidum timidumque minìs te ; nempe ruberes,
Wiveret in Terris te fi quis avarior ino.
But left I fhou'd be tempted to fall into a manner I have been oblig'd to difclaim in this part of my Mi/cellaneous Performance; I mall here fet a Period to this Difcourfe, and renew my attempt of ferious Reflection and grave Thought, by taking up my Clew in a frefh Chapter.
* Horat. Lib. ii. Epift. ii. ver. 155.

CHAP。

## C H A P. II.

Pafjage from Terra Incognita to the vijzble World.-Miftre $\int-$ - $\beta i p$ of Nature. - Animal-Confederacy, Degrees, Subordination.-Mafter-Animal Man. Privilege of bis Birth.——Serious Countenance of the Author.

A$S$ heavily as it went with us, in the deep philofophical part of our preceding Chapter; and as neceffarily engag'd as we Itill are to profecute the fame ferious In euiry, and Search, into thofe dark Sources; 'tis hop'd, That our remaining Pbilofophy may flow in a more eafy Vein; and the fecond Running be found fomewhat clearer than the firft. However it be; we may, at leaft, congratulate with our-felves for having thus briefly pals'd over that Metapby/ical part, to which we have paid fufficient deference. Nor fhall we fcruple to declare our Opinion, "That it is, in a manner, neceflary "for one who wou'd ufefully philofophize, " to have a Knowledg in this part of Phi"s lofophy, fufficient to fatisfy him that " there
"there is no Knoreledg or Wifdom to be Ch. 2. " learnt from it." For of this Truth no- $\sim_{\sim}^{\sim}$ thing befides Experience and Study will be able fully to convince him.

When we are even paft thefe empty Regions and Shadows of Philofophy; 'twill fill perhaps appear an uncomfortable kind of travelling thro' thofe other invifible Ideal Worlds: fuch as the Study of Morals, we fee, engages us to vifit. Men muft acquire a very peculiar and ftrong Habit of turning their Eye inwards, in order to explore the interior Regions and Receffes of the $\mathrm{Mind}_{\text {IN }}$, the bollow Caverns of deep Thought, the private Seats of Fancy, and the Waffes and Wildernefles, as well as the more fruitful and cultivated $\mathcal{T}$ racts of this obfcure Climate.

But what can one do? Or how difpenfe with thefe darker Difquifitions and Moon-light Voyages, when we have to deal with a fort of Moon-blind W I ts, who tho very acute and able in their kind, may be faid to renounce Day-light, and extino guijh, in a manner, the bright vifible outward World, by allowing us to know nothing befide what we can prove, by ftrict and formal Demonftration?
${ }^{2}$ I Is therefore to fatisfy fuch rigid $I_{n}$ quirers as thefe, that we have been neceffi-

## Miscellaneous

Mif. 4.tated to proceed by the inward way; and
that in our preceding Chapter we have built only on fuch foundations as are taken from our very Perceptions, Fancys, Appearances, Affections, and Opinions themfelves, without regard to any thing of an exterior World, and even on the fuppofition that there is no jucb World in being.

Such has been our late dry Task. No wonder if it carrys, indeed, a meagre and raw Appearance. It may be look'd on, in Pbilofophy, as worfe than a mere Egyptian Impofition. For to make Brick without Straw or Stubble, is perhaps an eafier labour, than to prove Morals without a World, and eftablifh a Conduct of Life without the Suppofition of any thing living or extant befides our immediate Fancy, and World of Imagination.

But having finifhed this myfterious Work, we come now to open Day, and Sunfbine: And, as a Poet perhaps might exprefs himfelf, we are now ready to quit

## The dubious Labyrintbs, and Pyrrhonean Cells

Of a Cimmerian Darkne/s.
We are, henceforward, to truft our Eyes, and take for real the whole Creation, and
the fair Forms which lie before us. WeCh. 2. are to believe the Anatomy of out oweri Body, and in proportionable Order, the Sbapes, Forms, Habits, and Confitututions of other Animal-Races. Without demurring on the profound modern Hypothefis of animal Infenfibility, we are to believe firmly and refolutely, "That other Creatures " have their Senfe and Feeling, their mere "Pafions and Affections, as well as our"felves." And in this manner we proceed accordingly, on our Author's Scheme, " To inquire what is truly natural to each "Creature: And Whether that which " is natural to each, and is its Perfec"tion, be not withal its Happine $/ s$; or "Good."

To deny there is any thing properly natural, (after the Conceffions already made) wou'd be undoubtedly very prepofterous and abfurd. Nature and the outward World being own'd exiftent, the reft muft of neceflity follow. The Anatomy of Bodys, the Order of the Spheres; the proper Mechanifms of a thoufand kinds, and the infinite Ends and futable Means eftablifh'd in the general Conftitution and Order of Things; all this being once admitted, and allow'd to pafs as certain and unqueftionable, 'tis as vain afterwards to except againft the Phrafe of natural and umnatural, and queftion the Propriety Uol. 3.

Mifc.4. of this Speech apply'd to the particular Forms and Beings in the World, as it wou'd be to except againft the common Appellations of Vigour and Decay in Plants, Health or Sickne/s in Bodys, Sobriety or Difraction in Minds, Properity or Degeneracy in any variable part of the known Creation.

We may, perhaps, for Humour fake, or after the known way of difputant Hoftility, in the fupport of any odd Hypothefis, pretend to deny this natural and unnatural in Things. 'Tis evident however, that tho our Humour or Tafte be, by fuch Affectation, ever fo much deprav'd; we cannot refift our natural * Anticipation in behalf of

Nature;

[^90]Nature ; according to whofe fuppos'dCh. 2. Standard we perpetually approve and dif- $\sim$ approve, and to whom in all natural Appearances, all moral Actions (whatever we contemplate, whatever we have in de-

Bono aliquo modo difinguere incipiamus. Species Veritatis
 NESTUM INHONESTO prafcrimus; ob Semina nobis indita, qua tum demun in licemi prodeunt, cumi ratiocinari poffunus, coque uberiores fructus troferunt, quo melius ratiocinamur, adcuratioreque infitutione adjuvamur. Æfch. Dial. cum Silvis Philol. Jo. Cler. ann. 171 I. pag. 176. They feem indeed to be but weak Pbilofophers, tho able Sophiffs, and artful Confounders of Words and Notions, who wou'd refute Nature and Connmon Senfe. But NATURE will be able ftill to fhift for her-felf, and get the better of thofe Schemes, which need no other Force againft them, than that of Horace's fingle Verfe:
Dente Lupus, cornu Tauruls petit. Unde, nifin INTUS
Lib. ii. Sat. 1. ver. 52.
An ASS (as an Englifh Author fays) never butts with his Ears; tho a Creature born to an arm'd Forebsad, exercifes his butting Faculty long ere his Horns are come to him. And perhaps if the Philofopher wou'd accordingly examine himfelf, and confider his natural Paffions, he wou'd find there were fuch belong'd to him as Nature had premeditated in his behalf, and for which fhe had furnifh'd him with Ideas long before any particular Practice or Experience of his own. Nor wou'd he need be fcandaliz'd with the Comparifon of $a$ Goat, or Boar, or other of HorACE's premeditating Animals, who have more natural Wit, it feems, than our Pbilojopher; if we may judg of him by his own Hypothefis, which denies the fame implanted SENSE and naturol Ideas to his own Kind.

Cras donaberis Hado,
Cui Frons turgida Cornibus
Primis, \&o Venerem Eo Pralia definat.
Lib. iii. Od. 13: ver. 3:
And,
Terris obliguum meditantis Ictum.
Ib. Od. 22. ver. 7。
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Mifc. 4.bate) we inevitably appeal, and pay our conftant Homage, with the moft apparene Zeal and Paffion.
'Tis here, above all other places, that we fay with ftrict Juftice,

* Naturam expellas Furca, tamen ufque recurret.

The airy Gentlemen, who have never had it in their thoughts to fudy NA. tURE in their own Species; but being taken with other Loves, have apply'd their Parts and Genius to the fame Study in a Horfe, a Dog, a Game-Cock, a Harwk, or any other + Animal of that degree; know very well, that to each Species there belongs a feveral Humour, Temper, and Turn of inward Difpofition, as real and peculiar as the Figure and outward Shape, which is with fo much Curiofity beheld and admir'd. If there be any thing ever fo little amifs or wrong in the inward Frame, the Humour or Temper of the Creature, 'tis readily call'd vicious; and when more than ordinarily wrong, unnatural. The Humours of the Creatures, in order to their redrefs, are attentively obferv'd; fometimes indulg'd and flatter'd;

[^91]at other times controul'd and check'dCh. 2 . with proper Severitys. In fhort, their $\mathrm{Cl}^{\sim}$ Affections, Paffions, Appetites, and Antipathys, are as duly regarded as thofe in Human Kind, under the ftricteft Difcipline of Education. Such is the Sense of inzoard Proportion and Regularity of Affections, even in our Noble Youths themfelves; who in this refpect are often known expert and able Mafters of Education, tho not fo fufceptible of Difcipline and Culture in their own cafe, after thofe early Indulgences to which their Greatnefs has intitled 'em.

As little favourable however as thefe fportly Gentlemen are prefum'd to fhow themelves towards the Care or Culture of their own Species; as remote as their Contemplations are thought to lie from Nature and Pbilofophy; they confirm plainly and eftablifh our philofophical Foundation of the natural Ranks, Orders, interior and exterior Proportions of the feveral diftinct Species and Forms of Animal Beings. Ask one of thefe Gentlemen, unawares, when follicitoufly careful and buly'd in the great Concerns of his Stable, or Kennel, "Whether his Hound or "Greybound-Bitch who eats her Puppys, " is as natural as the other who nurfes "'em?" and he will think you frantick. Ask him again, " Whether he P3 " thinks

Mifc.4." thinks the unnatural Creature who acts " thus, or the natural-one who does other" wiie, is beft in its kind, and enjoys it"felf the moft?" And he will be inclin'd to think ftill as ftrangely of you. Or if perhaps he efteems you worthy of better Information; he will tell you, "That " his beft-bred Creatures, and of the trueft "Race, are ever the nobleft and mort ge" nerous in their Natures: 'That it is this "chiefly which makes the difference be" tween the Horle of good Blood, and the " errant Fade of a bafe Breed; between the "Game-Cock, and the Dunghil-Craven; " between the true Hawk, and the mere "Kite or Buzzard; and between the right "Mafiff, Hound, or Spaniel, and the very "Mungrel." He might, withal, tell you perhaps with a mafterly Air in this BruteScience, "That the timorous, poor-fpi"rited, lazy and gluttonous of his Dogs, "were thofe whom he either fufpected to " be of a fpurious Race, or who had been " by fome accident fpoild in their Nur"fing and Management: for that this "was not natural to 'em. That in every "Kind, they were fill the miferableft © Creatures who were thus fpoild: And "that having each of 'em their proper "Cbace or Bufine/s, if they lay refty and "ouit of their Game, chamber'd, and idle, "they were the fame as if taken out of as their Element. That the faddeft Curs ${ }^{6}$ in
" in the world, were thofe who took the Ch. 2.
"Kitchin-Chimney and Dripping-pan for $\sim \sim$
" their Delight; and that the only bap"ty Dog (were one to be a Dog One's"SELF) was be, who in his proper Sport " and Exercife, his natural Purfuit and "Game, endur'd all Hardmips, and had "fo much delight in Exercife and in the "Field, as to forget Home and his Re"s card."

Thus the natural Habits and Affectrons of the inferior Creatures are known; and their unnatural and degenerate part difcover'd. Depravity and Corruption is acknowledg'd as real in their Affections, as when any thing is mifhapen, wrong, or monstrous in their outward Make. And notwithftanding much of this inward Depravity is difcoverable in the Creatures tam'd by Man, and, for his Service or Pleafure merely, turn'd from their natural Courfe into a contrary Life and Habit; notwithftanding that, by this means, the Creatures who naturally herd with one another, lofe their affociating Humour, and they who naturally pair and are conflan to each other, lofe their kind of conjugal Alliance and Affection; yet when releas'd from human Servitude, and return'd again to their natural $W i l d s$, and rural Liberth, they instantly refume their natural and regular Habits, fuch as are conducing $P_{4}$

Mifc.4.to the Increafe and Profperity of their ~own Species.

Wele it is perhaps for Mankind, that tho there are fo many Animals who naturally herd for Company's fake, and mutual Afection, there are fo few who for Con= veniency, and by Necefity are oblig'd to a ftrict Union, and kind of confederate State. The Creatures who, according to the OEconomy of their Kind, are oblig'd to make themfelves Habitations of Defenfe againft the Seafons and other Incidents; they who in fome parts of the Year are depriv'd of all Subfitence, and are therefore neceffitated to accumulate in another, and to provide withal for the Safety of their collected Stores, are by their Nature indeed as Atrictly join'd, and with as proper Affections towards their Publick and Community, as the loofer Kind, of a more eafy Subfintence and Support, are united in what relates merely to their Offspring, and the Propagation of their Species. Of thefe thorowhy affociating and confederate- Animals, there are none I have ever heard of, who in Bulk or Strength exceed the BeAver. The major part of thefe political Animals, and Creatures of a joint Stock, are as inconfiderable as the Race of Ants or Bees. But had Nature aflign'd fuch an OEconomy as this to fo puiffant an Animal, for inftance, as the Elephant, and
and made him withal as prolifick as thofe Ch. 2. fmaller Creatures commonly are; it might $\sim$ have gone hard perhaps with Mankind: And a fingle Animal, who by his proper Might and Prowefs has often decided the Fate of the greateft Battels which have been fought by Human Race, fhou'd he have grown up into a Society, with a Genius for Architecture and Mechanicks proportionable to what we obferve in thofe fimaller Creatures; we fhou'd, with all our invented Machines, have found it hard to difpute with him the Dominion of the Continent.

Were we in a difinterefted View, or with fomewhat lefs Selfifhnefs than ordinary, to confider the OEconomys, Parts, Interefts, Conditions, and Terms of Life, which Nature has diftributed and affign'd to the feveral Species of Creatures round us, we Mou'd not be apt to think ourfelves fo hardly dealt with. But Whether our Lot in this refpect be juft, or equal, is not the Quertion with us, at prefent. 'Tis enough that we know " There is cer"tainly an A/fignment and Diftribution: " That each OEconomy or Part fo diftri" buted, is in it-felf uniform, fix'd, and " invariable: and That if any thing in " the Creature be accidentally impair'd ; " if any thing in the inward Form, the Difpofition, Temper or Affections, be " contrary

Mifc.4." contrary or unfutable to the diftinct "OEconomy or Part, the Creature is " quretched and unnatural."

The focial or natural Affections, which our Author confiders as effential to the Health, Wholene/s, or Integrity of the particular Creature, are fuch as contribute to the Welfare and Profperity of that Whole or Species, to which he is by Nature join'd. All the Affections of this kind our Author comprehends in that fingle name of natural. But as the Defign or End of Nacure in each Animal-Syftem, is exhibited chiefly in the Support and Propagation of the particular Species; it happens, of confequence, that thofe Affections of earlieft Alliance and mutual Kindnefs between the Parent and the Offspring, are known more particularly by the name of * natural Affection. However, fince it is evident that all Defect or Depravity of Affection, which counterworks or oppofes the original Conftitution and OEconomy of the Creature, is unnatural; it follows, "That in Crea" tures who by their particular OEconomy " are fitted to the frictef Society and Rule " of common Good, the moft unnatural of " all Affections are thofe which feparate "from this Community; and the moft

[^92]" truly natural, generous and noble, are Ch. 2. " thofe which tend towards Publick Ser-~~ "vice, and the Intereft of the Society " at large."

This is the main Problem which our Author in more philofophical Terms demonitrates, * in this Treatife, "That for " a Creature whofe natural End is Society, " to operate as is by Nature appointed bim "towards the Good of fuch bis Society, " or Whole, is in reality to purfue bis " own natural and proper Good." And "That to operate contrary-wije, or by fuch "Affections as fever from that common " Good, or publick Intereft, is, in reali"ty, to work towards bis own natural and "proper Ill." Now if Man, as has been prov'd, be juftly rank'd in the number of thofe Creatures whofe OEconomy is according to a joint-Stock and publick-Weal; if it be underftood, withal, that the only State of his Affections which anfwers rightly to this publick-Weal, is the regular, orderly, or virtuous State ; it neceffarily follows, "That Virtue is his natural "Good, and Vice his Mijery and Ill."

As for that further Confideration, " Whether Nature has orderly and " juftly diftributed the feveral OEconomys

[^93]Mifc.4." or Parts; and Whether the Defects, " Failures, or Calamitys of particular Syf" tems are to the advantage of all in ge"neral, and contribute to the Perfection " of the one common and univerfal Syf" tem;" we muft refer to our Author's profounder Speculations in this his $\mathrm{IN}^{-}$ Quiry, and in his following Pbilofophick Dialogue. But if what he advances in this refpect be real, or at leaft the moft probable by far of any Scheme or Reprefentation which can be made of the Univerfal Nature and Caufe of things; it will follow, "That fince Man has been fo "conftituted, by means of his rational "Part, as to be confcious of this his " more immediate Relation to the Uni" verfal Syftem, and Principle of Order " and Intelligence ; he is not only by "Nature fociable, within the Limits of " his own Species, or Kind; but in a " yet more generous and extenfive man" ner. He is not only born to Virtue, "Friendfbip, Honefy, and Faith; but to "Religion, Piety, Adoration, and * a "generous Surrender of his Mind to what" ever happens from that Supreme CAUSE, " or ORDER of Things, which he ac"knowledges intirely juft, and perfect."

[^94]THESE ARE our Author's formal and grave Sentiments; which if they were not truly bis, and fincerely efpous'd by him, as the real Refult of his belt Jungment and Underftanding, he wou'd be guilty of a more than common degree of Impofture. For, according to his own * Rule, an affected Gravity, and feign'd Serioufnefs carry'd on, thro' any Subject, in fuch a manner as to leave no Infight into the Fiction or intended Raillery; is in truth no Raillery, or Wit, at all; but a grofs, immoral, and illiberal way of Abuse, foreign to the Character of a good Writer, a Gentleman, or Man of Wort f .

But fince we have thus acquitted ourfelves of that ferious Part, of which our Reader was before-hand well appriz'd; let him now expect us again in our origimmal Miscellaneous Manner and Capacity. 'Ti here, as has been explain'd to him, that Raillery and Humour are permitted: and Flights, Sallys, and Excurfions of every kind are found agreeable and requifite. Without this, there might be leis Safety found, perhaps, in Thinking. Every light Reflection might run us up to the dangerous State of Meditation.

[^95]And

Mifc. 4. And in reality, profound Thinking is many times the Caufe of fballow Thougbt. To prevent this contemplative Habit and Cbaracter, of which we fee fo little good effect in the World, we have reafon perhaps to be fond of the diverting Manner in Writing, and Difcourfe, efpecially if the Subject be of a folemn kind. There is more need, in this cafe, to interrupt the longfpun Thred of Reafoning, and bring into the Mind, by many different Glances and broken Views, what cannot fo eafily be introduc'd by one fteddy Bent, or continu'd Stretch of Sight.

## MISCELLANY V.

## C H A P. I.

Ceremonial adjufted, betrween AuTHOR and READRR.—Affectation of Precedency in the for mer.--Various Claim to Infpira-tion.-Bards; Prophets: Sibylline Soripture. -Written Oracles; in Verfe and Profe--Common Interef of antient Letters, and Cbriftianity.-State of Wit, Elegance, and Correctuess.-Po. etick Ťruth. - Preparation for Criticifm on our Author, in bis concluding Treatife.

O$F$ all the artificial Relations, form'd between Mankind, the moft capricious and variable is that of Autbor and Reader. Our Author, for his part, has declar'd his Opinion of this, where

Mifc. 5. where * he gives his Advice to modern Authors. And tho he fuppofes that every Author in Form, is, in refpect of the particular matter he explains, fuperior in Underftanding to his Reader ; yet he allows not that any Author fhou'd affume the upper hand, or pretend to withdraw himfelf from that neceffary Subjection to foreign Judgment and Criticifm, which muft determine the Place of Honour on the Reader's fide.
'Tis evident that an Author's Art and Labour are for his Reader's fake alone. 'Tis to his Reader he makes his application, if not openly and avowedly, yet, at leaft, with implicit Courthip. Poets indeed, and efpecially thofe of a modern kind, have a peculiar manner of treating this Affair with a high hand. They pretend to fet themfelves above Mankind. "Their "Pens are facred: Their Style and Utte"s rance divine." They write, often, as in a Language foreign to human Kind; and wou'd difdain to be reminded of thofe poor Elements of Speech, their Alphabet and Grammar.

But here inferior Mortals prefume often to intercept their Flight, and remind them of their fallible and human part:

[^96]Had

Had thofe firft Poets who began this Pre-Ch. i. tence to Injpiration, been taught a man- $\sim$ ner of communicating their rapturous Thoughts and high Ideas by fome other Medium than that of Style and Language; the Cafe might have ftood otherwife. But the infoiring Divinity or Muse having, in the Explanation of her-felf, fubmitted her Wit and Senfe to the mechanick Rules of buman arbitrary Compofition ; the muft, in confequence, and by neceflity, fubmit her-felf to buman Arbitration, and the Fudgment of the literate World. And thus the Reader is fill fuperior, and keeps the upper hand.
'Tis indeed no fmall Abfurdity, to affert a Work or Treatife, written in buman Language, to be above buman Criticifm, or Cenfure. For if the Art of Writing be from the grammatical Rules of human Invention and Determination; if even thefe Rules are form'd on cafual Practice and various Ufe: there can be no Scripture but what muft of neceffity be fubject to the Reader's narrow Scrutiny and ftrict Judgment; unlefs a Language and Grammar, different from any of human Structure, were deliver'd down from Heaven, and miraculoufly accommodated to human Service and Capacity.

Vol. 3.
Q
'Tis

Mifc. 5.
'Tis no otherwife in the grammatical Art of Characters, and painted Speech, than in the Art of Painting it-felf. I have feen, in certain Chriftian Churches, an antient Piece or two, affirm'd, on the foIemn Faith of Prieftly Tradition, " to "have been Angelically and Divinely "wrought, by a fupernatural Hand, and "facred Pencil." Had the Piece happen'd to be of a Hand like Raphael's, I cou'd have found nothing certain to oppofe to this Tradition. But having obferv'd the whole Style and Manner of the pretended heavenly Workmanfhip to be fo indifferent as to vary in many Particulars from the Truth of Art, I prefum'd within my-felf to beg pardon of the Tradition, and affert confidently, "That if "the Pencil had been Heaven-guided, it "cou'd never have been fo lame in its " performance :" It being a mere contradiction to all Divine and Moral Truth, that a Celeftial Hand, fubmitting it-felf to the Rudiments of a buman Art, fhou'd fin againft the ART it-felf, and exprefs Falfiood and Error, inftead of Fufnefs and Proportion.

It may be alledg'd perhaps, "That "t there are, however, certain Authors " in the World, who tho, of themfelves, " they neither boldly claim the Privilege
" of Divine Infpiration, nor carry indeed Ch. I. "the leaft refemblance of Perfection in $\sim$ "their Style or Compofition ; yct they "fubdue the Reader, gain the afcendent " over his Thought and Judgment, and " force from him a certain implicit Venera"tion and Efreem." To this I can only anfwer, "That if there be neither Spell " nor Inchantment in the Cafe; this can "plainly be no other than mere Enthu"sIASM;" except, perhaps, where the fupreme Powers have given their Sanction to any religious Record, or pious Writ: And in this Cafe, indeed, it becomes immoral and profane in any one, to deny abfolutely, or difpute the facred Authority of the leaft Line or Syllable contain'd in it. But fhou'd the Record, inftead of being fingle, Bort and uniform, appear to be multifarious, voluminous, and of the mof difficult Interpretation; it wou'd be fomewhat hard, if not wholly impracticable in the Magiftrate, to fuffer this Record to be univerfally current, and at the fame time prevent its being variouly apprebended and defonted on, by the feveral differing Genius's and contrary Fudgments of Mankind.
'Tis remarkable, that in the politeft of all Nations, the Writings look'd upon as moft facred, were thofe of their great Poets; whofe Works indeed were truly divine, in refpect of Art, and the Per-

Mifc.5.fection of their Frame and Compofition. ~But there was yet more * Divinity afcrib'd to them, than what is comprehended in this latter Senfe. The Notions of vulgar Religion were built on their miraculous Narrations. The wifer and better fort themfelves paid a regard to them in this refpect; tho they interpreted them indeed more allegorically. Even the Philofophers who criticiz'd 'em with moft Severity, were not their leaft Admirers; when they + afcrib'd to 'em that divine Infpiration, or fublime Enthusiasm, of which our Author has largely treated $\pm$ elfewhere.

It wou'd, indeed, ill become any Pretender to Divine Writing, to publifh his Work under a Character of Divinity; if, after all his Endeavours, he came fhort of a confummate and juft Performance. In this refpect the Cumean Sibyl was not fo indifcreet or frantick, as fhe might appear, perhaps, by writing her Propbetick Warnings and pretended Inpirations upon FointLeaves; which, immediately after their elaborate Superfcription, were torn in pieces, and fcatter'd by the Wind.

[^97]* Infanam vatem afpicies; quce rupe fubCh. 1. imâ
Fata canit, foliifque notas © nomina mandat.
Quacunque in foliis deforipfit Carmina Virgo,
Digerit in numerum, atque antro Sechufa relinquit.
Illa manent immota locis, neque ab ordine cedunt.
Verum cadem, verfo tenuis cum cardine ventus
Impulit, $\mathcal{E}$ teneras turbavit janua frondes:
Nunquam deinde cavo volitantia prendere faxo,
Nec revocare fitus, aut jungere carnina curat.
Inconfulti abeunt, Sedemque odere SIBYLLex.
'Twas impoffible to difprove the Diviniтy of fuch Writings, whilft they cou'd be perus'd only in Fragments. Had the Sifter-Priefefs of Delphos, who deliver'd her-felf in audible plain Metre, been found at any time to have tranfgrefs'd the Rule of Verfe, it wou'd have been difficult in thofe days to father the lame Poetry upon Apollo himfelf. But where the Invention of the Leaves prevented the reading of a fingle Line intire ; whatever In-

[^98]Mifc. 5.terpretations might have been made of this fragil and volatil Scripture, no Imperfection cou'd be charg'd on the Original Text it-felf.

What thofe * Volumes may have been, which the difdainful Sibyl or Prophetefs committed to the Flames; or what the remainder was, which the Roman Prince receiv'd and confecrated; I will not pretend to judg: Tho it has been admitted for Truth by the antient Chrifian Fathers, That thefe Writings were fo far facred and divine, as to have prophefy'd of the Birth of oúr religious Founder, and bore teftimony to that boly Writ which has preferv'd his Memory, and is juftly held, in the higheft degree, facred among Chriftians.

The Policy however of Old Rome was fuch, as not abfolutely to reft the Authority of their Religion on any Compo/ition of Literature. The Sibyleine Volumes were kept fafely lock'd, and infipected only by fuch as were ordain'd, or deputed for that purpofe. And in this Po-

[^99]licy the Nerv Rome has follow'd their Ex-Ch. i. ample; in ferupling to annex the fupreme Authority and facred Charater of Infallibility to Scripture it-felf; and in refufing to fubmit that Scripture to publick Judgment, or to any Eye or Ear but what they qualify for the Infpection of fuch facred Myfterys.

The Mabometan Clergy feem to have a different Policy. They boldly reft the Foundation of their Religion on a Book: Such a one as (according to their Pretenfion) is not only perfect, but inimitable. Were a real Man of Letters, and a juft Critick permitted to examine this Scripture by the known Rules of Art; he wou'd foon perhaps refute this Plea. But fo barbarous is the accompanying Policy and Temper of thefe Eaftern Religionifts, that they difcourage, and in effect extinguifh all true Learning, Science, and the politer Arts, in company with the antient Authors and Languages, which they fet afide; and by this infallible Method, leave their SAcred Writ the fole Standard of literate Performance. For being compar'd to nothing befides it-felf, or what is of an inferior kind, it muft undoubredly be thought incomparable.
'Twill be yielded, furely, to the Honour of the Cbriftian. World, that their


Mifc. 5. Faith (efpecially that of the Proteftant Churches) ftands on a more generous Foundation. They not only allow Comparifon of Authors, but are content to derive their Proofs of the Validity of their facred Record and Revelation, even from thofe Authors call'd Profane; as being well appriz'd, according to the Maxim of * our Divine Mafer, "That in what we bear "witnefs only to our-felves, our Witnefs "cannot be eftablifh'd as a Truth." So that there being at prefent no immediate Teftimony of Miracle or Sign in behalf of holy Writ; and there being in its own particular Compofition or Style nothing miraculous, or felf-convincing; if the collateral Teftimony of other antient Records, Hiftorians, and foreign Authors, were deftroy'd, or wholly loft; there wou'd be lefs Argument or Plea remaining againft that natural Sufpicion of thofe who are call'd Sceptical," That the boly Records them"felves were no other than the pure In"vention or artificial Compilement of an " interefted Party, in behalf of the richef "Corporation and moft profitable Monopoly " which cou'd be erected in the World."

Thus, in reality, the Intereft of our pious Clergy is neceffarily join'd with that of antient Letters, and polite Learning.

[^100]By this they perpetually refute the crafty Ch . I. Arguments of thofe Objectors. When they $\sim \sim$ abandon this; they refign their Caufe. When they ftrike at it ; they ftrike even at the Root and Foundation of our holy Faith, and weaken that Pillar on which the whole Fabrick of our Religion depends.

It belongs to mere Entbufiafts and Fanaticks to plead the Sufficiency of a reiterate tranflated Text, deriv'd to 'em thro' fo many Channels, and fubjected to fo many Variations, of which they are wholly ignorant. Yet wou'd they perfuade us, it feems, that from hence alone they can recognize the Divine Spirit, and receive it in themfelves, un-fubject (as they imagine) to any Rule, and fuperior to what they themfelves often call the dead Letter, and unprofitable Science. -This, any one may fee, is building Caftes in the Air, and demolifhing them again at pleafure; as the exercife of an aerial Fancy, or heated Imagination.

But the judicious Divines of the eftablifh'd Chriftian Churches, have fufficiently condemn'd this Manner. They are far from refting their Religion on the common Afpect, or obvious Form of their vulgar Bible, as it prefents it-felf in the printed Copy, or modern Verfion. Neither do they in the Original it-Self reprefent it to

Mifc. 5.us as a very Mafter-piece of Writing, or as abfolutely perfect in the Purity and Juftnefs either of Style, or Compofition. They allow the Holy Authors to have written according to their beft Facultys, and the Strength of their natural Genius: "A Shepherd like a Shepherd; " and a Prince like a Prince: A Man of "reading, and advanc'd in Letters, like " a Proficient in the kind; and a Man of " meaner Capacity and Reading, like one " of the ordinary fort, in his own com" mon Idiom, and imperfect manner of " Narration."
'Tis the Subfance only of the Narrative, and the principal Facts confirming the Authority of the Revelation, which our Divines think themfelves concern'd to prove, according to the beft Evidence of which the Matter it-felf is capable. And whilft the Sacred Authors themfelves allude not only to the Annals and Hiforys of the Heathen World, but even to the philofophical Works, the regular * Poems, the very Plays and + Comedys of the learn-

[^101] or loft, not only the Ligbt and Clearne/s of holy Writ, but even the Evidence itfelf of its main FaCts, muft in proportion be diminifh'd and brought in queftion. So ill advis'd were * thofe devout Churchmen heretofore, who in the height of Zeal

[^102]
## Mifc. 5. Zeal did their utmoft to deftroy all Footfteps of Heathen Literature, and confequently all further ufe of Learning or $A n$ tiquity.

But happily the Zeal of this kind is now left as proper only to thofe defpis'd and
quia indignum vebenenter exifimo, ut verba coeleftis oraculi reftringam fub regulis Donati. That he carry'd this favage Zeal of his fo far as to deftroy (what in him lay) the whole Body of Learning, with all the Claffck Autbors then in being, was generally believ'd. And (what was yet more notorious and unnatural in a Roman Pontiff) the Deftruction of the Statues, Sculptures, and fineft Pieces of Antiquity in Rome, was charg'd on him by his Succeffor in the $S E E$; as, befides PLatina, another Writer of his Life, without the leaft Apology, confeffes. See in the above-cited Edition of St. Gregory's Works, at the beginning, viz. Vita D. Gregorii ex Foan. Laziarlo CoeLefino. 'Tis no wonder, therefore, if other Writers have given account of that Sally of the Prelate's Zeal againt the Books and Learining of the Antients, for which the Reafon alledg'd was very extraordinary ; "That the holy Scriptures " wou'd be the better relifh'd, and receive a confiderable "Advantage by the Deftruction of thefe Rivals." It feems they had no very high Idea of the boly Scriptures, when they fuppos'd them fuch Lofers by a Comparifon. However, 'twas thought advifable by other Father's (who had a like view) to frame new Pieces of Literature, after the Model of thefe condemn'd Antients. Hence thofe ridiculous Attempts of new beroick Poems, new Epicks and Dramaticks, new Homers, Euripides's, Menanders, which were with fo much Pains and fo little Effect indufrioufly fet afoot by the zealous Priefthood; when Ignorance prevaii'd, and the Hierarchal Dominion was fo univerfal. But tho their Power had well nigh compals'd the Deftruction of thofe great Originals, they were far from being able to procure any Reception for their puny Imitations. The Mock-Works have lain in their deferv'd Obfcurity; as will all other Attempts of that kind, concerning which our Author has already given his Opinion,
and ignorant modern Enthufiafts we have Ch. i. defcrib'd. The Roman Cburch it-felf is fo recover'd from this primitive Fanatici/m, that their Great Men, and even their * Pontiffs, are found ready to give their helping Hand, and confer their Bounty liberally towards the advancement of all antient and polite Learning. They juftly obferve, that their very Traditions ftand in need of fome collateral Proof. The

Opinion, VOL. I. pag. 356,357 , ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ccc}$. But as to the ill Policy as well as Barbarity of this Zealot-Enmity againft the Works of the Antients, a foreign Proteftant Divine, and moft learned Defender of Religion, making the beft Excufe he can for the Greek-Fatbers, and endeavouring to clear them from this general Charge of Havock and Maffacre committed upon Science and Erudition, has thefe words: "Si "cela eft, voilà encore un nouveau Sujet de méprifer les Pa"triarches de Constantinople qui n'étoient d'ail"Leurs rien moins que gens de bien; mais j'ai de la peine à le "croire, parce qu'il nous of refié de Poetes infniment plus " fales que ceux qui fe font perdus. Perfonne ne doute qu" "Aristophane ne foit beaucoup plus fale, que n'etoit " Menander. Plutareue en of un bon témoin, "dans la Comparaifon quill a faite de ces deux Poetes. Il peu" woit étre neanmoins arrivé, que quelques Ecciesias"tirues ennemis des Belles Lettres, en euffint ufécomme "dit Chalcondyle, fans penfer qu'ch confervant toute " 1'Antiquité Grecque, ils conferveroient la Langue de lewrs Pré"deceffeur's, Eo une inffnité de Faits qui fervoient beaucoup à "Fintelligence E à la confrmation de l'Hiftoire Sacrée, EO " même de la Religion Chretienne. Ces gens-là devoient au " moins nous conferver les Hifooives Anciemmes des Orientaux, "comme des Chaldéens, des Tyriens, ${ }^{\circ}$ des Egyptiens; "mais ils agiföient plus par ignorance Eo par negligence, "que par raijon." BIBL. CHOIS. Tom. XIV. pag. 131, 132, 133.

* Such a one is the prefent Prince, Ciement XI. an Incourager of all Arts and Sciences.

Con- interefted Authors, they wifely judg effential to the Credibility of thofe principal Facts, on which the whole religious Hiftory and Tradition depend.

'Twou'd indeed be in vain for us, to bring a Pontius Pilate into our Creed, and recite what happen'd under bim, in Judea, if we knew not, "Under whom " he himfelf govern'd, whofe Authority " he had, or what Character he bore, in "t that remote Country, and amidft a fo"reign People." In the fame manner, 'twou'd be in vain for a Roman Pontiff to derive his Title to fpiritual Sovereignty from the Seat, Influence, Power, and Donation of the Roman Cessars, and their Succeffors ; if it appear'd not by any Hiftory, or collateral Tefimony, "Who the " firft CæSARS were; and how they "came poffefs'd of that univerfal Power, " and long Refidence of Dominion."

MY READER doubtlefs, by this time, muft begin to wonder thro' what Labyrinth of Speculation, and odd Texture of capricious Reflections, I am offering to conduct him. But he will not, I prefume, be altogether difpleas'd with me, when I give him to underftand, that being now come into my laft Miscellany, and being
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being fenfible of the little Courthip ICh. r. have paid him, comparatively with what $\sim$ is practis'd in that kind by other modern Authors; I am willing, by way of Compenfation, to exprefs my Loyalty or Homage towards him, and fhew, by my natural Sentiments, and Principles, "What " particular Deference and high Refpect I " think to be his Due."

The Iffue therefore of this long Deduction is, in the firft place, with due Compliments, in my Capacity of Author, and in the name of all modeft Workmen willingly joining with me in this Reprefentation, to congratulate our Engli/b Reader on the Eftablifhment of what is fo advantageous to himfelf; I mean, that mutual Relation between him and ourfelves, which naturally turns fo much to his Advantage, and makes us to be in reality the fubfervient Party. And in this refrect 'tis to be hop'd he will long enjoy his juf Superiority and Privilege over his humble Servants, who compofe and labour for his fake. The Relation in all likelihood muft ftill continue, and be improv'd. Our common Religion and Chriftianity, founded on Letters and Scripture, promifes thus much. Nor is this Hope likely to fail us, whilf Readers are really allow'd the Liberty to read; that is to fay, to examine, confrue, and remark with Un-

Mifc. 5. derfanding. Learning and Science muft of neceffity flourih, whilft the Language of the wifent and moft learned of Nations is acknowledg'd to contain the principal and effential part of our holy Revelation. And Criticism, Examinations, Fudgments, literate Labours and Inquirys muft ftill be in Repute and Practice; whilft Antient Authors, fo neceffary to the Support of the Sacred Volumes, are in requeft, and afford Imployment of fuch infinite Extent to us Moderns of whatever degree, who are defirous to fignalize ourfelves by any Atchievement in Letters, and be confider'd as the Inveftigators of Knowledg and Politene/s.

I may undoubtedly, by virtue of my preceding Argument in behalf of Criticifm, be allow'd, without fufpicion of Flattery or mere Courthip, to affert the Reader's Privilege above the Author; and affign to him, as I have done, the upper Hand, and Place of Honour. As to Fact, we know for certain, that the greateft of Pbilofopbers, the very Founder of Philofophy it-felf, was no Author. Nor did the Divine Author, and Founder of our Religion, condefcend to be an Autbor in this other refpect. He who cou'd beft have given us the Hiftory of his own Life, with the intire Sermons and divine Difcourfes which he made in publick, was pleas'd to leave it
to others，＊＂To take in band：＂As there Ch．I． were many，it feems，long afterwards，who did；and undertook accordingly＂to write ＂in order，and as feem＇d good to them， ＂for the better Information of particular ＂Perfons，what was then believ＇d among

[^103]Mifc. 5." the Initiated or Catechiz'd, from Tradi" tion, and early Inflruction in their Youth; " or what had been tranfmitted, by Re" port, from fuch as were the prefum'd " Auditors, and Eye-witneffes of thofe things " in former time."

Whether thofe facred Books afcrib'd to the Divine Legifator of the JEws, and which treat of his * Death, Burial, and Succeffion, as well as of his Life and Actions, are frictly to be underftood as coming from the immediate Pen of that boly Founder, or rather from fome other infpir'd Hand, guided by the fame influencing Spirit; I will not prefume fo much as to examine or enquire. But in general we find, That both as to publick Concerns, in Religion, and in Philofophy, the great and eminent ACZors were of a Rank fuperior to the Writing-Worthys. The great Athenian Legillator, tho noted as a poetical Genius, cannot be efteem'd an Author, for the fake of fome few Verfes he may occafionally have made. Nor was the great Spartan Founder, a Poet bimjelf, tho Autbor or Redeemer (if I may fo exprefs it) to the greateft and beft of Poets; who ow'd in a manner his Form and Being to the accurate Searches and Collections of that great

[^104]Reflections.

Patron. The Politicians and civil SA-Ch. I. GES, who were fitted in all refpects for the great Scene of Bufinefs, cou'd not, it feems, be well taken out of it, to attend the flender and minute Affairs of Letters, and Scholafick Science.
'Tis true, indeed, that without a Capacity for Action, and a Knowoledg of the World and Mankind, there can be no Author naturally qualify'd to write with Dignity, or execute any noble or great Defign. But there are many, who with the higheft Capacity for Bufinefs, are by their Fortune deny'd the Privilege of that higher Sphere. As there are others, who having once mov'd in it, have been afterwards, by many Impediments and Obftructions, neceffitated to retire, and exert their Genius in this lower degree.
'Tis to fome Cataftrophe of this kind that we owe the nobleft Hifforians (even the two Princes and Fathers of Hiftory) as well as the greateft Pbilofopbical Writers, the Founder of the Academy, and others, who were alfo noble in refpect of their Birth, and fitted for the higheft Stations in the Publick; but difcourag'd from engaging in it, on account of fome Misfortunes, experienc'd either in their own Perfons, or that of their near Friends. Retirement of a heroick Youth out of his native Country, that we owe an original Syftem of Works, the politeft, wifeft, ufefulleft, and (to thofe who can underftand the Divinene/s of a juft Simplicity) the moft * amiable, and even the moft elevating and exalting of all un-infpir'd and merely human Authors.

To this Fortune we owe fome of the greateft of the antient Poets. 'Twas this Chance which produc'd the Muse of an exalted Grecian + Lyrick, and of his Follower $\ddagger$ Horace; whofe Character, tho eafy to be gather'd from Hiftory, and his own Works, is little obferv'd by any of his Commentators: The general Idea, conceiv'd of him, being drawn chiefly from his precarious and low Circumftances at Court, after the forfeiture of his Eftate, under the Ufurpation and Con-

[^105]queft of a Mecenas; not from his better $\mathrm{Cl}^{\sim}$ Condition, and nobler Employments in earlier days, under the Favour and Friendthip of greater and better Men, whilf the Roman State and Liberty fubfifted. For of this Change he himfelf, as great a Courtier as he feem'd afterwards, gives fufficient * Intimation.

Let
> * Dura fed amavere loco me tempora grato, Civilifque rudem belli tulit affus in aima, Cafaris Augufi non refponfur a lacertis. Unde fimul primum me dimifere Pbilippi, Decifis humilem pennis, inopemque paterni Et laris $\sigma^{\circ}$ fundi, paupertas inpulit audax: $U_{t}$ verfus facerem.

Horat. Lib. ii. Epift. ii. ver. 46.

- At olinz

Quod mibi pareret Legio Romana Tribuno.
Lib. i. Sat.vi. ver. 47.
Viz. under Brutus. Whence again that natural Boaft: Me primis urbis BE LLI placuife Domique.

Lib. i. Epilt. xx. ver. 23.
And again, -Cum MAGNIS vixife invita fatebitur ufque Invidia. Lib. ii. Sat. i. ver. 77.
Where the vixife thews plainly whom he principally meant by his MAGNI, his early Patrons and Great Men in the State: His Apology and Defenfe here (as well as in his fourth and fixth Satirs of his frit Book, and his 2d Epiftle of his fecond, and elfewhere) being fupported ftill by the open and bold Affertion of his good Education, (equal to the higheft Senators, and under the beft Mafters) his Employments at home and abroad, and his early Commerce and Familiarity with former Great Men, before thefe his neww Friendhips, and this latter Court-Acquaintance, which was now envy'd him by his Adverfarys.

NUNC quia Macenas, tibi fum convizor: at OL IM Quod mizi pareret Legio Romana Tribuso. felves; and tho confcious of Worth, Virtue, and a Genius, fuch as may juftly. place them above Flattery or mean Courtfhip to their Reader; yet let them reflect, that as Autbors merely, they are but of the fecond Rank of Men. And let the Reader withal confider, "That when " he unworthily refigns the place of Ho" nour, and furrenders his Tafte, or Fudg" ment, to an Author of ever fo great a "Name, or venerable Antiquity, and not " to Reafon, and Truth, at whatever ha-

The Reproach now was with refpect to a Macenas or Augustus. 'Twas the fame formerly with refpect to a Brutus, and thofe who were then the principal and leading Men. The Complaint or Murmur againtt him on account of his being an Upfart or Farourite under a Macenas and Augustus, cou'd not be anfiwerd, by a Vixife relating to the fame Perfons; any more than his Placuifec, join'd with his BELLI Domique, cou'd reiate to thofe under whom he never went to War, nor wou'd ever confent to bear any Honous. For fo he himfelf diftinguifhes (Sat. vi. to MaCENAS)
--..-2 wia non ut forfit bonorem
Fourc mibibi invildat quivis, ita te quqque amicuns. ver. 49.
He was formerly an Actor, and in the Miniftry of Affairs: Now only a FRIEND to a Ninijeer: Himelf ftill a private and retir'd Man. That he refus'd Augustus's Offer of the Secretary-flip, is well lyucwn. But in thefe Circomtances, the Politenefs as well as Artifice of Horace is admirable ; in making Fuiurity or Poferity to be the fpeaking Party in both thoie places, where he fuggefts his Intimacy and Favcur with the Great, that there might, in fome meafure, be room left (tho in ftrictnefs there was farce any) for an Cctavius and a Mecenas to be included. See VOL. I. pag. 269, 270. in the Notes.
"zard; he not only betrays bimfelf, butCh. I.
" withal the common Caufe of Author $\sim$
" and Reader, the Intereft of Letters
" and Knowledg, and the chief Liberty,
"Privilege, and Prerogative of the rational
" part of Mankind."
'Tis related in Hiftory of the Cappadocians, That being offer'd their $L_{i-}$ berty by the Romans, and permitted to govern themfelves by their own Laws and Conftitutions, they were much terrify'd at the Propofal; and as if fome fore harm had been intended 'em, humbly made it their Requeft, "That they might be go" vern'd by arbitrary Power, and that an " abfolute Governour might without de" lay be appointed over 'em at the difcre"tion of the Romans." For fuch was their Difpofition towards mere Slavery and Subjection; that they dar'd not pretend fo much as to chufe their own Master. So effential they thought Slavery, and fo divine a thing the Right of MasterSHIP, that they dar'd not be fo free even as to prefume to give themfelves that Bleffing, which they chofe to leave rather to Providence, Fortune, or A Coneueror, to beftow upon them. They dar'd not make a King; but wou'd rather take one from their powerful Neighbours. Had they been neceffitated to come to an Election, the Horror of fuch a Ure of R 4 Liberty

Mifc.5. Liberty in Government, wow'd perhaps have determin'd 'em to chufe blindfold, or leave it to the Decifion of the commoneft Lot, Cant of Dye, Crops or Pile, or whatever is were which might bet enable them to clear themfelves of the heinous Charge of ufing the leaft Forefight, Choice, or Prudence in fuch an Affair.

I shou'd think it a great Misfortune, were my Reader of the number of those, who in a kind of Cappadocian Spirit, cou'd eafily be terrify'd with the Propofall of giving him his Liberty, and making him bis own Judy. My Endeavour, I mut confers, has been to thew him his juft Prerogative in this repeat, and to give him the Charpeft Eye over his Author, invite him to criticize honefty, without favour or affection, and with the utmoft Bent of his Parts and Judgment. On this account it may be objected to me, perhaps, "That I am not a little vain and pere" fumpruous, in my oren as well as in my "Author's behalf, who can thus, as it " were, challenge my Reader to a Trial " of his keeneft Wit."

But to this I anfwer, That fhou'd I have the good fortune to rife the mafterly Spirit of jul Criticism in my eaders, and exalt them ever fo little above
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the lazy, timorous, over-modeft, or re-Ch. r. fign'd State, in which the generality of $\longrightarrow$ them remain; tho by this very Spirit, I my-jelf might poflibly meet my Doom : I hou'd however abundantly congratulate with my-felf on thefe my low Flights, be proud of having plum'd the Arrows of better Wits, and furnifh'd Artillery, or Ammunition of any kind, to thofe Powers, to which I my-felf had fall'n a Victim.
> * ___ Fungar ruice Cotis.__

I cou'd reconcile my Ambition in this refpect to what I call my Loyalty to the Reader; and fay of his Elevation in Criticifm and Judgment, what a Roman Princefs faid of her Son's Advancement to Empire, " + Occidat, dum imperet."

Had I been a Spanifb Cervantes, and with fuccess equal to that comick Author, had deftroy'd the reigning Tafte of Gotbick or Moorijb Chivalry, I cou'd afterwards contentedly have feen my Bur-lefque-Work it-felf defpis'd, and fet afide; when it had wrought its intended effect, and deftroy'd thofe Giants and Monfers of the Brain, againft which it•was originally defign'd. Without regard, therefore, to the prevailing Relifb or Yafte which, in

[^106]Mirc. 5.my own Perfon, I may unhappily experience, when thefe my Mifcellaneous Works are leifurely examin'd ; I hall proceed fill in my Endeavour to refine my Reader's $\mathrm{PA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ late; whetuing and fbarpening it, the beft I can, for Ufe, and Practice, in the lower Subjects: that by this Exercife it may acquire the greater Keennefs, and be of fo much the better effect in Subjects of a higher kind, which relate to his chief Happinefs, his Liberty and Manbood.

SUPPOSING me therefore a merecomick Humourift, in refpect of thofe inferior Subjects, which after the manner of my familiar Profe-Satir I prefume to criticize; May not I be allow'd to ask, "Whether "there remains not fill among us noble " Britons, fomething of that original "Barbarous and Goibick Relifh, not whol" ly purg'd away ; when, even at this hour, "Romances and Gallantrys of like fort, " together with Works as monftrous of o" ther kinds, are current, and in vogue, even " with the People who conftitute our re"puted polite World?" Need I on this account refer again to our * Author, where he treats in general of the Style and Manner of our modern Authors, from the Divine to the Comedian? What Perfon is there of the

[^107] and from whence in all probability our Youth will continue to draw their Notion of Manners, and their Tafte of Life, more directly and naturally, than from the $R e-$ bearfals and Declamations of a graver Theater?

Let thofe whofe bufinefs it is, advance, as they beft can, the Benefit of that facred Oratory, which we have lately feen and are ftill like to fee employ'd to various purpofes, and further defigns than that of inftructing us in Religion or Manners. Let 'em in that high Scene endeavour to refine our Tafte and Judgment in facted Matters. 'Tis the good Critick's Task to amend our common Stage; nor ought this Dramatick Performance to be decry'd or fentenc'd by thofe Criticks of a higher Sphere. The Practice and Art is honeft, in it-felf. Our Foundations are well laid. And in the main, our Engli/b. Stage (as * has been remark'd) is capable of the higheft Improvement ; as well from the prefent Genius of our Nation, as from the rich Oar

[^108]Mifc. 5 . of our early Poets in this kind. But Faults $\sim$ are eafier imitated than Beautys.

We find, indeed, our Theater become of late the Subject of a growing Criticifm. We hear it openly complain'd, "That in our newer Plays as well as in " our older, in Comedy as well as Trage" $d y$, the Stage prefents a proper Scene of " Uproar; ——Duels fought; Swords "drawn, many of a fide; Wounds given, " and fometimes drefs'd too ; the Surgeon "call'd, and the Patient prob'd and tented " upon the Spot. That in our Tragedy, " nothing is fo common as Wheels, Racks, " and Gibbets properly adorn'd; Execu" tions decently perform'd; Headlefs Bo" dys and Bodilefs Heads, expos'd to view: " Battels fought: Murders committed: " and the Dead carry'd off in great Num" bers."-Such is our Politenefs!

Nor are thefe Plays, on this account, the lefs frequented by eitber of the Sexes: Which inclines me to favour the Conceit our * Author has fuggefted concerning the mutual Correfpondence and Relation between our Royal Theater, and Popular Circus or Bear-Garden. For in the former of thefe Affemblys, 'tis undeniable that at leaft the two upper Regions or Gallerys

[^109]contain fuch Spectators, as indifferently fre- Ch. f. quent each Place of Sport. So that 'tis no wonder we hear fuch Applaufe refounded on the Victorys of an AlmANZor; when the fame Partys had poffibly, no later than the Day before, beftow'd their Applaufe as freely on the victorious Butcher, the $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ro of another Stage: where amidft various Frays, beftial and human Blood, promifcuous Wounds and Slaughter; one Sex are obferv'd as frequent and as pleas'd Spectators as the other, and fometimes not Spectators only, but Actors in the Gladiatorian Parts. —Thefe Congregations, which we may be apt to call Heathenifh *, (tho in reality never known among the politer Heathens) are, in our Cbriftian Nation, unconcernedly allow'd and tolerated, as no way injurious to religious Interefts; whatever effect they may be found to have on national Manners, Humanity, and Civil Life. Of fuch Indulgencys as thefe, we hear no Complaints. Nor are any A.jemblys, tho of the moft barbarous and enormous kind, fo offenfive, it feems, to Mcn of Zeal, as religious Afemblys of a different Farhion or Habit from their own.

I AM forry to fay, that, tho in the many parts of Poetry our Attempts have been high and noble, yet in general the

[^110]Taste

Mifc. 5.Taste of Wit and Letters lies much up. on a level with what relates to our Stage.

I can readily allow to our British Genius what was allow'd to the Roman heretofore :

* -Naturâ fublimis \& acer: Nam Spirat Tragicum Satis, © feliciter audet.

But then I muft add too, that the exceffive Indulgence and Favour fhown to our Alsthors on account of what their mere Genius and flowing Vein afford, has render'd them intolerably fupine, conceited, and Admirers of themfelves. The Publick having once fuffer'd 'em to take the afcendent, they become, like flatter'd Princes, impatient of Contradiction or Advice. They think it a difgrace to be criticiz'd, even by a Friend; or to reform, at his defire, what they themfelves are fully convinc'd is negligent, and uncorrect.

> 中 Sed turpem putat in foriptis, metuitque Lituram.

The + Lime Labor is the great Grievance, with our Country-men. An Englifh Author wou'd be all Genius. He wou'd

[^111] be call'd in queftion) to thow the World that he errs knowinngly againft the Rules of Art. And for this reafon, whatever Piece he publifhes at any time, he feldom fails, in fome prefix'd Apology, to fpeak in fuch a manner of Criticifm and Art, as may confound the ordinary Reader, and prevent him from taking up a Part, which, hou'd he once affume, wou'd prove fatal to the impotent and mean Performance.
'Twere to be wifh'd, that when once our Authors had confider'd of a Model or Plan, and attain'd the Knowledg of a * Whole and Parts; when from this begin-









Denique fa quod vis fimplex duntaxat EO UN UM. Horat. de Arte Poet. ver. 23. See V O L. I. p. 145, 146.
'Tis an infallible proof of the want of juft Integrity in every Writing, from the Epopee or Heroick-Poem, down to the familiar Epifle, or fightelt Effay either in Verfe or Profe, if each feveral Part or Portion fits not its proper place fo exactly, that the leaft Tranfpofition wou'd be impracticable. Whatever is Epijodick, tho perhaps it be a Whole, and in itfelf intive, yet being inferted, as a Part, in a Work of greater rals, and the Knowledg of what is call'd * Poetick Manners, and Truth; when
greater length, it muft appear only in its due Place. And that Place alone can be calid its due-one, which alone befits it. If there be any Paflage in the Middle or End, which might have ftood in the Beginning; or any in the Beginning, which might have ftood as well in the Middle or End: there is properly in fuch a Piece neither Beginning, Middle, nor End. 'Tis a mere Rbappody; not a Work. And the more it aflumes the Air or Appearance of a real Work, the more ridiculous it becomes. See above, pag. 25. And VOL. I. pag. $145,146$.
> * Refpicere exemplar vitto morumque jubebo Docium Imitatorem, $\mathcal{O}^{\circ} V E R A S$ binc ducere roces. Horat. de Arte Poet. ver. 317.

The Chief of antient Criticks, we know, extols Homer, above all things, for undertanding how "To LYE in perfection:" as the Paflage fhews which we have cited above, V OL. I. pag. 346. His LYES, according to that Mafter's Opinion, and the Judgment of many of the gravelt and moft venerable Writers, were, in themfelves, the jufteft Moral $T_{r u t b s,}$ and exhibitive of the beft Doctrine and InAtruction in Life and Manners. It may be ask'd perhaps, " How comes the Poet, then, to draw no fingle Pat" tern of the kind, no perfeci Characier, in either of his " Heroick Pieces ?" I anfiver, that fhou'd he attempt to do it, he wou'd, as a Poot, be prepofterous and falfe. 'Tis not the Poffible, but the Probable and Likely, which muft be the Poet's Guide in Manners. By this he wins Attention, and moves the confcious Reader or Spectator; who judges beft from ewithin, by what he naturally feels and experiences in his own Heart. The Perfection of Virtue is from long Art and Management, Self-controul, and, as it were, Force on Nature. But the common Auditor or Spectator, who feeks Pleafure only, and loves to engage his Paffion, by view of other Paffion and Emotion, comprehends little of the Reftraints, Allays and Corrections, which form this neww and artifcial Creature. For fuch indeed is the truly riirtuous Man; whofe ART, tho ever fo natural in it-felf
it-felf, or justly founded in Reafon and Nature, is an Amprovement far beyond the common Stamp, or known Charaster of Human Kind. And thus the compleatly virtuous and perfect Character is unpoetical and falfe. Effects mut not appear, where Caufes mut neceffarily remain unknown and incomprehenfible. A HERO without Pafion, is, in Poetry; as absurd as a HERO without Life or Action. Now if Pafion be allow'd, paffonate Action mut ensue. The fane Heroics Genius and fleming Magnanimity which tranfport us when beheld, are naturally tranfporting in the Lives and Manners of the Great, who are defrrib'd to us. And thus the able $D_{e}$ feigner, who feigns in behalf of $\tau_{\text {ruth }}$, and draws his Characters after the Moral Rule, fails not to difcover Nature's Propenfity ; and aligns to there high Spirits their proper Exorbitancy, and Inclination to exceed in that Tone or Species of Paffion, which constitutes the eminent or fining part of each poetical Character. The Paffion of an Achilles is towards that Glory which is acquir'd by Arms and perfonal Valour. In favour of this Character, we forgive the generous Youth his Excess of Ardor in the Field, and his Refentment when injur'd and provol'd in Council, and by his Allies. The Paffion of an Ulysses is towards that Glory which is acquir'd by Prudence; Wisdom, and Ability in Affairs. 'Tis in favour of this Character that we forgive him his fubtle, crafty, and deceitful Air: fince the intriguing Spirit, the over-reaching Manner; and Overrefinement of Art and Policy; are as naturally incident to the experienced and throw Politician, as fudden Rcfentment, indiscreet and raff Behaviour, to the open undefigning Character of a warlike Youth. The gigantick Force and military Toil of an $A_{J a x}$ wou'd not be fo early credible, or engazing, but for the honer Simplicity of his Nature, and the Heavinefs of his Parts and Genius. For Strength of Body being fo often noted by us, as un-attended with equal Parts and Strength of Mind; when we fee this natural Effect exprefs'd, and find our fecret and malicious kind of Reafoning confirm'd, on this hand; we yield to any $H_{y \text { per bole }}$ of our Poet, on the other. He has afterwards lis full Scope, and

Vol. 3 .
Liberty

Likerty of enlarging, and exceeding, in the peculiar Virtue and Excellence of his Hero. He may lye fplendidly, raife veonder, and be as aftoniffing as he pleafes. Every thing will be allow'd him in return for this frank Allowance. Thus the Tongue of a Nestor may work Prodigys, whilit the accompanying Allays of a rhetorical Fluency, and aged Experience, are kept in view. An Agamemnon may be ademir'd as a noble and wife Chief, whilft a certain princely Haughtinefs, a Stiffnefs, and itately Carriage natural to the Character, are reprefented in his Perfon, and noted in their ill Effects. For thus the Exceffes of every Charater are by the Pcet redrefsd. And the Misfortunes naturally attending fuch Exceffes, being juftly apply'd; our Paftions, whilf in the ftrongeft manner engag'd and mov'd, are in the wholefomett and moft effectual manner corrected and purg'd. Were a Man to form himfelf by one fingle Pattern or Original, however perfect ; he wou'd himfelf be a mere Copy. But whill he draws from various Models, he is original, natural, and unaffected. We fee in outward Carriage and Behaviour, how ridiculcus any one becomes who imitates another, be he ever fo graceful. They are mean Spirits who love to copy merely. Nothing is agreeable or natural, but what is original. Our Manners, like our Faces, tho ever fo beautiful, mult differ in their Beauty. An Over-regularity is next to a Deformity. And in a Poem, whether Epick or Dramatick, a compleat and perfect Cbaracter is the greateft Monfer; and of all poetick Fictions not only the leaft engaging, but the leaft moral and improving.-Thus much by way of Remark upon poctical TRUTH, and the juft Fiction, or artful Lying of the able Poct; according to the Judgment of the Maffer-Critick. What Horace expreffes of the fame Lying Virtue, is of an eafier fenfe, and needs no explanation.

> Atque ita mentitur, so veris falfa remifcet; Psimo ne medium, medio ne difcrepet imum.

De Arte Poet. ver. 152.

* Ear; and correct, as far as poffible, the Ch. I. harfh Sounds of our Language, in Poetry $\sim$ at leaft; if not in Profe.

But fo much are our Britibl Poets taken up, in feeking out that monftrous Ornament which we call + Rbyme, that 'tis

The fame may be obferv'd not only in Heroick Draughts, but in the inferior Characters of Comedy.

Quàm fimilis uterque ef fui!
Ter. Phorm. Act. iii. Sc. 2.
See VOL.I. pag.4, 142, 143, 337, \& 351 . in the Notes, at the end.

* VOL.I. pag. 217.
+ The Reader, if curious in thefe matters, may fee Is Vossius de viribus, Rbytbmi ; and what he fays, withal, of antient $M u f f_{c k}$, and the degrees by which they furpals us Moderns, (as has been demonftrated by late Mathematicians of our Nation) contrary to a ridiculous Notion fome have had, that becaufe in this, as in all other Arts, the Antients fludy'd Simplicity, and affected it as the higheft Perfection in their Performances, they were therefore ignorant of Parts and Symphony. Againft this, Is. Vossius, aimongft other Authors, cites the antient Peripatetick we: Kó $\sigma \mu_{\mathrm{s}}$ at the beginning of his fifth Chapter. To which he might have added another Paflage in Chap. 6. .The Sutablenefs of this antient Author's Thought to what has been often advanc'd in the philofophical Parts of thefe Volumes, concerning the univerfal Symmetry, or Union of the Whole, may make it excufable if we add here the two Paffages together,












## 264 <br> Miscellaneous

Mifc. 5.no wonder if other Ornaments, and real Graces are unthought of, and left un-attempted. However, fince in fome Parts of Poetry, efpecially in the Dramatick, we have been fo happy as to triumph over this barbarous Tafte; 'tis unaccountable that our Poets, who from this Privilege ought to undertake fome further Refinements, fhou'd remain ftill upon the fame level as before. 'Tis a fhame to our Autbors, that in their elegant Style and metred Profe there fhou'd not be found a peculiar Grace and Harmony, refulting from a more natural and eafy Difengagement of their Periods, and from a careful avoiding the Encounter of the fhocking Confonants and jarring Sounds to which our Language is fo unfortunately fubject.

They have of late, 'tis true, reform'd in fome meafure the gouty Joints and












 IOL.II. pag. 214. And above, pag. 182, 3, 4, 5. in the Notes.

## Reflections.

Darning-work of Whereunto's, Whercby's, Ch. i. Thereof's, Thberewith's, and the reft of this kind ; by which, complicated Periods are fo curioufly ftrung, or hook'd on, one to another, after the long-fpun manner of the Bar, or Pulpit. But to take into confideration no real Accent, or Cadency of Words, no Sound or Meafure of Syllables; to put together, at one time, a Set of Compounds, of the longeft Greck or Latin Termination; and at another, to let whole Verfes, and thofe too of our heroick and longeft fort, pals currently in Monofyllables; is, methinks, no flender Negligence. If fingle Verfes at the head, or in the moft emphatical places, of the moft confiderable Works, can admit of fuch a Structure, and pafs for truly harmonious and poetical in this negligent form; I fee no reafon why more Verfes than one or two, of the fame formation, fhou'd not be as well admitted ; or why an un-interrupted Succeffion of thefe well-ftrung Monofyllables might not be allow'd to clatter after one another, like the Hammers of a Paper-Mill, without any breach of Mufick, or prejudice to the Harmony of our Language. But if Perfons who have gone no farther than a Smith's Anvil to gain an Ear, are yet likely, on fair trial, to find a plain defect in thefe Ten-Monofyllable Heroicks; it wou'd follow, methinks, that even a ProleAuthor, who attempts to write politely,

$$
\mathrm{S}_{3} \quad \text { hou'd }
$$

Mifc. 5. fhou'd endeavour to confine himfelf withing thofe Bounds, which can never, without breach of Harmony, be exceeded in any juft Metre, or agreeable Pronunciation.

THUS HAVE I ventur'd to arraign the Authority of thofe felf-privileg'd Writers, who wou'd exempt themfelves from Criticifin, and fave their ill-acquir'd Repueation, by the Decrial of an Art, on which the Caufe and Intereft of Wit and Letters abfolutely depend. Be it they themfelves, or their great Patrons in their behalf, who wou'd thus arbitrarily fupport the Credit of ill Writings ; the Atrempt, I hope, will prove unfuccefsful. Be they Moderns or Antients, Foreigners or Natives, ponderous and auftere Writers, or airy and of the humorous kind: Whoever takes refuge here, or feeks Protection hence; whoever joins his Party or Intereft to this Caufe; ir appears from the very Fact and Endeavour alone, that there is juft ground to fufpect fome Infufficiency or Impofture at the bottom. And on this account the Reader, if he be wife, will the rather redouble his Application and Induftry, to examine the Merit of his affuming Author. If, as Reader, and Fudg, he dares once affert that Liberty to which we have fhewn him juftly intitled; he will not eafily be threaten'd or ridicul'd out of
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the ufe of his cxamining Capacity, and na-Ch. I. tive Privilege of Criticism.
'Twas to this Art, fo well underftood and practis'd heretofore, that the wife Antients ow'd whatever was confummate and perfect in their Productions. 'Tis to the fame Art we owe the Recovery of Letters in thefe latter Ages. To this alone we muft afcribe the Recognition of antient Manufcripts, the Difcovery of what is Spurious, and the Difcernment of whatever is genuine of thofe venerable Remains which have pafs'd thro' fuch dark Periods of Ignorance, and rais'd us to the Improvements we now make in every Science. 'Tis to this Art, that even the Sacred Authors themfelves owe their higheft Purity and Correctnefs. So facred ought the Art itfelf to be efteem'd ; when from its Supplies alone is form'd that judicious and learned Strength, by which the Defenders of our Holy Religion are able fo fuccefsfully to refute the Heatbens, Jeros, Sectarians, Hereticks, and other Enemys or Oppofers of our primitive and antient Faith.

But having thus, after our Author's example, afferted the Ufe of Criticism, in all literate Works, from the main Frame, or Plan of every Writing, down to the minuteft Particle; we may now proceed to exercife this Art upon our Author himfelf, S 4 and

Mifc. 5 .and by his own Rules examine him in this his laft Treatife; referving fill to our-felves the fame Privilege of Variation, and Excurfion into other Subjects, the fame Epijodick Liberty, and Right of wandering, which we have maintain'd in the preceding Chapters.

CHAP.

## Reflections.

## CH A P. II.

Generation and Succefion of our national and modern Wit.-MManness of the Proprietors.-Corporation and Foint-Stock-Statute against Criticism. A Coffee-House Committee._Mr. Ba y s. -Other Bays's in Divinity.-Cenfure of our Author's Dialogue-Piece; and of the Manner of DialogueWriting, us'd by Reverend Wits.

A
CCORDING to the common Course of Practice in our Age, we feldom fee the Character of Writer and that of Critics united in the fame Peron. There is, I know, a certain Species of Authors, who fubfift wholly by the critisizing or commenting Practice upon others, and can appear in no other Form befides what this Employment authorizes them to aflame. They have no original Character, or firft Part; but wait for fomething which may be called a Work, in order to graft upon it, and come in, for Sharers, at fecond hand.

The

The Pen-men of this Capacity and Degree are, from their Function and Employment, diftinguifh'd by the Title of Answerers. For it happens in the World, that there are Readers of a Genius and Size juft fitted to thefe anfwering Authors. Thefe, if they teach 'em nothing elfe, will teach 'em, they think, to criticize. And tho the new practifing Criticks are of a fort unlikely ever to underftand any oxiginal Book or Writing; they can understand, or at leaft remember, and quote the fubfequent Reflections, Flouts, and Jeers, which may accidentally be made on fuch a Piece. Where-ever a Gentleman of this fort happens, at any time, to be in company, you fhall no fooner hear a new Book jpoken of, than 'twill be ask'd, "Who has anfwer'd it?" or "When is there an Anfwer to come out?" - Now the Anfoer, as our Gentleman knows, muft needs be newer than the Book. And the newer a thing is, the more fafhionable ftill, and the genteeler the Subject of Difcourle. For this the Bookfeller knows how to fit our Gentleman to a nicety: For he has commonly an Anfwer ready befpoke, and perhaps finifh'd, by the time his new Book comes abroad. And 'tis odds but our fafhionable Gentleman, who takes both together, may read the latter firft, and drop the other for good and all.

Ch. 2.
But of thefe anfwering Wits, and the manner of Rejoinders, and reiterate Replies, we have faid what is fufficient * in a former Miscellany. We need only remark in general, "That tis neceffary a " writing Critick fhou'd underfand " how to write. And tho every Writer is " not bound to fhew himfelf in the capa" city of Critick, every writing Cri"țick is bound to fhew himfelf capable of " being a Writer. For if he be appa" rently impotent in this latter kind, he is " "to be deny'd all Title or Character in "the other."

To cenfure merely what another Perfon writes; to twitch, finap, finub up, or banzter; to torture Sentences and Pbrajes, turn a few Expreflions into Ridicule, or write what is now-a-days call'd an Anfiver to any Piece, is not fufficient to conftitute what is properly efteem'da Writer, or AuTHOR, in due form. For this reafon, tho there are many Answerers feen abroad, there are few or no Criticks or Satirists. But whatever may be the State of Controverfy in our Religion, or politick Concerns; 'tis certain that in the mere literate World, Affairs are manag'd with a better Underftanding between the

[^112]principal

Mifc. 5.principal Partys concern'd. The Wrio ters or Authors in poffeffion have an eafier time than any Miniftry; or religious Party, which is uppermoft. They have found a way, by decrying all CRITIcism ingeneral, to get rid of their Diffenters, and prevent all Pretences to further Reformation in their State. The Critick is made to appear diftinct, and of another Species; wholly different from the Writer. None who have a Genius for Writing, and can perform with any Succefs, are prefum'd fo ill-natur'd or illiberal as to endeavour to fignalize themfelves in $\mathrm{CRI}=$ TICISM.
'T' Is not difficult, however, to imagine why this practical Difference between Writer and Critick has been fo generally eftablifh'd amongtt us, as to make the Provinces feem wholly diftinet, and irreconcilable. The forward W ITs, who without waiting their due time, or performing their requifite Studys, frart up in the World as Authors, having with little Pains or Judgment, and by the ftrength of Fancy merely, acquir'd a Name with Mankind, can on no account afterwards fubmit to a Decrial or Difparagement of thofe raw Works, to which they ow'd their early Character and Diftinction. Ill wou'd it fare with 'em, indeed, if on thefe tenacious Terms they fhou'd venture upon CritiCISM,
cism, or offer to move that Spirit whichCh. 2. wou'd infallibly give fuch Difturbance to $\sim^{\sim}$ their eftablifh'd Title.

Now we may confider, That in our Nation, and efpecially in our prefent Age, whilft Wars, Debates, and publick Convulfions turn our Minds fo wholly upon Bufinefs and Affairs; the better Genius's being in a manner neceffarily involv'd in the active Sphere, on which the general Eye of Mankind is fo ftrongly fixt; there muft remain in the Thearre of Wit a fufficient Vacancy of Place: and the quality of AEtor upon that Stage muft of confequence be very eafily attainable, and at a low Price of Ingenuity or Underftanding.

The Perfons therefore who are in poffeffion of the prime Parts in this deferted Theatre, being fuffer'd to maintain their Ranks and Stations in full Eafe, have naturally a good Agreement and Underftanding with their Fellow-Wits. Being indebted to the Times for this Happinefs, that with fo little Induftry or Capacity they have been able to ferve the Nation with Wit, and fupply the Place of real Difpenfers and Minifters of the Muses Treajures; they muft, neceffarily, as they have any Love for themfelves, or fatherly Affection for their Works, confpire with one another to preferve their common Intereft of Indolence,

Mifc.5.dolence, and juftify their Remifnefs; Uncorrectnefs, Infipidnefs, and downright Ig norance of all literate Art, of juft poetick Beauty.

* Magna inter molles Concordia.

For this reafon you fee 'em mutually courteous, and benevolent; gracious and obliging, beyond meafure ; complimenting one another interchangeably, at the head of their Works, in recommendatory Verfes, or in feparate Panegyricks, Eflays, and Fragments of Poetry; fuch as in the Mi/cellaneous Collections (our yearly Retail of Wit) we fee curioully compacted, and accommodated to the Relifh of the World: Here the Tyrocinium of Genius's is annually difplay'd. Here, if you think fir; you may make acquaintance with the youtig Offspring of WITs, as they come up gradually under the old; with due Courtmip, and Homage, paid to thofe high Predeceffiors of Fame, in hope of being one day admitted, by turn, into the noble Order, and made WITs by Patent and Authority:

This is the young Fry which you may fee bufily furrounding the grown Poet, or chief Play-houfe-Autbor, at a Coffee-Houfe. They are his Guards; ready to take up

[^113]Arms for him; if by fome prefumptuous Ch. 2. Critick he is at any time attack'd. They $\sim \sim$ are indeed the very Shadows of their immediate Predeceffor, and reprefent the fame Features, with fome fmall Alteration perhaps for the worfe. They are fure to aim at nothing above or beyond their Mafter ; and wou'd on no account give him the leaft Jealoufy of their afpiring to any Degree or Order of writing above him. From hence that Harmony and reciprocal Efteem, which, on fuch a bottom as this, cannot fail of being perfectly well eftablifh'd among our Poets: The Age, mean while, being after this manner hopefully provided, and fecure of a conftant and like Succeffion of meritorious Wits, in every kind!

If by chance a Man of Senfe, un-appriz'd of the Authority of thefe high Powers, hou'd venture to accoft the Gentlemen of this Fraternity, at fome Coffeeboufe Committee, whilft they were taken up, in mutual Admiration, and the ufual Praife of their national and co-temporary Wits; 'tis poffible he might be treated with fome Civility, whilft he inquir'd, for Satisfaction fake, into the Beautys of thofe particular Works fo unanimoufly extoll'd. But hou'd he prefume to ask, in general, "Why is our Epick or Dra"matick, our Efay, or common Prole no " better

Mifc. 5." better executed ?" Or, "Why in par" ticular does fuch or fuch a reputed Wit "s write fo incorrectly, and with fo little os regard to Jutneefs of Thought or Lan"c guage?" The Anlwer wou'd prefently be given, " That we Englifmen are " not ty'd up to fuch rigid Rules as thofe " of the antient Grecian, or modern "Frençb Criticks."
"Be it fo (Gentlemen!) 'Tis your "good Pleafure. Nor ought any one to "difpute it with you. You are Mafters, " no doubt, in your own Country. But " (Gentlemen!) the Queftion here, is not "What your Authority may be over your " own Writers. You may have them of " what Fafhion or Size of Wit you pleafe; ". and allow them to entertain you at the " rate you think fufficient, and fatisfac" tory. But can you, by your good "s Pleafure, or the Approbation of your " higheft Patrons, make that to be either "Wit, or Senfe, which wou'd otherwife " have been Bombaft and Contradiction? "If your Poers are ftill * Mr. Bays's, " and your Profe-Authors Sir Rogers, " without

[^114]" without offering at a better Manner ;Ch. 2. " muft it follow that the Manner it-celf is $\sim$ "good, or the Wit genuine? - What "fay you (Gentlemen!) to this new " Piece?-Let us examine thefe Lines " which you call Jinining! This String of "Sentences which you call clever! This " Pile of Metaphors which you call Jib" lime!-_Are you unwilling (Gentle" men!) to ftand the Teft? Do you de" fpife the Examination?
after the ingenious Author of the Rebearfal had drawn his Picture. "I have been liftening (fays our Poet, in his Preface to Don Sebafficn) " what Objections had been made " againft the Conduct of the Play; but found them all fo " trivial, that if I fhou'd name them, a true Critick wou'd. " imagine that I plaid booty ——Some are pleas'd to fay " the Writing is dull. But atatem babet, de Se loquatur. " Others, that the double Poifon is unnatural. Let the com" mon receiv'd Opinion, and Aufonius's famous Epigram " anfwer that. Lafly, a more ignorant fort of Creatures ${ }^{6}$ than either of the former, maintain that the Character of " Dorax is not only unnatural, but inconfiftent with " it-felf. Let them read the Play, and think again.—A " longer Reply is what thofe Cavillers deferve not. But I " will give them and their Fellows to undertand, that the ${ }^{66}$ Earl of *** was pleas'd to read the Tragedy twice "s over before it was acted, and did me the favour to fend " me word, that I had written beyond any of my former "Plays, and that he was difpleas'd any thing fhou'd be cut " away. If I have not reafon to prefer his fingle Judgment " to a whole Faction, let the World be judge : For the Op" pofition is the fame with that of Lucan's Hero againft " an Army, concurrere Bellum atque Virum. I think I may " modetlly conclude, छृंc."

Thus he goes on, to the very end, in the felf-fame Strain. Who, after this, can ever fay of the Rebearfal-Author, that his Picture of our Poet was over-charg'd, or the national Humour wrong defcrib'd ?

[^115]"SIr!- Since you are pleas'd to " take this Liberty with us; May we pre"fume to ask you a Queftion?
"Gentlemen! as many as you pleafe: I " fhall be highly honour'd. Why' " then (pray Sir!) inform us, Whether " you have ever worit? Very often " (Gentlemen!) efpecially on a Poft"night. But have you writ (for in"ftance, Sir!) a Play, a Song, an Efay," " or a Paper, as, by way of Eminence, "the current Pieces of our Weekly Wits " are generally ftyl'd? Something " of this kind I may perhaps (Gentle" men!) have attempted, tho without pub" limhing my Work. But pray (Gentle" men!) what is my writing, or not wri-"ting to the queftion in hand? On" ly this, (Sir!) and you may fairly take " cur words for it: That, whenever you "publifh, you will find the Town againft " you. Your Piece will infallibly be con" demn'd. So let it. But for what " reafon, Gentlemen? I am fure, you ne"ver faw the Piece. No, Sir. But " you are a Critick. And we know by " certain Experience, that, when a Critick is writes according to Rule and Method, " he is fure never to hit the Engli乃Th Tafte. " Did not Mr. $R$-, who criticiz'd our " Englifb Tragedy, write a forry one of " his own? If he did (Gentlemen!)
"'twas his own fault, not to know his Ch. 2. "Genius better. But is his Criticifm the $\sim \sim$ " lefs juft on this account? If a Mufi"cian performs his Part well in the hat"deft Symphonys, he muft neceffarily " know the Notes, and underitand the "Rules of Harmony and Mufick. But " muft a Man, therefore, who has an Ear, " and has ftudy'd the Rules of Mufick, of " neceffity have a Voice or Hand? Can "no one poffibly judg a Fiddle, but who " is himfelf a Fiddler? Can no one judg " a Picture, but who is himfelf a Layer "of Colours?"

Thus far our rational Gentleman perhaps might venture, before his Coffeehoufe Audience. Had I been at his Elbow to prompt him as a Friend, I fhou'd hardly have thought fit to remind him of any thing further. On the contrary, I hou'd have rather taken him afide, to inform him of this Cabal, and eftablifh'd Corporation of Wit ; of their declar'd Averfion to Criticifm, and of their known Laws and Statutes in that Cafe made and provided. I fhou'd have told him, in fhort, that learned Arguments wou'd be mifpent on fuch as thefe: And that he wou'd find little Succefs, tho he hou'd ever fo plainly demonItrate to the Gentlemen of this Size of Wit and Underftanding, "That the greateft cs Mafters of Art, in every kind of WriT 2 " ting,

Mifc. 5." ting, were eminent in the critical Prac* " tice." But that they really were fo, witnefs, among the Antients, their greateft * Philosophers, whofe critical Pieces lie intermixt with their profound philofophical Works, and other politer Tracts ornamentally writ, + for publick ufe. Witnefs in Hifory and Rbetorick, Isocrates, Dionysius Halicarnasseus, Peutarch, and the corrupt Lucian himfelf; the only one perhaps of thefe Authors, whom our Gentlemen may, in fome modern Tranflation, have look'd into, with any Curiofity or Delight. To thefe among the Romans we may add Cicero, Varro, Horace, Quintilian, Pliny, and many more.

Among the Moderns, a Boileau and a Corneille are fufficient Precedents in the Cafe before us. They apply'd their Criticifm with juft Severity, even to their own Works. This indeed is a Manner hardly practicable with the Poets of our own Nation. It wou'd be unreafonable to expect of 'em that they fhou'd bring fuch Meafures in ufe, as being apply'd to their Works, wou'd difco-

[^116]ver 'em to be wholly deform'd and dif-Ch. 2. proportionable. 'Tis no wonder there- $\sim$ ~ fore if we have fo little of this critical Genius extant, to guide us in our Tafte. 'Tis no wonder if what is generally current in this kind, lies in a manner bury'd, and in difguife under Burlefque, as particularly in the * witty Comedy of a noble Author of this laft Age. To the Shame, however, of our profefs'd Wirs and Enterprizers in the higher Spheres of Poetry, it may be obferv'd, that they have not wanted good Advice and Inftruction of the graver kind, from as high a Hand in refpect of Quality and Character: Since one of the jufteft of our modern Poems, and fo confefs'd even by our Poets themfelves, is a fhort Critici/m, An ARt of Poetry; by which, if they themfelves were to be judg'd, they muft in general appear no better than mere Bunglers, and void of all true Senfe and Knowledg in their Art. But if in reality both Critick and Poet, confefling the Juftice of thefe Rules of Arr, can afterwards, in Practice, condemn and approve, perform and judg, in a quite different manner from what they acknowledg juft and true: it plainly fhews, That, tho perhaps we are not indigent in Wit; we want what is of more

[^117]Mifc. j.coniequence, and can alone raife Wit to any Dignity or Worth; even plain Honesty, Manners, and a Senfe of that Moral Truth, on which (as has been often exprefs'd in thefe * Volumes) poetick Truth and Beauty muft naturally depend.
$+24 i$ didicit Patria quid debeat, $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ quid Amicis,
Quo fit amore parens, quo frater amandus $\delta 5$ boppes, 2uod Jit Conforipti, quod Fudicis offcium,
-_ille profecto
Reddere perfonce foit convenientia cuique.

As for this Species of Morality which diftinguifhes the Civil Offices of Life, and defcribes each becoming Perfonage or Character in this Scene; fo neceffary it is for the Poet and polite Autbor to be appriz'd of it, that even the Divine himfelf may with jufter pretence be exempted from the knowledg of this fort. The Compofer of religious Difcourfes has the advantage of that bigher Scone of Myftery, which is above the level of human Commerce. 'Tis not fo much his Concern, or Bufinefs, to be

[^118]$$
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agreeable. And often when he wound en-Ch. 2. deavour it, he becomes more than ordinarill difpleafing. His Theater, and that of the polite World, are very different: Infomuch that in a Reverend Author, or Declaimer of this fort, we naturally excufe the Ignorance of ordinary Decorum, in what relates to the Affairs of our inferior temporal World. But for the Poet or genteel Writer, who is of this World merely, 'ti a different Cafe. He mut be perfect in this moral Science. We can eafill bear the lops of indifferent Poetry or Essay. A good Bargain it were, cou'd we get rid of every moderate Performance in this kind. But were we oblig'd to hear only excellent Sermons, and to read nothing, in the way of Devotion, which was not well writ; it might poffibly go hard with many Chriftian People, who are at prefent fuck attentive Auditors and Readers. Eftablifh'd Paftors have a right to be indifferent. But voluntary Difcourfes and Attempters in Wit or Poetry, are as intolerable, when they are indifferent, as either Fiddlers or Painters:

* -Poterat duct quiz Ccena fine isis.

Other Bays's and Poetafters may be lawfully baited; tho we patiently fubmit to our Bays's in Divinity.

[^119]Had the Author of our* Subject-Treatijes confider'd thorowly of thefe literate Affairs, and found how the Intereft of Wit ftood at prefent in our Nation, he wou'd have had fo much regard furely to his own Intereft, as never to have writ unlefs either in the fingle Capacity of mere Critick, or that of Author in form. If he had refolv'd never to produce a regular or legitimate Piece, he might pretty fafely have writ on ftill after the rate of his firft Volume, and mixt manner. He might have been as critical, as fatirical, or as full of Raillery as he had pleas'd. But to come afterwards as a grave Actor upon the Stage, and expofe himfelf to Criticifm in his turn, by giving us a Work or two in form, after the regular manner of Compofition, as we fee in his fecond Volume ; this, I think, was no extraordinary Proof of his Judgment or Ability, in what related to his own Credit and Advantage.

One of thefe formal Pieces (the InQUIRY already examin'd) we have found to be wholly after the Manner, which in one of his critical Pieces he calls the Metbodick. But his next Piece (the MoBALISTS, which we have now before us)

[^120]muft,
muft, according to his own * Rulcs, beCh. 2 . reckon'd as an Undertaking of greater $\sim \sim$ weight. 'Tis not only at the bottom, as fy)tematical, didactick and preceptive, as that other Piece of formal Structure; but it affumes withal another Garb, and more fafhionable Turn of Wit. It conceals what is jcholaftical, under the appearance of a polite Work. It afpires to Dialogue, and carrys with it not only thofe poetick Features of the Pieces antiently call'd Mimes; but it attempts to unite the feveral Perfonages and Characters in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ne}}$ Action, or Story, within a determinate Compafs of Time, regularly divided, and drawn into different and proportion'd Scenes: And this, too, with variety of STyle; the fimple, comick, rbetorical, and even the poetick or fublime; fuch as is the apteft to run into Enthufiafm and Extravagance. So much is our Author, by virtue of this Piece + , a Poet in due form, and by a more

[^121]Mifc. 5.more apparent claim, than if he had writ a Play, or dramatick Piece, in as regular a manner, at leaft, as any known at prefent on our Stage.

It appears, indeed, that as high as our Author, in his critical Capacity, wou'd pretend to carry the refin'd Manner and accurate Simplicity of the Antients;
"SOPHICAL ROMANCE." $\qquad$ Thus our Author himfelf; who to conceal, however, his frict Imitation of the antient poetick DIALOGUE, has prefix'd an auxiliary Title to his Work, and given it the Sirname of RHAPSODY: As if it were merely of that Effay or mix'd kind of Works, which come abroad with an affected Air of Negligence and Irregularity. But whatever our Author may have affected in his Title-Page, 'twas fo little his Intention to write after that Model of incoherent Workmanhip, that it appears to be forely againft his Will, if this Dialogue-Piece of his has not the juft Character, and correct Form of thofe antient Poems defcrib'd. He wou'd gladly have conftituted ONE fingle Action and Time, futable to the juft Simplicity of thofe Dramatick Works. And this, one wou'd think, was eafy enough for him to have done. He needed only to have brought his firl Speakers immediately into Action, and fav'd the narrative or recitative Part of Philocies to Palemon, by producing them as fpeaking Perfonages upon his Stage. The Scene all along might have been the Part. From the early Evening to the late Hour of Night, that the two Galants withdrew to their Town-Apartments, there was fufficient time for the Narrator Philocles, to have recited the whole Tranfaction of the fecond and third Part ; which wou'd have ftood throout as it now does: only at the Conclufion, when the narrative or recilative Part had ceas'd, the fimple and direct DIALOGUE wou'd have again return'd, to grace the Exit. By this means the temporal as well as local Unity of the Piece had been preferv'd. Nor had our Author been neceffitated to commit that Anacbroni/n, of making his firt Part, in order, to be laft in time.

Reflections.
he dares not, in his own Model and prin- Ch. 2. cipal Performance, attempt to unite his $\sim$ Philofophy in one folid and uniform Body, nor carry on his Argument in one continu'd Chain or Thred. Here our Author's Timoroufnefs is vifible. In the very Plan or Model of his Work, he is apparently put to a hard hift, to contrive how or with what probability he might introduce Men of any Note or Fahhion, * reafoning exprefly and purpofely, without play or trifling, for two or three hours together, on mere Philosophy and Morals. He finds thefe Subjects (as he confefles) fo wide of common Converfation, and, by long Cuftom, fo appropriated to the School, the Univerjty-Cbair, or Pulpit, that he thinks it hardly fafe or practicable to treat of them elfewhere, or in a different Tone. He is forc'd therefore to raife particular Macbines, and conftrain his principal Characters, in order to carry a better Face, and bear himfelf out, againft the appearance of Pedantry. Thus his Gentleman-Philofopher Theocies, before he enters into his real Character, becomes a feign'd Preacher. And even when his real Character comes on, he hardly dares ftand it out; but to deal the better with his Sceptick-Friend, he falls again to perfonating, and takes up the

[^122]Mifc. 5. Humour of the Poet and Entbufiaft. P Alemon the Man of Quality, and who is firft introduc'd as Speaker in the Piece, muft, for fafhion-fake, appear in Love, and under a kind of Melancholy, produc'd by fome Mif-adventures in the World. How elfe fhou'd he be fuppos'd fo ferious? P н Ilocles his Friend (an airy Gentleman of the World, and a thorow Raillier) muft have a home Charge upon him, and feel the Anger of his grave Friend, before he can be fuppos'd grave enough to enter into a philofophical Difcourfe. A quarter of an hour's reading muft ferve to reprefent an hour or two's Debate. And a new Scene prefenting it felf, ever and anon, mult give Refrefhment, it feems, to the faint Reader, and remind him of the Characters and Bufinefs going on.
'Tis in the fame view that we Miscellanarian Authors, being fearful of the natural Laffitude and Satiety of our indolent Reader, have prudently betaken ourfelves to the way of Clapters and Contents; that as the Reader proceeds, by frequent Intervals of Repofe, contriv'd on purpofe for him, he may from time to time be advertis'd of what is yet to come, and be tempted thus to renew his Application.

Thus in our modern Plays we fee, almoft in every other Leaf, Defcriptions or

Illuftra-

Illuftrations of the Action, not in the Ch. 2. Poem it-felf, or in the mouth of the $\sim \sim$ Actors; but by the Poet, in his own Perfon; in order, as appears, to help out a Defect of the Text, by a kind of marginal Note, or Comment: which renders thefe Pieces of a mix' $d$ kind between the narrative and dramatick. 'Tis in this fafhionable Style, or manner of dumb Shew, that the Reader finds the Action of the Piece more amazingly exprefs'd, than he poffibly cou'd by the Lines of the Drama it-felf; where the Partys alone are fuffer'd to be Speakers.
'Tis out of the fame regard to Eafe, both in refpect of Writer and Reader, that we fee long Characters and Defcriptions at the head of moft dramatick Pieces, to inform us of the Relations, Kindred, Interefts, and Defigns of the Dramatis Perfone: This being of the higheft importance to the Reader, that he may the better underftand the Plot, and find out the principal Characters and Incidents of the Piece; which otherways cou'd not poffibly difcover themfelves, as they are read in their due order. And to do juftice to our PlayReaders, they feldom fail to humour our Poets in this refpect, and read over the Characters with ftrict application, as a fort of Grammar, or Key, before they enter on the Piece it-felf. I know not wherher they

Mifc. 5. wou'd do fo much for any philofophical $\sim$ Piece in the world. Our Author feems very much to quettion it; and has therefore made that part eafy enough, which relates to the diftinction of his Characters, by making ufe of the narrative Manner. Tho he had done, as well, perhaps, not to have gone out of the natural plain way, on this account. For with thofe to whom fuch philofophical Subjects are agreeable, it cou'd be thought no laborious Task to give the fame attention to Characters in Dialogue, as is given at the firft entrance by every Reader to the eafieft Play, compos'd of feweft and plaineft Perfonages. But for thofe who read thefe Subjects with mere Supinenefs, and Indifference; they will as much begrudg the pains of attending to the Characters thus particularly pointed out, as if they had only been difcernible by Inference and Deduction from the mouth of the fpeaking Partys themfelves.

MORE REASONS are given by our * Author himfelf, for his avoiding the direct way of Dialogue; which at prefent lies fo low, and is wis'd only now and then, in our Party-Pamplets, or newfafhion'd theological Effays. For of late;

[^123]it feems, the Manner has been introduc'd Ch. 2. into Church-Controverfy, with an Attempt $\sim \sim$ of Raillery and Humour, as a more fuccefsful Method of dealing with Herefy and Infidelity. The Burleqque-Divinity grows mightily in vogue. And the cry'd-up Anfwers to heterodox Difcourfes are generally fuch as are written in Drollery, or with refemblance of the facetious and humorous Language of Converfation.

Joy to the reverend Authors, who can afford to be thus gay, and condefcend to correct us, in this Lay-Wit. The Advances they make in behalf of Piety and Manners, by fuch a popular Style, are doubtiefs found, upon experience, to be very confiderable. As thefe Reformers are nicely qualify'd to hit the Air of Breeding and Gentility, they will in time, no doubt, refine their Manner, and improve this jocular Method, to the Edification of the polite World; who have been fo long feduc'd by the way of Raillery and Wit. They may do wonders by their comick Muse, and may thus, perhaps, find means to laugh Gentlemen into their Religion, who have unfortunately been laugh'd out of it. For what reafon is there to fuppofe that Orthodoxy fhou'd not be able to laugh as agreeably, and with as much Refinednefs, as Herefy or Infidelity?

A t present, it muff be owned, the Charaters, or Perfonages, employ'd by our new orthodox Dialogifts, carry with 'em little Proportion or Coherence; and in this refpect may be faid to fuse perfectly with that figurative metaphorical Style and rhetorical Manner, in which their Lorick and Arguments are generally couch'd. vorhing can be more complex or multiform than their moral Draughts or Sketches of Humanity. There, indeed, are fo far from reprefenting any particular MAN, or Order of Men, that they farce refimble any thing of the Kind. 'Tis by their Names only that thee Characters are figur'd. Tho they bear different Titles, and are fer up to maintain contrary Points; they are found, at the bottom, to be all of the fame fides; and, notwithftanding their feeming Variance, to cooperate in the molt officious manner with the Author, towards the difplay of his own proper Wit, and the eftablifhment of his privase Opinion and Maxims. They are indeed his very legitimate and obsequious Puppets; as like real Men in Voice, Actron, and Manners, as thofe wooden or wire Engines of the lower Stage. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HI}}$ lotheus and Philathees, Philautus and Philalethes are of one and the fame Order: Jut Tally to one another: Queftioning and Answering in concert,
concert, and with fuch a fort of Alterna-Ch. 2. tive as is known in a vulgar Play, where $\sim$ one Perfon lies down blindfold, and prefents himfelf, as fair as may be, to crnother, who by favour of the Company, or the affiftance of his Good-fortune, deals his Companion many a found Blow, without being once challeng'd, or brought into his Turn of lying down.

There is the fame curious Mixture of Cbance, and elegant Vicif/itude, in the Style of thefe Mock-Perfonages of our new Theological Drama: with this difference only, "That after the poor Phantom or "Shadow of an Adverfary has faid as " little for his Caufe as can be imagin'd, " and given as many Opens and Advan" tages as cou'd be defir'd, he lies down "for good and all, and paffively fubmits " to the killing Strokes of his unmerciful " Conqueror."

Hardiy, as I conceive, will it be objected to our Moralist, (the Author of the philofopbick Dialogue above) "That " the Perfonages who fuftain the fceptical "or objecting Parts, are over-tame and "tractable in their Difpofition." Did I perceive any fuch foul dealing in his Piece; I fhou'd fcarce think it worthy of the Criticifm here beftow'd. For in this fort of Writing, where Perfonages are exhibiVol. 3.

U
ted,

Mifc. 5.ted, and natural Converfation fet in view; n if Cbaratters are neither tolerably preferv'd, nor Manners with any juft Similitude defcrib'd; there remains nothing but what is too grofs and monftrous for Criticifn or Examination.
'Twili be alledg'd, perhaps, in anfwer to what is here advanc'd, "That " Ghou'd a Dialogue be wrought up " to the Exactnefs of thefe Rules; it " ought to be condemn'd, as the worfe "Piece, for affording the Infidel or Scep" tick fuch good quarter, and giving him " the full advantage of his Argument and " Wit."

But to this I reply, That either DiAlogue fhou'd never be attempted; or, if it be, the Partys fhou'd appear natural, and fuch as they really are. If we paint at all; we Ghou'd endeavour to paint like Life, and draw Creatures as they are knowable, in their proper Shapes and better Features; not in Metamorphofis, not mangled, lame, diftorted, aukard Forms, and impotent Chimeras. Atheifs have their Senfe and Wits, as other Men; or why is Atheism fo often challeng'd in thofe of the better Rank? Why charg'd fo often to the account of Wit and jubtle Reafoning?

Were

Were I to advife thefe Authors, towards whom I am extremely well-affected on account of their good-humour'd Zeal, and the feeming Sociablenefs of their Religion ; I fhou'd fay to 'em, " Gentlemen! "Be not fo cautious of furnifhing your "reprefentative Sceptick with too "good Arguments, or too hrreud a Turn " of Wit or Humour. Be not fo fearful of " giving quarter. Allow your Adverfary " his full Reafon, his Ingenuity, Senfe, " and Art. Truft to the chief CbaraEter " or Hero of your Picce. Make him as "d dazling bright, as you are able. He will " undoubtedly overcome the utmoft Force " of his Opponent, and difpel the Dark" nefs or Cloud, which the Adverfary may " unluckily have rais'd. But if when you " have fairly wrought up your Antagonift " to his due Strength and cognizable Pro" portion, your chief Cbaratter cannot af" terwards prove a match for him, or fhine " with a fuperior Brightnefs; Whofe Fault " is it?-The Subject's? -This, I hope, " you will never allow.-Whofe, there" fore, befide your own? - Beware then; " and confider well your Strength and " Mafterfhip in this manner of Wricing, " and in the qualifying Practice of the po" lite World, ere you attempt thefe accu" rate and refin'd Limnings or Portraitures ". of Mankind, or offer to bring Gentlemen

$$
U_{2} \quad \text { "on }
$$

Mifc. $5 .{ }^{\circ 6}$ on the Stage. For if real Gentlemen, fe" duc'd, as you pretend, and made erro" neous in their Religion or Philofophy, " difcover not the leaft Feature of their real "Faces in your Looking-glafs, nor know " themfelves, in the leaft, by your Defcrip" tion; they will hardly be apt to think " they are refuted. How wittily foever ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ your Comedy may be wrought up, they
" will fcarce apprehend any of that Wit to
"s fall upon themfelves. They may laugh " indeed at the Diverfion you are pleas'd " to give 'em: But the Laugh perhaps " may be different from what you intend. "S They may fmile fecretly to fee themfelves " thus encounter'd; when they find, at " laft, your Authority laid by, and your " fobolafick Weapons quitted, in favour " of this weak Attempt, To mafter them "by their own Arms, and proper Ability."

THUS WE have perform'd our critical Task, and try'd our Strength, both on our Author, and thofe of his Order, who attempt to write in Dialogue, after the active dramatick, * mimical, or perfonating Way ; according to which a Writer is properly poetical.

What remains, we fhall examine is our fucceeding and laft Chapter.

[^124]CHAP。

## C H A P. III.

Of Extent or Latitude of Thought.-Free-Thinkers.-Their Caufe, and Character.—Di/bonefty, a Half-Thought. - Sbort-Thinking, Caufe of Vice and Bigotry.Agreement of Slavery and Super-ftition.-LIBERTY, civil, mosal, Spiritual. - Free-thinking Divines._Representatives in-cognito.-Embaffadors from the Moon.—Effectual Determination of Cbriftian Controversy and $R$ co ligious Belief.

$B$EING now come to the Conclufion of my Work; after having defended the Caufe of Criticks in general, and employ'd what Strength I had in that Science upon our adventurous Author in particular; I may, according to Equity, and with the better grace, attempt a line or two, in defenfe of that Freedom of Thought which our Author has us'd, particularly in one of the Perfonages of his laft DialogucTreatife. however equally fram'd, or near alike, the Race of Mankind may appear in other refpects, they are not always equal Thinkers, or of a like Ability in the management of this natural Talent which we call Thought. The Race, on this account, may therefore juftly be diftinguifh'd, as they often are, by the Appellation of the Thinking, and the Unthinking fort. The mere Unthinking are fuch as have not yet arrived to that happy Thought, by which they Mound obferve, " How neceffary "Thinking is, and how fatal the want "s of it mutt prove to 'em." The Thinking part of Mankind, on the other fides, having difcover'd the Affiduity and Induftry requifice to rigbt-Thinking, and being already commenced Thinkers upon this Foundation; are, in the progrefs of the Affair, convinced of the neceflity of thinking to good purpose, and carrying the Work to a thorow Iftue. They know that if they refrain or Atp once, upon this Road, they had done as well never to have fer out. They are not fo fupine as to be with-held by mere Laziness; when nothing lies in the way to interrupt the free Courfe and Progreps of their Thought.

Some Obftacles, 'ti true, may, on this occafion, be pretended. Specters may come
come a-crofs; and Shadozes of Reafon rife Ch. 3 . up againft Reason it-felf. But if Men $\sim$ have once heartily efpous'd the reaforining or thinking Habit; they will not cafily be induc'd to lay the Practice down ; they will not at an inftant be arrefted, or made to ftand, and yield themfelves, when they come to fuch a certain Boundary, Land-Mark, Poft, or Pillar, erected here or there (for what reafon may probably be guefs'd) with the Infcription of a Ne plus ultrà.
'Tis not, indeed, any Authority on Earth, as we are well affur'd, can ftop us on this Road, unlefs we pleafe to make the Arreft, or Reftriction, of our own accord. 'Tis our own Thought which mult reftrain our Thinking. And whether the reftraining Thought be juft, how thall we ever judge, without examining it freely, and out of all conftraint? How thall we be fure that we have juftly quitted Reason, as too high and dangerous, too afpiring or prefumptive; if thro' Fear of any kind, or fubmitting to mere Command, we quit our very examining Thoughr, and in the moment flop fhort, fo as to put an end to further Thinking on the matter? Is there much difference between this Cafe, and that of the obedient Beafts of Burden, who ftop precifely at their appointed Inn, or at whatever Point the Charioteer, or

Mirc. 5.Governour of the Reins, thinks fit to give the fignal for a Halt?

I cannot but from hence conclude, That of all Species of Creatures faid commonly to have Brains; the moft infipid, wretched and prepofterous are thofe, whom in juft Propriety of Speech, we call Halfthinkers.

I have often known Pretenders to Wit break out into admiration, on the fight of fome raw, heedlefs, unthinking Gentleman; declaring on this occafion, That they efteem'd it the happieft Cafe in the World, "Never to think, or trouble "one's Head with Study or Comfideration." This I have always look'd upon as one of the higheft Airs of Difinction, which the felf-admiring Wits are us'd to give themfelves, in publick Company. Now the Echo or Antichony which there elegant Exclaimers hope, by this Reflection, to draw neceffarily from their Audience, is, "That they themfelves are over-fraighted ${ }^{66}$ with this Merchandize of Thought; " and have not only enough for Ballaft, "but fuch a Cargo over and above, as is "t enough to fink 'em by its Weight." I am apt however to imagine of thefe Gentlemen, That it was never their over-thinking which opprefs'd them; and that if their Thought had ever really become oppreffive
to 'em, they might thank themfelves, for Ch. 3. having under-thought, or reafon'd fhort, fo as to reft fatisfy'd with a very fuperficial Search into Matters of the firft and highent Importance.

If, for example, they over-look'd the cbief Enjoyments of Life, which are founded in Honefy and a good Mind; if they prefum'd mere Life to be fully worth what its tenacious Lovers are pleas'd to rate it at; if they thought publick Difinction, Fame, Power, an Eftate, or Title, to be of the fame value as is vulgarly conceiv'd, or as they concluded, on a firft Thought, without further Scepticifm or After-deliberation ; 'tis no wonder, if being in time become fuch mature Dogmatifts, and wellpractis'd Dealers in the Affairs of what they call a Settlement or Fortune, they are fo hardly put to it, to find eafe or reft within themfelves.

These are the deeply-loaded and overpenfive Gentlemen, who efteeming it the truef Wit to purfue what they call their Intereft, wonder to find they are ftill as little at eafe when they have fucceeded, as when they firft attempted to advance.

There can never be lefs Self-enjoyment than in thefe fuppos'd wife Cbaracters, thefe Jelfifh Computers of Happinefs and private whether for this World or another, are attended with the fame fteddy Vein of cunning and low Thought, fordid Deliberations, perverfe and crooked Fancys, ill Difpofitions, and falre Relifhes of Life and Manners. The moft negligent undefigning thoughtlefs Rake has not only more of Sociablenefs, Eafe, Tranquillity, and Freedom from worldly Cares, but in reality more of Worth, Virtue, and Merit, than fuch grave Plodders, and thoughtful Gen= tlemen as thefe.

If it happens, therefore, that thefe graver, more circumfpect, and deeply interefted Gentlemen, have, for their Soul's fake, and thro' a careful Provifion for Hereafter, engag'd in certain Speculations of Religion; their Tafe of Virtue, and Relifh of Life is not the more improv'd, on this account. The Thoughts they have on thefe new Subjects of Divinity are fo biafs'd, and perplex'd, by thofe HalfThoughts and rave Imaginations of Interent, and worldly Affairs ; that they are ftill difabled in the rational Purfuit of Happinefs and Good: And being neceffitated thus to remain Sbort-Tbinkers, they have the Power to go no further than they are led by thofe to whom, under fuch Difturbances and Perplexitys, they apply themfelves for Cure and Comfort.

Reflections.

IT HAS been the main Scope and principal End of thefe Volumes, "To af"fert the Reality of a Beauty and " CHARM in moral as well as natural "Subjects; and to demonftrate the Rea" fonablenefs of a proportionate TASTE, " and determinate Choice, in Life and "Manners." The Standard of this kind, and the noted Character of Moral Truth appear fo firmly eftablifh'd in Nature it-felf, and fo widely difplay'd thro' the intelligent World, that there is no Genius, Mind, or tbinking Principle, which (if I may fay fo) is not really confcious in the cafe. Even the moft refractory and obftinate Underftandings are by certain Reprifes or Returns of Thought, on every occafion, convinc'd of this Exiftence, and neceffitated, in common with others, to acknowledg the actual RIGHT and Wrong.
'Tis evident that whenfoever the Mind, influenc'd by Paffion or Humour, confents to any Action, Meafure, or Rule of Life, contrary to this governing Standard and primary Measure of Intelligence, it can only be thro' a weak Thougbt, a Scantinefs of Judgment, and a Defect in the application of that unavoidable Imprefion and firft natural Rule of Honefy and Worth: againft

Mifc. 5 .againft which, whatever is advanc'd, will be of no other moment than to render a Life diftracted, incoherent, full of Irrefolution, Repentance, and Self-difapprobation.

Thus every Immorality and Enormity of Life can only happen from a partial and narrow View of Happinefs and Good. Whatever takes from the Largene/s or Freedom of Thought, muft of neceffity detract from that firft Relifh, or TAs Te, on which Virtue and Worth depend.

For inftance, when the Eye or Appetite is eagerly fix'd on Treafure, and the money'd Blifs of Bags and Coffers; 'tis plain there is a kind of Fafcination in the cafe. The Sight is inftantly diverted from all other Views of Excellence or Worth. And here, even the Vulgar, as well as the more liberal part of Mankind, difcover the contracted Genius, and acknowledg the Narrownefs of fuch a Mind.

In Luxury and Intemperance we eafily apprehend how far Thought is opprefs'd, and the Mind debar'd from juft Reflection, and from the free Examination and Cenfure of its own Opinions or Maxims, on which the Conduct of a Life is form'd.

Even in that complicated Good of vulgar kind, which we commonly call In2

TERESTz
terest, in which we comprehend both Ch. 3 . Pleafiure, Riches, Power; and other exterior Advantages; we may difcern how a fafcinated Sigbt contracts a Genius, and by Mortning the View even of that very Interef which it feeks, betrays the Knave, and neceffitates the ableft and wittieft Profelyte of the kind, to expofe himfelf on every Emergency and fudden Turn.

But above all other enflaving Vices, and Reftrainers of Reafon and juft Tbougbt, the moft evidently ruinous and fatal to the Underftanding is that of Superstition, Bigotry, and vulgar Enthusiasm. This Paffion, not contented like other Vices to deceive, and tacitly fupplant our Reafon, profeffes open War, holds up the intended Chains and Fetters, and declares its Refolution to enflave.

The artificial Managers of this human Frailty declaim againft Free-Thought, and Latitude of Underftanding. To go beyond thofe Bounds of thinking which they have prefcrib'd, is by them declar'd a Sacrilege. To them, Freedom of Mind, a Mastery of Senfe, and a Liberty in Thought and Action, imply Debauch, Corruption, and Depravity.

In confequence of their moral Maxims, and political Eftablidhments, they can ino deed

Mifc. 5 .deed advance no better Notion of human Happinefs and Enjoyment, than that which is in every refpect the moft oppofite to Liberty. 'Tis to them doubtlefs that we owe the Opprobrioufnefs and Abufe of thofe naturally honeft Appellations of Free-Livers, Free-Tbinkers, Latitudinarians, or whatever other Character implies a Largenefs of Mind, and generous Ufe of Underftanding. Fain wou'd they confound Licentioufiness in Morals, with Liberty in Thought and ACtion; and make the Libertine, who has the leaft Maftery of himfelf, refemble his direct Oppofite. For fuch indeed is the Man of refolute Purpofe and immovable Adherence to Reason, againft every thing which Paflion, Prepollifion, Craft, or Falbion can advance in favour of ought elfe. But here, it feems, the Grievance lies. 'Tis thought dangerous for us to be over-rational, or too much Mafters of our-felves, in what we draw, by juft Conclufions, from Reafon only. Seldom therefore do thefe Expolitors fail of bringing the Thought of Liberty into difgrace. Even at the expence of Virtue, and of that very Idea of Goodness on which they build the Myfterys of their profitable Science, they derogate from Morals, and reverfe all true Pbilojopby; they refine on Selfikne/s, and explode Generofity ; promote a Jlavijh. Obedience in the room of voluntary Duty, and free Ser-
vice; exalt blind Ignorance for Devotion, Ch. 3 . recommend lowe Thought, decry Reafon, ex- $\longrightarrow$ n tol * Voluptuoufnefs, Wilfulnefs, Vindicativenefs, Arbitrarine/s, Vain-Glory; and even + deify thofe weak Paffions which are the Difgrace rather than Ornament of human Nature.

But fo far is it from the Nature of $\pm$ Liberty to indulge fuch Paffons as thefe, that whoever acts at any time under the power of any fingle-one, may be faid to have already provided for himfelf an abfolute Mafter. And he who lives under the power of a whole Race, (fince 'tis fcarce poffible to obey one without the other) muft of neceflity undergo the worft of Servitudes, under the moft capricious and domineering Lords.

That this is no Paradox, even the Writers for Entertainment can inform us; however others may moralize, who difcourfe or write, as they pretend, for Profit and Inftruetion. The Poets even of the wanron fort, give ample Teftimony of this Slavery and Wretchednefs of Vice. They may extol Voluptuoufnefs to the Skies, and point their Wit as fharply as they are able againft a virtuous State. But when they

[^125]
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Mifc. 5.come afterwards to pay the neceffary Tribute to their commanding Pleafures; we hear their pathetick Moans, and find the inward Difcord and Calamity of their Lives. Their Example is the beft of Precepts ; fince they conceal nothing, are fincere, and fpeak their Paffion out aloud. And 'tis in this that the very worft of Poets may juftly be prefer'd to the generality of modern Pbilofophers, or ocher formal Writers of a yet more fpecious name. The Mu= ses Pupils never fail to exprefs their Paffions, and write juft as they feel. 'Tis not, indeed, in their nature to do otherwife; whilft they indulge their Vein, and are under the power of that natural Entbufiafno which leads 'em to what is higheft in their Performance. They follow Nature. They move chiefly as the moves in 'em; without thought of difguifing her free Motions, and genuine Operations, for the fake of any Scbeme or Hypothefis, which they have form'd at leifure, and in particular narrow Views. On this account, tho at one time they quarrel perhaps with VIRTUE, for reftraining em in their forbidden Loves, they can at another time make her fufficient amends; when with indignation they complain, "That Merit is neg${ }^{66}$ lected, and their * worthlefs Rival pre${ }^{66}$ fer'd before them."

[^126]* Contrane lucrum nil valera candidum $\underbrace{\text { Ch. }}{ }^{3 .}$ Pauperis ingenium?

And thus even in common Elegiack, in Song, Ode, or Epigram, confecrated to Pleafure it-felf, we may often read the dolorous Confeffion in behalf of Virtue, and fee, at the bottom, how the Cafe ftands:

> Nam vera Voces tum demum pectore ab imo Eliciuntur.

The airy Poets, in there Fits, can, as freely as the Tragedian, condole with Virtue, and bemoan the cafe of fuffering Merit;

> Ib Oppreffor's Wrong, the proud Man's Contumely,
> The Indolence of Office, and the Spurns
> That patient Merit of the Unworthy takes.

The Poetick Chiefs may give what reafon they think fit for their Humour of reprefenting our mad Appetites (efpecially that of LOVE) under the Cape of Urchins and wanton Boys, farce out of their State of Infancy. The original Defign, and Moral of this Fiction, I am perfuaded, was to thew us, how little there was of great and beroick in the Government of thee

[^127]Vol. 3. X
Pro-

Mifc.5.Pretenders, how truly weak and cbildifit they were in themfelves, and how much lower than mere Children we then became, when we fubmitted our-felves to their blind Tutorage. There was no fear left in this Fiction the boyifh Nature fhou'd be mifconftru'd as innocent and gentle. The Storms of Paffion, fo well known in every kind, kept the tyrannick Quality of this wanton Race fufficiently in view. Nor cou'd the poetical Defcription fail to bring to mind their mifcbievous and malignont Play. But when the Image of imperious Tbreatming, and abfolute Command, was join'd to that of Ignorance, Puerility, and Folly; the Notion was compleated, of that wretched Javifh State, which modern Libertines, in conjunction with fome of a graver Cbaracter, admire, and reprefent, as the mont eligible of any.-" Happy Condition! (fays one) " Happy Life, that of the in"dulg'd Passions; might we purfue it! " - Miferable Condition! Miferable " Life, that of Reason and Virtue, "s which we are * bid purfue!"
'Tis the fame, it feems, with Men, in Morals, as in Politicks. When they have been unhappily born and bred to Slavekr , they are fo far from being fenfible of their flavifl Courfe of Life, or of that ill

[^128]Ufage,

Ufage, Indignity and Mifery they fuftain; Ch. 3. that they even admire their own Condition: and being us'd to think /bort, and carry their Viewes no further than thofe Bounds which were early prefcrib'd to 'em; they look upon Tyranny as a natural Caje, and think Mankind in a fort of dangerous and degenerate State, when under the power of Larws, and in the poffeffion of a free Government.

We may by thefe Reflections come eà fily to apprehend What Men they were who firft brought Reafon and Free-Thought under difgrace, and made the nobleft of Characters, that of a Free-Tbinker, to become invidious. 'Tis no wonder if the fame Interpreters wou'd have thofe alfo to be efteem'd free in their Lives, and Mafters of good Living, who are the leaft Mafters of themfelves, and the moft impotent in Paffion and Humour, of all their FellowCreatures. But far be it, and far furely will it ever be, from any worthy Genius, to be confenting to fuch a treacherous Language, and Abufe of Words. For my own part, I thorowly confide in the good Pewers of Reason, "That Liberty and Free" DOM fhall never, by any Artifice or "Delufion, be made to pafs with me as "frightful Sounds, or as reproachful, or "6 invidious, in any fenfe.".

I can no more allow that to be Freeliving, where unlimited Paffion, and unexamin'd Fancy govern, than I can allow that to be a Frce Government, where the mere People govern, and not the Laws. For no People in a Civil State can poffibly be free, when they are otherwife govern'd than by fuch Laws as they themfelves have conftituted, or to which they have freely given confent. Now to be releas'd from thefe, fo as to govern themfelves by each Day's Will or Fancy, and to vary on every Turn the Rule and Meafure of Government, without refpect to any antient Conftitutions or Eftablifhments, or to the ftated and fix'd Rules of Equity and Juftice ; is as certain Slavery, as it is Violence, Diftraction, and Mifery; fuch as in the Iffue muft prove the Eftablifhment of an irretrievable State of Ty'anny, and abfolute Dominion.

In the Determinations of Life, and in the Choice and Government of Actions, he alone is free who has within himfelf no Hindrance, or Controul, in acting what he himfelf, by bis beft fudgment, and moft deliberate Cboice, approves. Cou'd Vice agree poffibly with it-felf; or cou'd the vicious any way reconcile the various Judgments of their inward Compellors; they might with Juftice perhaps affert their Liberty and Independency. But whilf they
are neceffitated to follow leaft, what, in $\mathrm{Ch} 3^{3}$. their fedate hours, they moft approve; $\sim \sim$ whilft they are paffively affign'd, and made over from one Poffeffor to * another, in contrary Extremes, and to different Ends and Purpofes, of which they are themfelves wholly ignorant; 'tis evident, That the more they turn $\dagger$ their Eyes (as many times they are oblig'd) towards Virtue and a free Life, the more they mult confefs their Mifery and Subjection. They difcern their own Captivity, but not with Force and Refolution fufficient to redeem themelves, and become tbeir own. Such is the real Tragick State, as the old $\ddagger$ Tragedian reprefents it:
> —Video meliora proboque, Deteriora Jequor.

And thus the highen Spirits, and moft refractory Wills, contribute to the lowert Servitude and moft fubmiffive State. Reafon and Virtue alone can beftow Liberту. Vice is unworthy, and unhappy, on

[^129]THUS HAVE we pleaded the Caufe of Liberty in general; and vindicated, withal, our Author's particular Freedom, in taking the Perfon of a Sceptick, as he has done in this * laft Treatife, on which we have fo largely paraphras'd. We may now perhaps, in compliance with general Cufftom, jufly prefume to add fomething in defenfe of the fame kind of Freedom we ourfelves have affum'd in thefe latter Mijcellaneous Comments; fince it wou'd doubtlefs be very unreafonable and unjuft, for thofe who had fo freely play'd the Critick, to expect any thing lefs than the fame free Treatment, and thorow Criticifm in return.

As for the Style or Language us'd in thefe Comments; 'tis very different, we find; and varys in proportion with the Author commented, and with the different Characters and Perfons frequently introduc'd in the original Treatifes. So that there will undoubtedly be Scope fufficient for Cenfure and Correction.

As for the Obfervations on AntiQuity; we have in moft Paffages, ex-

[^130]REFLECTIONS.
cept the very common and obvious, pro-Ch. 3. duc'd our Vouchers and Authoritys in our $\sim \sim$ own behalf. What may be thought of our Fudgment or Senfe in the Application of thefe Authoritys, and in the Deductions and Reafonings we have form'd from fuch learned Topicks, muft be fubmitted to the Opinion of the Wife and Learned.

In Morals, of which the very Force lies in a love of Difcipline, and in a willingnefs to redrefs and rectify falfe Thought, and erring Views; we cannot but patiently wait Redrefs and amicable Cenfure from the fole competent Judges, the Wije and Good; whofe Intereft it has been our whole Endeavour to advance.

The only Subject on which we are perfectly fecure, and without fear of any juft Cenfure or Reproach, is that of Farth, and Orthodox Belief. For in the firft place, it will appear, that thro' a profound Refpect, and religious Veneration, we have forborn fo much as to name any of the facred and folemn Myfterys of * Revelation. And, in the next place, as we can with confidence declare, that we have never in any Writing, publick or private, attempted fuch high Refearches, nor have ever in Pragice acquitted our-felves otherwife than as jult Conformits to the lawful Church; fo vie . Supra, pag. 70, 71.

Mifc. 5.may, in a proper Senfe, be faid faithfully and dutifully to embrace thofe holy Myderys, even in their minuteft Particulars, and without the leaft Exception on account of their amazing Depth. And tho we are fenfible that it wou'd be no fmall hardfhip to deprive others of a liberty of examining and fearching, with due Modefty and Submiffion, into the nature of thofe Subjects; yet as for our-felves, who have not the leaft fcruple whatfoever, we pray not any fuch Grace or Favour in our behalf: being fully affur'd of our own fteddy Orthodoxy, Rew Jignation, and intire Submiffon to the truly Cbriftian and Catbolick Doctrines of our Holy Cburch, as by Lawe eftablifb'd.
${ }^{\prime}$ Tis true, indeed, that as to * Critio cal Learning, and the Examination of Originals, Texts, Glofes, various Reading's, Styles, Compofitions, Manujcripts, Compilements, Editions, Publications, and other Circumftances, fuch as are common to the Sacred Books with all other Writings and Literature; this we have confidently afferted to be a juft and lawful Study. We have even reprefented this Species of Criticifin as neceffary to the Prefervation and Purity of Scripture; that Sacred Scripture, which has been fo miraculoufly preferv'd in its fucceffive Copys and Tranfcriptions,

[^131]undes
under the Eye (as we muft needs fuppofe)Ch. 3 . of holy and learned Criticks, thro' fo many $\sim \sim$ dark Ages of Chriftianity, to there latter Times; in which Learning has been happily reviv'd.

But if this critical Liberty raifes any jealoufy againft us, we fhall beg leave of our offended Reader to lay before him our Cafe, at the very worft: That if on fuch a naked Expofition, it be found criminal, we may be abfolutely condemn'd; if otherwife, acquitted, and with the fame favour indulg'd, as others in the fame Circumfances have been before us.
$O_{N}$ this occafion therefore, we may be allow'd to borrow fomething from the Form or Manner of our Dialogue Author, and reprefent a Converfation of the fame free nature as that recited by him in his * NigbtScene; where the fuppos'd Sceptick, or Free-Thinker, delivers his Thoughts, and reigns in the Difcourfe.
'TW AS IN a more confiderable Company, and before a more numerous Audience, that not long fince, a Gentleman of fome Rank, (one who was generally efteem'd to carry a fufficient Caution and

[^132]Referve

Mifc. 5. Referve in religious Subjects of Difcourfe, as well as an apparent Deference to Religion, and in particular to the national and eftablifh'd Church) having been provok'd by an impertinent Attack of a certain violent bigotted Party, was drawn into an open and free Vindication not only of Free-Tbinking, but Free-Profefing, and Difcourjing, in Matters relating to Religion and Faith.

Some of the Company, it feems, after having made bold with him, as to what they fanfy'd to be his Principle, began to urge " The Neceffity of reducing Men to " one Profeffion and Belief." And feveral Gentlemen, even of thofe who pafs'd for moderate in their way, feem'd fo far to give into this Zealot-Opinion as to agree, "That " notwithftanding the right Method was "s not yet found, 'twas highly requifite that ${ }^{\text {sc }}$ fome way fhou'd be thought on, to re"concile Differences in Opinion ; fince fo " long as this Variety fhou'd laft, Reli"GION, they thought, cou'd never be "fuccefffully advanc'd."

To this our Gentleman, at firft, anfwer'd coldly, That "What was impofible "to be done, cou'd not, he thought, be " properly purfu'd, as neceffary to be done." But the Raillery being ill taken, he was forc'd at laft to defend himfelf the beft he cou'd,

I wele know, faid he, "That many " pious Men, feeing the Inconveniences " which the Dif-union of Perfuafions and "Opinions accidentally produces, have " thought themfelves oblig'd to ftop this " Inundation of Mifchiefs, and have made " Attempts accordingly. Some have en"deavour'd to unite thefe Fractions, by "propounding fuch a Guide, as they "were all bound to follow; hoping that " the Unity of a Guide wou'd have pro" duc'd Unity of Minds. But who this "Guide fhou'd be, after all, became " fuch a Queftion, that 'twas made part of " that Fire it-felf which was to be extin" guifh'd. Others thought of a Rule."This was to be the effectual Means of "Union! This was to do the Work, or "nothing cou'd!-But fuppofing all the "World had been agreed on this Rule, "yet the Interpretation of it was fo full "of Variety, that this alfo became part of "the Difeafe."

The Company, upon this Preamble of our Gentleman, prefs'd harder upon him than before; objecting the Authority of Holy Scripture againft him, and affirming

Mifc. 5.firming this to be of it-felf a fufficient Guide and Rule. They urg'd again and again that known Saying of a fam'd Controverfial Divine of out Church againft the Divines of another, "That the Scrip"ture, the Scripture was the Religion of "Proteftants."

To this our Gentleman, at firft, reply'd only, by defiring them to explain their word Scripture, and by inquiring into the Original of this Collection of antienter and later Tracts, which in general they comprehended under that Title: Whether it were the apocrypbal Scripture, or the more canonical? The full or the balf-authoriz'd? The doubtful, or the certain? The controverted, or uncontroverted? The fingly-read, or that of various Reading? The Text of thefe Manufcripts, or of thofe? The Tranfcripts, Copys, Titles, Catalogues of this Church and Nation, or of that other? of this Sect and Party, or of another? of thofe in one Age call'd Orthodox, and in poffeffion of Power, or of thofe who in another overthrew their Predeceffors Authority, and in their turn alfo affum'd the Guardianhhip and Power of holy Things ? For how thefe facred Records were guarded in thore Ages, might eafily, he faid, be imagin'd by any one who had the leaft Infight into the Hiftory of thofe Times which
which we call'd primitive, and the C н A-Ch. 3 . racters of thofe Men, whom we fyl'd Fathers of the Cburch.
" It mult be confefs'd, continu'd he, "'twas a ftrange Induftry and unlucky Di" ligence which was us'd, in this refpect, " by thefe Ecclefiafical Fore-Fathers. "Of all thofe Herefys which gave them " Imployment, we have abfolutely no Re" cord, or Monument, but what them"felves who were Adverfarys have tranf" mitted to us; and we know that Adver"farys, efpecially fuch who obferve all " Opportunitys to difcredit both the Per"fons and Doctrines of their Enemys, are " not always the beft Recorders or Wit" neffes of fuch Tranfactions." We fee it (continu'd he, in a very emphatical, bue fomewhat embarafs'd Style) "We fee it " now in this very Age, in the prefent Dif" temperatures, that Partys are no good " Regifters of the Actions of the adverfe "Side : And if we cannot be confident of " the Truth of a Story now, (now, I fay, " that it is poffible for any Man, e/pecially " for the interefted Adverfary, to difcover " the Impofture) it is far more unlikely, " that After-Ages fhou'd know any other "Truth than fuch as ferves the ends of the "Reprefenters."

Our Gentleman by there Expreflions had already given confiderable Offenfe to his Zealot-Auditors. They ply'd him fatter with paffionate Reproaches, than with Ar* guments or rational Anfwers. This, however, fervid only to animate him the more, and made him proceed the more boldly, with the fame affum'd Formality, and air of Declamation, in his general Criticism e of Holy Literature.
"There are, fail he, innumerable "Places that contain (no doubt) great " Myfterys, but fo wrap'd in Clouds, or " hid in Umbrages, fo heighten'd with " Expreffions, or fo cover'd with Allego" rys and Garments of Rhetorick ; fo pro" found in the matter, or fo alter'd and " made intricate in the manner; that they " may feem to have been left as Trials of " our Induftry, and as Occafons and Oppor"tunitys for the exercife of mutual Cha" rity and Toleration, rather than as the "Repofitorys of Faith, and Furniture of "Creeds. For when there are found in the "Explications of there Writings, fo many " Commentarys; fo many Senfes and In" terpretations; fo many Volumes in all "Ages, and all like Mans Faces, no one " exactly like another: either this Diff"rence is abfolutely no fault at all ; or if " it be, it is excufable. There are, be ${ }^{66} \mathrm{fides}_{3}$
${ }^{\text {se }}$ fides, fo many thoufands of Copys that Ch. 3. «c were writ by Perfons of feveral Interefts $\sim \sim$ " and Perjuafions, fuch different Under" ftandings and Tempers, fuch diftinct Abi" litys and Weakneffes, that 'tis no wonder ${ }^{6}$ there is fo great variety of Readings: " whole Verfes in one, that are not " in another: whole Books admitted " by one Church or Communion, which " are rejected by another: and whole Sto" rys and Relations admitted by fome Fa" thers, and rejected by otbers.-I confi" der withal, that there have been many "Defigns and Views in expounding thefe "Writings; many Senfes in which they " are expounded: and when the Gramma"t tical Senfe is found out, we are many "times never the nearer. Now there be" ing fuch variety of Senfes in Scripture, " and but few Places fo mark'd out, as " not to be capable of more than one ; if " Men will write Commentarys by Fancy, " what infallible Criterion will be left to " judg of the certain Senfe of fuch Places " as have been the matter of Queftion? " I confider again, that there are indeed. "divers Places in there facred Volumes, "containing in them Myfterys and Quef" tions of great Concernment; yet fuch " is the Fabrick and Conftitution of the "Whole, that there is no certain Mark " to determine whether the Senfe of thefe "Paffages fhou'd be taken as literal or fo- " rure of the thing to determine the Senfe " or Meaning: but it muft be gotten out " as it can. And therefore "tis unreafo" nably requir'd, That what is of it-felf "ambiguous, hhou'd be undeftood in its "own prime Senfe and Intention, under "the pain of either a Sin, or an Anathe~ "ma. Very wife Men, even the antient "Fatbers, have expounded things allegori"cally; when they fhou'd have expounded them literolly. Others expound things " literally, when they flou'd underftand " them in Allegory. If fuch great Spirits cou'd be deceiv'd in finding out what " kind of Senfes were to be given to "Scriptures, it may well be endur'd that " we, who fit at their Feet, fhou'd be fub"" ject at leaft to equal Failure. If we " follow any $\mathrm{One}^{\text {e }}$ Tranfation, or any "One Man's Commentary, what Rule or Direction fhall we have, by which to "chufe that One aright? Or is there " any one Man, that hath trannlated per" fectly, or expounded infallibly? If we "refolve to follow any one as far only as "we like, or fanfy; we fhall then only "do wrong or right by Cbance. If we re" folve abtolutely to follow any-one, whis " ther-foever he leads, we fhall probably " come at laft, where, if we have any "Eyes left, we thall fee our-felves beo " come fufficiently ridiculous."

The

The Reader may here perhaps, by his natural Sagacity, remark a certain air of xtudy'd Difcourfe and Declamation, not fo very proper or natural in the month of a mere Gentleman, nor futable to a Company where alternate Difcourfe is carry'd on, in un-concerted Meafure, and un-premeditate Language. Something there was fo very emphatical, withal, in the delivery of thee words, by the Sceptical Gentleman ; that forme of the Company who were fill more incens'd againft him for there Expreffions, began to charge him as a Preacher of pernicious Doctrines, one who attack'd Religion in form, and carry'd his Leffons or Lectures about with him, to repeat by rote, at any time, to the Ignorant and Vulgar, in order to feduce them.
'Tais true indeed, faid he, Gentlemen! that what I have here ventur'd to repeat, is addrefs'd chiefly to thole you call Ignorant ; fuch, I mean, as being otherwife engag'd in the World, have had little time perhaps to beftow upon Inquiry into Divinity-Matters. As for you, Gentlemen! in particular, who are fo much difpleas'd with my Freedom; I am well affur'd, you are in effect to able and knowing, that the Truth of every Affertion I have advanced is fufficiently underftood and acknowledg'd by you; however it

Vol. 3. ters from fuch Perfons as you are pleas'd to Ayle the Vulgar.
> 'Tis true, withal, Gentemen! continu'd he, I will confers to you, That the words you have heard repeated, are not my own. They are no other than what have been publickly and folemnly deliver'd, even by * one of the Epifcopal Order, a celebrated Cburcbman, and one of the bigheft fort; as appears by his many devo-

[^133]devotional Works, which carry the Rites, Ch. 3 . Ceremonys and Pomp of Worhip, with the Honour and Dignity of the Prieftly and Epifcopal Order, to the highert Degree. In effect, we fee the Reverend Doctor's Treatifes ftanding, as it were, in the Front of this Order of Authors, and
" rity of Reafon, and Analogy of Faith, are all dubious, " uncertain, and very fallible; he that is the wifelt, and by "confequence the likelieft to expound trueft, in all proba" bility of Reafon, will be very far from Confidence; be"caufe every one of thefe, and many more, are like fo ma-
" ny degrees of Improbability and Incertainty, all depreffing
"s our Certainty of finding out Truth, in fuch Myiterys, and
" amidft fo many Difficultys. And therefore a wife Man
" that confiders this, wou'd not willingly be prefcrib'd to
"" by others; for it is beft every Man fhou'd be left in that
" liberty, from which no Man can juflly take him, unlefs he "con'd fecure him from Error." The Reverend Prelate had but a few Pages before (viz. pag. 427.) acknowledg'd, indeed, "That we had an Apoftolical Warrant to "contend earnefly for the Faith. But then," (Fays the good Bifhop, very candidly and ingenuoufly) "As thefe Things " recede farther from the Foundation, our Certainty is the
" lefs.-And therefore it were very fit that our Confs" dence fhou'd be according to our Evidence, and our Zeal "according to our Confidence." He adds, pag. 507. " All thefe Difputes concerning Tradition, Councils, Fathers, " $\varepsilon{ }^{\circ} c$. are not Arguments againft or befides Reafon, but Con"teflations and Pretenfes of the beft Arguments, and the " moft certain Satisfaction of our Reafon. But then all thefe' " coming into queftion, fubmit themfelves to Reafon, that " is, to be judg'd by human Underftanding, upon the beft " Grounds and Information it can receive. So that Scrip" ture, 'Tradition, Councils, and Fathers, are the Evidence in " a Queftion, but Reafon is the Judg: That is, we being " the Perfons that are to be perfuaded, we muff fee that we " be perfuaded reafonably; and it is unreafonable to affent "to a leffer Evidence, when a greater and clearer is pro" pounded: but of that every Man for himfelf is to take " cognizance, if he be able to judg; if he be not, he is not " bound under the tie of neceffity to know any thing of it."

Mifc.5.as the foremoft of thofe Good-Books us'e by the politeft and moft refin'd Devotees of either Sex. They maintain the principal Place in the Study of almoft every elegant and high Divine. They fand in Folio's and other Volumes, adorn'd with variety of Pictures, Gildings, and other Decorations, on the advanc'd Shelves or Glafs-Cupboards of the Ladys Clofets. They are in ufe at all Seafons, and for all Places, as well for Church-Service as Clo-fet-Preparation; and, in fhort, may vie with any devotional Books in Briti/b Chrifendom. And for the Life and Character of the Man himfelf ; I leave it to you, Gentlemen, (you, I mean, of the Zealot-kind) to except againft it, if you think proper. 'Tis your Manner, I know, and what you never fail to have recourfe to, when any Authority is produc'd againf you. Perfonal Reflection is always feafonable, and at hand, on fuch an occafion. No matter what Virtue, Honefty, or Sanctity may lie in the Character of the Perfon cited. No matter tho he be ever fo much, in other refpects, of your own Party, and devoted to your Intereft. If he has indifcreetly fpoken fome Home. Truth, or difcover'd fome Secret which ftrikes at the temporal Interefts of certain fpiritual Societys; he is quickly doom'd to Calumny and Defamation.

## REFLECTIONS.

I shall try this Experiment, however, once more, (continu'd our Gentleman) and as a Conclufion to this Difcourfe, will venture to produce to you a further Authority of the fame kind. You Shall have it before you, in the exact Phrafe and Words of the great Author, in his theological Capacity; fince I have now no further occafion to conceal my Citations, and accommodate them to the more familiar Style and Language of Converfaction.

Our excellent * Archbihop, and late Father of our Church, when exprefly treating that very Subject of a Rule in matters of Belief, in oppofition to Mr.S... and Mr. R..... his Romifh. Antagonifts, flews plainly how great a flame it is, for us Proteßants at leaft, (whatever the Cafe may be with Romanies) to difallow Difference of Opinions, and forbid private Examination, and Search into matters of ancient Record, and fcriptural TarAdition; when, at the fame time, we have no pretence to oral or verbal; no Claim to any absolute fuperior Judge, or decifive Judgment in the Cafe; no Polity, Church, or Community; no particular

[^134]Mif. 5. Man, ot number of Men, who are not, even by our own Confeffion, plainly fallible, and fubject to Error and Mijtake.
"The Proteftants" (fays his Grace, fpeaking in the Perfon of Mr. S... and the Romanifs) "cannot know bow many "the Books of Scripture ought to be; " and Which of the many controverted "ones may be fecurely put in that Cata"s logue; Which not.—But I fhall tell " him, replies his Grace, That we know "s that juft fo many ought to be receiv'd "s as un-controverted Books, concerning "which it cannot be fhewn there was ever "any Cointroverfy." It was not incumbent perhaps on my Lord Archbifhop to help Mir. S..... fo far in his Objection, as to add, That in reality the burning, fuppreffing, and interpolating Method, fo early in fathion, and fo tightly practis'd on the Epilles, Comments, Hiforys, and Writings of the Orthodox and Hereticks of old, made it impofible to fay with any kind of Affurance," What Books, Copys, or Tran"foripts thofe were, concerning wobich there "e was never any Controverfy at all." This indeed wou'd be a Point not fo eafily to be demonitrated. But his Grace proceeds, in fhewing the Weaknefs of the Romifh Pillar, Tradition. "For it muft ei" ther, fays he, acknowledg fome Books "\% to have been controverted, or not. If
" not, why doth he make a Suppofition Ch. 3 .

[^135]Mifc. 5." of Oral Tradicion. And fo long as "tis " better, no matter tho it be not call'd "Infallible."-

Thus the free and generous Archbihop. For, indeed, what greater Generofity is there, than in owning Truth frankly and openly, even where the greateft Advantages may be taken by an Adverfary? Accordingly, our woothy Archbifhop, fpeaking again immediately in the Perfon of his Adverfary, "The Prote" ftants, fays * he, cannot know that the "very Original, or a perfectly true Coppy " of thefe Books, hath been preferv'd. " Nor is it neceffary, replies the Arch" bifhop, that they fhou'd know either " of thefe. It is fufficient that they know " that thofe Copys which they have, are " not materially corrupted.- But how "do the Church of Rome know that they " have perfectly true Copys of the Scrip" tures in the original Languages? They "do not pretend to know this. The " learned Men of that Church acknow" ledg the various Readings as well as we, " and do not pretend to know, otherwife "than by probable Conjecture, (as we alfo " may do) Which of thofe Readings is "the true-one 中."

And

* Pag. 678.
$\dagger$ The Reader perhaps may find it worth while to read after this, what the Archbifhop reprefents (pag. $7_{1} 6, \mathcal{E}^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$.) of

And thus, continu'd our Lay-Gentleman, I have finifh'd my Quotations, which I have been neceffitated to bring in my own Defenfe; to prove to you That I have afferted nothing on this Head of Religion, Faith, or the Sacred Mylterys, which has not been juftify'd and confirm'd by the mont celebrated Cburch-Mcn and reflected Divines. You may now proceed in your Invectives; beftowing as free Language of that kind, as your Charity and Breeding will permit. And You, Reverend Sirs! who have affum'd a Character which fens
the plaufible Introduction of the groffett Article of Belief, in the times when the Habit of making Creeds came in faflion. And accordingly it may be underttood, of what effect the dogmatizing Practice in Divinity has ever been. "We will " fuppofe then, that about the time, when univerfal Iq rio"rance, and the genuine Daughter of it, (call her Devotion or "Superfition) had over-fpread the World, and the genera " lity of People were ftrongly inclin'd to believe ftrange "things; and even the greatelt Contradictions were recon" mended to them under the notion of MYSTERYS, be" ing told by their Priefts and Guides, That the more contra"d dictious any thing is to Reafon, the greater merit there is in " believing it: I fay, let us fuppore, that in this fate of "things, one or more of the molt Eminent then in the "Church, either out of Defign, or out of fuperititious Lg" norance and Miftake of the Sene of our Saviour's Words " used in the Consecration of the Sacrament, fhou'd advance " this new Doctrine, that the words of Confecration, $\varepsilon^{\circ} c$. " * * * Such a Doctrine as this was very likely to be ad" vanc'd by the ambitious Clergy of that time, as a probable " means to draw in the People to a greater Veneration of " them. *** Nor was fuch a Doctrine less likely to take « and prevail among the People, in an Age prodigiously igno"r rant and ftrongly inclin'd to Supertition, and thereby well" prepared

Mifc. 5.you above that of the mere Gentleman, and releafes you from thofe Decorums, and conftraining Meafures of Bebaviour to which we of an inferior fort are bound; Yous may liberally deal your religious Compliments and Salutations in what Dialect you think fit; fince for my own part, neither the Names of Heterodox, Schismatick, Heretick, Sceptick, nor even Infidel, or Atheist it-felf, will in the leaft fcandalize me, whilft the Sentence comes only from your mouths. On the contrary, I rather ftrive with myfelf to fupprefs whatever Vanity might naturally arife in me, from fuch Favour beftow'd. For whatever may, in the bot-
"s prepar'd to receive the grofleft Abfurdities under the notiors "\% of Myylerys. *** Now fuppofing fuch a Doctrine as " this, fo fitted to the Humour and Temper of the Age, to " be once afferted either by chance or out of defign, it "" wou'd take like Wild-fire; efpecially if by fome one or " more who bore fway in the Church, it were but recom" mended with convenient Gravity and Solemnity. " And for the Contradictions contain'd in this Doctrine, it " was but telling the People then, (as they do in effect now) " That Contradictions ought to be no Scruple in the way of " Faith; That the more impolible any thing is, 'tis the fitter " to be believ'd; That it is not praife-worthy to believe " plain Poffibilitys, but this is the Gallantry and heroical " Power of Faith, this is the way to oblige God Almighty for " ever to us, to believe flat and downright Contradictions. ${ }^{6}$ * * * * The more abfurd and unreafonable any thing is, it " is for that very reafon the more proper matter for an Arti"cle of Faith. And if any of thefe Innovations be objec" ted againt, as contrary to former Belief and Practice, it "" is but putting forth a luity Act of Faith, and believing ano" ther Contradiction, That tho they be contrary, yet they are "the fame." Above, pag. 80, 1, 2.

REFLECTIONS.
rom, be intended me, by fuch a Treat-Ch. 3 . ment; 'tis impoffible for me to term it other than Favour ; fince there are certain Enmitys, which it will be ever efteem'd a real Honour to bave merited.

If, contrary to the Rule and Meafure of Converfation, I have drawn the Company's Attention towards me thus long, without affording them an Intermiffion, during my Recital ; they will, I hope, excufe me, the rather, becaufe they heard the other Recitals, and were Witneffes to the heavy Charge and perfonal Reflection, which without any real Provocation was made upon me in publick, by thefe Zea-lot-Gentlemen, to whom I have thus reply'd. And notwithftanding they may, after fuch Breaches of Charity as are ufual with them, prefume me equally out of Charity, on my own fide ; I will take upon me however to give them this good Advice, at parting: "That fince they " have of late been fo elated by fome "feeming Advantages, and a Profperity, "which they are ill fitted to bear; they " wou'd at leaft beware of accumula"ting too haftily thofe high Characters, "Appellations, Titles, and Enfigns of "Power, which may be Tokens, perhaps, " of what they expect hereafter, but " which, as yer, do not anfwer the real
"P Power and Authority beftow'd on them."

Misc. 5. The Garb and Countenance will be more graceful, when the Thing it-felf is fecur'd to 'em, and in their actual poffeffion. Mean while, the Anticipation of high Tiales, Honours, and nominal Dignitys, beyod the common Style and antient Ufage; tho it may be highly fafhionable at orefent, may not prove beneficial or advantageous in the end.

I wound, in particular, advife my elegant Antagonifts of this Zealot-kind; That among the many Titles they affume to themfelves, they wound be rather more flaring in that high-one of EmbassaDOR, till fuch time as they have juft Means and Foundation to join that of Plenipotentiary together with ir. For as matters ftand hitherto in our Briti/b World, neither their Commiffion from the Sovereign, nor that which they pretend from Heaven, amounts to any absolute or determining Power.

The firft holy Messengers (for That I take to be the higher apofolick Name) brought with them their proper Teftimonials, in their Lives, their Manners and Behaviour ; as well as in powerful Works, Miracles, and Signs from Heaven. And tho indeed it might well be efteem'd a Miracle in the kind, fhou'd our prefent Messengers go about to reprefent
reprefent their Predeceffors in any part of Ch. 3 . their Demeanour or Converfation; yet $\sim \sim$ there are further Miracles remaining for "em to perform, ere they can in modefty plead the Apofolick or Mefenger-Authority. For tho, in the torrent of a fublime and figurative Style, a boly Apofle may have made ufe, perhaps, of fuch a Phrafe as that of Embassy or Embassador, to exprefs the Dignity of his Errand; 'twere to be wifh'd that fome who were never fent of any Errand or Meffage at all from God bimfelf, wou'd ufe a modefter Title to exprefs their voluntary Negotiation between Us and Heaven.

I must confefs, for my own part, that I think the Notion of an Embassy from thence to be at beft fomewhat high-ftrain'd, in the metaphorical way of Speech. But certain I am, that if there be any fuch Refident/bip or Agentfbip now eftablifh'd; 'tis not immediately from God bimfelf, but thro' the Magitrate, and by the Prince or Sovereign Power here on Earth, that thefe Gentlemen-Agents are appointed, diftinguifh'd, and fet over us. They have undoubtedly a * legal Charter, and Cbaracter, legal Titles, and Precedencys, legal Habits, Coats of Arms, Colours, Badges.

[^136]But

## Miscellaneous

Mifc. 5. But they may do well to confider, That a thoufand Badges or Liverys beftow'd by Men merely, can never be fufficient to entitle 'em to the fame Authority as Theirs, who bore the immediate Teffimony and Miraculous Signs of Power, from Above. For in this cafe, there was need only of Eyes, and ordinary Senjes, to diftinguifh the Commission, and acknowledg the Embassy or Message as divine.

But allowing it ever fo certain a Truth, "That there has been a thoufand or near "two thoufand Years Succeffion in this "Commifiion of Embassy:" Where fhall we find this Commiffon to have lain? ——How has it been fupply'd ftill, or renew'd? - How often dormant? _How often divided, even in one and the fame Species of Claimants?-What Party are they, among Moderns, who by virtue of any immediate Teffimonial from Heaven are thus intitled? Where are the Let-ters-Patent? The Credentials? For thefe Chou'd, in the nature of the thing, be open, vijble, and apparent.

Acertain Indian of the Train of the Embaffador-Princes fent to us lately from fome of thofe Pagan Nations, being engag'd, one Sunday, in vifiting our Churches, and happening to ask his Interpreter, "Who
"Who the eminent Perfons were whom he Ch. 3 . " obferv'd haranguing fo long, with fuch ~~ "Authority from a high Place?" was anfwer'd, "They were Einbaficidors from " the Almighty, or (according to the "Indian Language) from the Sun." Whether the Indian took this ferioully or in raillery, did not appear. But having afterwards call'd in, as he went along, at the Chapels of fome of his BrotherEmbaffadors, of the Romilb Religion, and at fome other Chriftian Diffenting Congregations, where Matters, as he perceiv'd, were tranfacted with greater Privacy, and inferior State; he ask'd, "Whether Thefe "alfo were Embaffadors from the fame "Place." He was anfwer'd, "That " they had indeed been heretofore of the "Embaffy, and had Poffeffion of the "fame chief Places he had feen : But " they were now fucceeded there, by O" thers. If thole therefore, reply'd " the Indian, were Embaffadors from "the Sun; thefe, I take for granted, are "from the Moon."

Supposing, indeed, one had been no Pagan, but a good Cbriftian; converfant in the original Holy Scriptures, but unacquainted with the Rites, Titles, Habits and Ceremonials, of which there is no mention in thofe Writings: Might one not have inquir'd, with humble Submiffion, in-

Mifc. 5.to this Affair? Might one not have foftly, and at a diftance, apply'd for information concerning this bigh EmbASSy; and addreffing perhaps to fome inferior Officer or Livery-Man of the Train, ask'd modeftly, "How and Whence they came? Whofe "Equipage they appear'd in? At Whofe "Cbarges they were entertain'd? and by "Whofe Suffrage or Command appointed " and authoriz'd? - Is it true, pray "SIRs! that their Excellencys of the pre" fent Eftablifhment, are the fole-commif" fion'd? Or are there as many real Com"miffioners as there are Pretenders? If fo; " there can be no great danger for us, " which-ever way we apply our-felves. "We have ample Choice, and may ad" here to wobich Commission we like "beft. If there be only $\mathrm{On}_{\mathrm{N}}$ fingle "True-one; we have then, it feems, " good reafon to look about us, fearch " narrowly into the Affair, be fcrupulous " in our Choice, and (as the current Pby" fick-Bills admonifh us) beware of Coun"terfeits; fince there are fo many of thefe " abroad, with eartbly Powers, and tem"poral Commissions, to back their " Pipiritual Pretenfes." --.
'Tis to be fear'd, in good earneft, that the Difcernment of this kind will prove pretty difficult; efpecially amidft this univerfal Contention, Embroil, and Fury of religious

Challengers, thefe high Defiances of con-Ch. 3 . trary Believers, this zealous Oppofition of Commifion to Commifion; and this Din of Hell, Anatbema's, and Damnations, rais'd every where by one religious Party againft another.

So far are the pretendedly commifion'd Partys from producing their Commifion openly, or proving it from the original Record, or Court-Rolls of Heaven, that they deny us infpection into thefe very Records they plead, and refufe to fubmit their Title to human Judgment or Examination.

A Poet of our Nation infinuates indeed in their behalf, That they are fair enough in this refpect. For when the murmuring People, fpeaking by their chofen Orator, or Spokef-man, to the Prients, fays to 'em;

With Eafe you take wobat we provide with And we who your Legation muft maintain,
Find all your Tribe in the Commiffion ares And none but Heav's could fend fo large a Trains

The Apologist afterwards excufing this Boldnefs of the People, and foothing the incens'd Priefts with fairer Words, Vol. 3.

Z
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Mifc. 5. fays to'em, on a foot of Moderation, which he prefumes to be their Character :

* You with Juch Temper their Intemperance bear,
To Shero your folid Science does rely
So on it-felf, as you no Trial fear:
For Arts are weak that are of Scepticks foy.

The Poet, it feems, never dreamt of a time when the very Cointenance of Moderation thou'd be out of fathion with the Gentlemen of this Order, and the Word it-felf exploded as unworthy of their Profeffion. And, indeed, fo far are they at prefent from bearing with any Sceptick, or Inquirer, ever fo modef or difcreet, that to hear an Argument on a contrary fide to theirs, or read whatever may be writ in anfwer to their particular Affertions, is made the higheft Crime. Whilft they have among themfelves fuch Differences, and Charp Debates, about their beavenly Commission, and are even in one and the fame Community or Eftablifhment, divided into different Sects and Headflips; they will allow no particular Survey or Infpection into the Foundations of their controverted Title. They wou'd have us inferior paffive Mortals, amaz'd

[^137]Reflections.
as we are, and beholding with aftonifh-Ch. 3 . ment from afar thefe tremendous Subjects $\sim$ of Difpute, wait blindfold the Event and final Decifion of the Controverfy. Nor is it enough that we are merely pafive. 'Tis requir'd of us, That in the midft of this irreconcilable Debate concerning heavenly Authoritys and Powers, we fhou'd be as confident of the Veracity of fome one, as of the Impofture and Cheat of all the other Pretenders: and that believing firmly there is fill $A$ real Commission at the bottom, we fhou'd endure the Mifery of thefe Conflicts, and engage on one fide or the other, as we happen to have our Birth or Education; till by Fire and Sword, Execution, Mafacre, and a kind of Depopulasion of this Earth, it be * determin'd at laft amongtt us, "Which is the true Com"MISSION, exclufive of all others, and ${ }^{66}$ fuperior to the reft."

HERE our fecular Gentleman, who in the latter end of his Difcourfe had already made feveral Motions and Geftures which betoken'd a Retreat, made his final Bow in form, and quitted the Place and Company for that time; till (as he told his Auditors) he had another Op-

$$
\text { * Sutpra, pag. } 89 .
$$
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portunity,

Miscel. Reflec.
Mifc. 5. portunity, and frefh Leifure to hear, in his nturn, whatever his Antagonifs might anew object to him, in a Manner more favourable and moderate; or, if they fo approv'd, in the fame Temper, and with the tame Zeal as they had done before.

Treatise VII.
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$$

A Notion of the Hiforical Draught or Tablature

OF THE

Judgment of Hercules,
According to Prodicus, Lib. II. Xen. de Mem. Soc.

## With a Letter concerning DESIGN.

[^138]Printed firt in the Year M.DCC.XIII.
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## Judgment of Hercules.

## INTRODUGTION.

(i.) EFORE we enter on the Examination of our Hiftorical Sketch, it may be proper to remark, that by the word $\mathcal{T}_{a}$ blature (for which we have yet no name in Englijh, befides the general one of Pic= ture) we denote, according to the original word Tabula, a Work not only diftinct from a mere Portraiture, but from all thofe wilder forts of Painting which

$$
\text { Vol. } 3 .
$$
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are
are in a manner abfolute, and independent; fuch as the Paintings in Frefco upon the Walls, the Cielings, the Stair-Cafes, the Cupolo's, and other remarkable Places either of Churches or Palaces.
(2.) Accordingly we are to underftand, that it is not merely the Shape or Dimenfion of a Cloth, or Board, which denominates the Piece or Tablature; fince a Work of this kind may be compos'd of any colour'd Subftance, as it may of any Form; whether fquare, oval or round. But 'tis then that in Painting we may give to any particular Work the Name of Tablature, when the Work is in reality " a Sin"gle Piece, comprehended in one Vierw, ${ }^{66}$ and form'd according to one fingle In${ }^{66}$ telligence, Meaning, or Defign; which "conftitutes a real Whole, by a mutual " and neceffary Relation of its Parts, the "f fame as of the Members in a natural " Body." So that one may fay of a Picture compos'd of any number of Figures differently rang'd, and without any regard to this Correfpondency or Union defcrib'd, That it is no more a real Piece or Tablature, than a Picture wou'd be a Man's Picture, or proper Portraiture, which reprefented on the fame Cloth, in different places, the Legs, Arms, Nofe, and Eyes of fuch a Perfon, without adjufting them according to the true Proportion, Air, and Character which belong'd to him.
(3.) This Regulation has place even in the inferior degrees of Painting; fince the mere Flower-Painter is, we fee, oblig'd to ftudy the Form of Fefons, and to make ufe of a peculiar Order, or Architecture of Vafes, Jars, Cannifters, Pedeftals, and other Inventions, which ferve as Macbines, to frame a certain proportionate Affemblage, or united Mafs ; according to the Rules of Perfpective; and with regard as well to the different thapes and fizes of his feveral Flowers, as to the harmony of Colours refulting from the whole: this being the only thing capable of rendering his Work worthy the name of a Compofition or real Piece.
(4.) So much the more, therefore, is this Regulation applicable to Hifory-Painting, where not only Men, but Manners, and human Paffions are reprefented. Here the Unity of Defign muft with more particular exactnefs be preferv'd, according to the juft Rules of poetick Art; that in the Reprefentation of any Event, or remarkable Fact, the Probability, or feeming Truth, which is the real Truth of Art, may with the higheft advantage be fupported and advanc'd: as we fhall better underftand in the Argument which follows on the hiftorical Tablature of The Fudgment of Hercules; who being young, and reZ 3
tir'd

Ch. 1. tir'd to a folitary place in order to deliberate on the Choice he was to make of the different ways of Life, was accofted (as our Hiftorian relates) by the two Goddefies, Virtue and Pleasure. 'Ti on the iffue of the Controverfy between there Two, that the Character of Hercules depends. So that we may naturally give to this Piece and Hiftory, as well the Title of The Education, as the Choice or Judgment of Hercules.

## CH A P. I.

Of the general Confitution or Or. donnance of the Tablature.
(I.) HIS Fable or Hiftory may be varioufly reprefented, according to the Order of Time:

Either in the infant when the two Goddefies, Virtue and Pleasure, accoft Hercules;

Or when they are enter'd on their Difpate ;

Or when their Dispute is already far advanc'd, and Virtue feems to gain her Cause.
(2.) According to the frt Nozion, Hercules mull of neceffity feem furpriz'd
furpriz'd on the firft appearance of fuch Ch. I. miraculous Forms. He admires, he con- $\sim \sim$ templates; but is not yet ingag'd or interefted. According to the fecond Notion, he is interefted, divided, and in doubt. According to the third, he is wrought, agitated, and torn by contrary Paffions. 'Tis the laft Effort of the vitious one, friving for poffeffion over him. He agonizes, and with all his Strength of Reafon endeavours to overcome himfelf :
(borat.
Et premitur ratione animus, vincique la-
(3.) Of thefe different Periods of Time, the latter has been chofen; as being the only one of the three, which can well ferve to exprefs the grand Event, or confequent Refolution of Hercules, and the Cboice he actually made of a Life full of Toil and Hardhip, under the conduct of VirTUE, for the deliverance of Mankind from Tyranny and Oppreffion. And 'tis to fuch a Piece, or Tablature, as reprefents this Iffue of the Balance, in our pondering Hero, that we may juftly give the Title of the Decifion or Fudyment of Hercules.
(4.) The fame Hiftory may be reprefented yet according to a fourth Date or Period: as at the time when Hercules is intirely won by Virtue. But then the figns of this refolute Determination reign$Z_{4}$ ing

Ch. 1. ing abfolutely in the Attitude, and Air of our young Hero ; there wou'd be no room left to reprefent his Agony, or inward Conflict, which indeed makes the principal Action bere; as it wou'd do in a Poem, were this Subject to be treated by a good Poet. Nor wou'd there be any more room left in this cafe, either for the perfuafive Rhetorick of Virtue, who muft have already ended her Difcourfe, or for the infinuating Addrefs of Pleasure, who having loft her Caufe, muft neceffarily appear difpleas'd, or out of humour: a Circumftance which wou'd no way fute her Character.
(5.) In the original Story or Fable of this Adventure of our young Hercules, 'tis particularly noted, that PleaSURE, advancing haftily before VIRTUE, began her Plea, and was heard with prevention; as being firft in turn. And as this Fable is wholly philofopbical and moral, this Circumftance in particular is to be confider'd as effential.
(6.) In this third Period therefore of our Hiftory (dividing it, as we have done, into four fucceffive Dates or Points of Time) Hercules being Auditor, and attentive, fpeaks not. Pleasure has fpoken. Virtue is ftill fpeaking. She is about the middle, or towards the end of
her Difcourfe; in the place where, accord-Ch. 1. ing to juft Rhetorick, the higheft Tone of $\sim$ Voice and ftrongeft Action are employ'd.
(7.) 'Tis evident, that every Mafter in Painting, when he has made choice of the determinate Date or Point of Time, according to which he wou'd reprefent his Hiftory, is afterwards debar'd the taking advantage from any other Action than what is immediately prefent, and belonging to that fingle Inftant he defcribes. For if he paffes the prefent only for a moment, he may as well pafs it for many years. And by this reckoning he may with as good right repeat the fame Figure feveral times over, and in one and the fame Picture reprefent Herculesin his Cradle, ftruggling with the Serpents; and the fame Hercules of full Age, fighting with the Hydra, with Anteus, and with Cerberus: which wou'd prove a mere confus'd Heap, or Knot of Pieces, and not a fingle intire Piece, or Tablature, of the hiftorical kind.
(8.) It may however be allowable, on fome occafions, to make ufe of certain enigmatical or emblematical Devifes, to reprefent a future Time: as when HercuLes, yet a mere Boy, is feen holding a fmall Club, or wearing the Skin of a young Lion. For fo we often find him in the

Ch. i. the beft Antiques. And tho Hiftory had never related of Hercules, that being yet very young, he kill'd a Lion with his own hand; this Reprefentation of him wou'd neverthelefs be intirely conformable to poetick Truth; which not only admits, but neceffarily prefuppofes Propbecy or Prognoftication, with regard to the Actions, and Lives of Heroes and Great Men. Befides that as to our Subject, in particular, the natural Genius of Hercules, even in his tendereft Youth, might alone anfwer for his handling fuch Arms as thefe, and bearing, as it were in play, thefe early tokens of the future Hero.
(9.) To preferve therefore a juft Conformity with biforical Truth, and with the Unity of Time and AEtion, there remains no other way by which we can poffibly give a hint of any thing future, or call to mind any thing paft, than by fetting in view fuch Paffages or Events as have actually fubfinted, or according to Nature might well fublift, or happen together in one and the fame inftant. And this is what we may properly call The Rule of Confiftency.
(IO.) How is it therefore poffible, fays one, to exprefs a Change of Paffion in any Subject, fince this Change is made by Succeffion; and that in this cafe the Paffion which is underfood as prefent, will require
quire a Difpofition of Body and Features Ch. i. wholly different from the Paffion which is over, and paft? To this we anfwer, That notwithftanding the Afcendency or Reign of the principal and immediate Paffion, the Artift has power to leave fill in his Subject the Tracts or Footfteps of its Predeceffor: fo as to let us behold not only a rifing Paffion together with a declining one; but, what is more, a ftrong and determinate Paffion, with its contrary already difcharg'd and banifh'd. As for inftance, when the plain Tracts of Tears new fallen, with other frefh tokens of Mourning and Dejection, remain ftill in a Perfon newly tranfported with Joy at the fight of a Relation or Friend, who the moment before had been lamented as one deceas'd or loft.
(iI.) Again, by the fame means which are employ'd to call to mind the Paft, we may anticipate the Future: as wou'd be feen in the cafe of an able Painter, who fhou'd undertake to paint this Hiftory of Hercules according to the third Date or Period of Time propos'd for our hiftorical Tablature. For in this momentary Turn of Action, Hercules remaining ftill in a fituation expreffive of Sufpenfe and Doubt, wou'd difcover neverthelefs that the Strength of this inward Conflict was over, and that Victory began now to declare her-felf in favour of Virtue. This

Tranfition,

Ch. I. Tranfition, which feems at firft fo myfterious a Performance, will be eafily comprehended, if one confiders, That the Body, which moves much flower than the Mind, is eafily out-ftrip'd by this latter; and that the Mind on a fudden turning itfelf fome new way, the nearer fituated and more fprightly parts of the Body (fuch as the Eyes, and Mufcles about the Mouth and Forehead) taking the alarm, and moving in an inftant, may leave the heavier and more diftant Parts to adjuft themfelves, and change their Attitude fome moments after.
(12.) This different Operation may be diftinguifh'd by the names of Anticipation and Repeal.
(I3.) If by any other method an Artift chou'd pretend to introduce into this Piece any portion of Time, future or paft, he muft either fin directly againft the Law of Trutb and Credibility, in reprefenting things contrary and incompatible; or againt that Law of Unity and Simplicity of Defign, which conftitutes the very Being of his Work. This particularly fhews it-felf in a Picture, when one is neceflarily left in doubt, and unable to determine readily, Which of the diftinct fucceffive parts of the Hiftory or Action is that very-one reprerented in the Defign. For even here the cafe
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cafe is the fame as in the other Circumftan- Ch . i. ces of Poetry and Painting: " That what $\sim \sim$ " is principal or chief, fhou'd immediate" ly fhew it-felf, without leaving the Mind " in any uncertainty."
(14.) According to this Rule of the Unity of Time, if one Chou'd ask an Artift, who had painted this Hiftory of The Fudgment of Hercules, " * Which "6 of thefe four Periods or Dates of Time ${ }^{66}$ above propos'd he intended in his Pic" ture to reprefent;" and it fhou'd happen that he cou'd not readily anfwer, 'Twas this, or that: It wou'd appear plainly he had never form'd a real Notion of his Workmanihip, or of the Hiftory he intended to reprefent. So that when he had executed even to a Miracle all thofe other Beautys requifite in a Piece, and had fail'd in this fingle one, he wou'd from hence

[^139]Ch. 2. alone be prov'd to be in truth no Hifory: Painter, or Artift in the kind, who under ftood not fo much as how to form the real Defign of a biforical Piece.

## C H A P. II.

## Of the Firft or Principal Figure.

(土.) O apply therefore what has beeri faid above to our immediate Defign or Tablature in hand; we may obferve, in the firt place, with regard to Hercù Les $\dot{s}$, (the firft or principal Figure of our Piece) that being plac'd in the middle, between the two Goddeffes, he fhou'd by a skiilful Mafter be fo drawn, as even fetting afide the Air and Features of the Face; it fhou'd appear by the very Turn, or Pofition of the Body alone, that this young Hero had not wholly quitted the balancing or pondering part. For in the manner of his turn towards the worthier of thefe Goddeffes, he fhou'd by no means appear fo averfe or feparate from the other, as not to fuffer it to be conceiv'd of him, that he had ever any inclination for her, or had ever hearken'd to her Voice. On the contrary, there ought to be fome hopes yet remaining for this latter Goddefs PleaSURe, and fome regret apparent in Her-

CLLES.
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cules. Otherwife we hou'd pafs imme-Ch. 2. diately from the third to the fourth Period; or at leaft confound one with the other.
(2.) Hercules, in this Agony defcrib'd, may appear either fitting, or ftanding: tho it be more according to probability for him to appear ftanding; in regard to the prefence of the two Goddeffes, and by reafon the cafe is far from being the fame bere as in The Fudgment of PARIs; where the interefted Goddeffes plead their Caufe before their Judg. Here the Intereft of Hercules himfelf is at Itake. 'Tis bis own Caufe which is trying. He is in this refpect not fo much the $\mathcal{F u d g}$, as he is in reality the Party judg'd.
(3.) The fuperior and commanding Paftion of Hercules may be exprefs'd either by a ftrong Admiration, or by an Admiration which holds chiefly of Love.
——Ingenti perculfus amore.
(4.) If the latter be us'd, then the reluctant Paffion, which is not yet wholly overcome, may fhew it-felf in Pity and Tendernefs, mov'd in our Hero by the thought of thofe Pleafures and Companions of his Youth, which he is going for ever to abandon: And in this fenfe HercuLes may look eithar on the one or the other

Ch. 2. other of the Goddefles, with this diffes rence; That if he looks on Pleafure, it mou'd be faintly, and as turning his Eyes back with Pity; having fill his Action and Gefture turn'd the other way towards Virtue. If, on the contrary, he looks on Vir tue; it ought to be earneftly, and with extreme attention, having fome part of the Action of his Body, inclining ftill towards Pleafure, and difcovering by certain Features of Concern and Pity, intermix'd with the commanding or conquering Paffion, that the Decifion he is about to make in $\mathrm{fa}=$ vour of Virtue, coft him not a little.
(5.) $I_{F}$ it be thought fit rather to make ufe of Admiration, merely to exprefs the commanding Paffion of Hercuies: then the reluctant-one may difcover it-felf in a kind of Horror, at the thought of the Toil and Labour, to be fuftain'd in the rough rocky way apparent on the fide of Virtue。
(6.) Again, Hercules may be reprefented as looking neither towards Virtue nor Pleasure, but as turning his Eyes either towards the mountainous rocky Way pointed out to him by Virtue, or towards the flowry Way of the Vale and Meadows, recommended to him by Pleasure. And to thefe dife ferent Attitudes may be apply'd the fame Rules
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Rules for the Expreffion of the Turn or Ch. 2. Balance of $\mathfrak{Y} u d g m e n t$ in our penfive Hero.
(7.) Whatever may be the manner chofen for the deigning of this Figure of Hercules, according to that part of the Hiftory in which we have taken him ; 'is certain he chou'd be fo drawn, as neither by the opening of his mouth, or by any other fign, to leave it in the leaf dubious whother he is freaking or filent. For 'tic abfolately requifite that Silence hound be dittinctly characteriz'd in Hercules, noe only as the natural effect of his ftrict Atention, and the little leifure he has from what paffes at this time within his breaft; but in order withal to give that appearance of Majesty and Superiority becoming the Perfon and Character of pleading Virtue; who by her Eloquence and other Charms has ere this made her-felf miftrefs of the Heart of our enamour'd Hero:

* -Pendetque iterum narrantis ob ore.

This Image of the Sublime in the Difcourfe and Manner of Virtue, would be utterly loft, if in the infant that the employ'd the greateft Force of Action, the fhou'd appear to be interrupted by the illtim'd Speech, Reply, or Utterance of her Auditor. Such a Defign or Reprefentation as this, wou'd prove contrary to Order, * Virg. En. Lib. iv. er. 79.

$$
\text { Vol. } 3 . \quad A=
$$

## The JUDGMENT

Ch. 3. contrary to the Hiftory, and to the Decorum, or Decency of Manners. Nor can one well avoid taking notice here, of that general Absurdity committed by many of the efteem'd great Matters in Painting ; who in one and the fame Company, or Affeebly of Perfons jointly employ'd, and united according to the Hiftory, in one dingle or common Action, reprefent to us not only two or three, but Several, and fometimes all freaking at once. Which must naturally have the fame effect on the Eye, as fuch a Converfation wou'd have upon the Ear, were we in reality to hear it.

## CH A P. III.

 Of the Second Figure.(1.) FTER what has been faid on the Subject of Hercules, it appears plainly what the Attitude mut be of our fecond Figure, Virtue; who, as we have taken her in this particular Period of our Hiftory, must of neceffity be Speaking with all the Force of Action, fuch as wou'd appear in an excellent Orator, when at the height, and in the moft affecting part of his Dilcourfe.
(2.) She ought therefore to be drawn fading ; fince 'ti contrary to all probable
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Appearance, and even to Nature it-felf, Ch. 3. that in the very Heat and higheft Tranfport of Speech, the Speaker fhou'd be feen fitting, or in any Pofture which might express Repose.
(3.) She may be babied either as an Amazon, with the Helmet, Lance, and in the Robe or Weft of Pallas; or as any other of the Virtues, Goddeffes, or Heroines, with the plain original Crown, without Rays, according to genuine Antiquity. Our Hiftory makes no mention of a Helmet, or any other Armour of Viretue. It gives us only to underftand, that The was crees d neither negligently, nor with much study or ornament. If we follow this latter method, we need give her only in her hand the Imperial or * Magifterial Sword; which is her true characteritick Mark, and wou'd fufficiently diftinguifh her, without the Helmet, Lance, or other military Habit. And in this manner, the oppofition between her-felf and her Rival would be fill more beautiful and regular. - "But this Beauty, fays one, would be "difcoverable only by the Learned." Perhaps fo. But then again, there wound be no loft for others: fince no-one wou'd find this Piece the left intelligible on the account of this Regulation. On the contrary,

[^140]Ch. 3. one who chanced to know little of Antiquite in general, or of this Hiftory in particular, wound be fill further to reek, if upon freeing an armed Woman in the Piece, he hound reprefent to himfelf either a Pallas, a Bellona, or any other warlike Form, or Deity of the female kind.
(4.) As for the Shape, Countenance, or Perfon of Virtue; that which is ufually given to Pallas may fitly ferve as a Model for this Dame; as on the other fide, that which is given to Venus may ferve in the fame manner for her Rival. The Hiftorian whom we follow, reprefents Vartue to us as a Lady of a goodly Form, tall and majeftick. And by what he relates of her, he gives us fufficiently to underftand, that tho the was neither lean, nor of a tann'd Complexion, the mut have difcover'd however, by the Substance and Colour of her Flefh, that the was fufficiently accuftom'd to exercife. Pleasure, on the other hand, by an exact Oppofition, is reprefented in better cafe, and of a Softnets of Complexion; which fpeaks her Manners, and gives her a middle Character between the Perfon of a $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ENUS}}$, and that of Bacchinal Nymph.
(5.) As for the Pofition, or Attitude of Virtue; tho in a hiftorical Piece, fuch as ours is defign'd, 'twou'd on no account
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be proper to have immediate recourfe to Ch .3 . the way of Emblem; one might, on this occafion, endeavour nevertheless by forme artifice to give our Figure, as much as poffible, the refemblance of the fame Godde $/ \mathrm{s}$, as the is feel on Medals, and other antient emblematic Pieces of like nature. In this view, the fhou'd be fo defign'd, as to flan firm with her full poife upon one foot, having the other a little advanced, and rais'd on a broken piece of ground or rock, instead of the Helmet or little Globe on which we fee her ufually retting her foot, as triumphant, in thole Pieces of the emblematick kind. A particular advantage of this Attitude, fo judicioufly affign'd to Virtue by ancient Mafters, is, that it express as well her afpiring Effort, or Afcent towards the Stars and Heaven, as her Victory and Superiority over Fortune and the World. For fo the Poets have, of old, defcrib'd her.
> * - Negatâ tentat ter vial.

> 中 Wirtutijque siam deferit arduc.

And in our Piece particularly, where the arduous and rocky way of VIRTUE requires to be emphatically reprefented; the afcending Pofture of this Figure, with one Foot advanced, in a fort of climbing Action, over

[^141]Aa 3
the the rough and thorny Ground, muff of neceffity, if well executed, create a due effect, and add to the Sublime of this * antrent Poetick Work.
(6.) As for the Hands or Arms, which in real Oratory, and during the ftrength of Elocution, muff of neceffity be active; 'xis plain in respect of our Goddefs, that the Arm in particular which the has free to herfelf, and is neither incumber'd with Lance or Sword, fhou'd be employ'd another way, and come in, to fecond the Difcourfe, and accompany it, with a juft Emphafis and Action. Accordingly, Virtue would then be fee with this Hand, turn'd either ${ }^{2}$ pearls to the rocky Way marked out by her with approbation ; or to the Sky, or Stars, in the fame fublime fenfe; or downwards to the flowery Way and Vale, as in a detefting manner, and with abhorrence of what paffes there; or lat of all (in a dirdainful fence, and with the fame appearance of Deteftation) again Pleasure herfell. Each Manner wou'd have its peculiar

[^142]
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advantage. And the beft Profit fon'd be Ch. 3 . made of this Arm and Hand at libercy, to $\sim \sim$ exprefs either the Difapprobation or the $A p$ plaufe propos'd. It might prove, however, a confiderable advantage to our Figure of Virtue, if holding the Lance, or Imperial Sword, flightly, with one of her Hands ftretch'd downwards, fhe cou'd, by that very Hand and Action, be made to exprefs the latter meaning; opening for that purpofe fome of the lower Fingers of this Hand, in a refufing or repelling manner ; whilft with the other Arm and Hand at liberty, fhe fhou'd exprefs as well the former meaning, and point out to Hercules the way which leads to Honour, and the juft Glory of heroick Actions.
(7.) From all thefe Circumftances of Hiftory, and Action, accompanying this important Figure, the difficulty of the Defign will fufficiently appear, to thofe who carry their Judgment beyond the mere Form, and are able to confider the Character of the Paffion to which it is fubjected. For where a real Character is mark'd, and the invard Form peculiarly defcrib'd, 'tis neceflary the outward fhou'd give place. Whoever hou'd expect to fee our Figure of Virtue, in the exact Mein of a fine Talker, curious in her Choice of Action, and forming it according to the ufual Decorum, and regular Movement of one of the A a 4 fair

Ch. 3. fair Lady of our Age, wound certainly be far wide of the Thought and Genius of this Piece. Such fudy'd Action, and artificial Gefture, may be allow'd to the Actors and Actrices of the Stage. But the good Painter mut come a little nearer to Truth, and take care that his Action be not theatrical, or at fecond band; but original, and drawn from Nature ber-felf. Now alto in the ordinary Tenour of Difcourfe, the Action of the Party nigh be allowed to appear fo far govern'd and composed by Art, as to retain that regular Contrafte, and nice Balance of Movement, which Painters are apt to admire as the chief Grace of Figures; yet in this particular cafe, where the natural Eagernefs of Debate, fupported by a throw Antipathy and Animofity, is join'd to a fort of entbuffafick Agitation incident to our prophetick Dame, there can be little of that fathionable Main, or genteel Air admisted. The Painter who, in foch a Piece as we defcribe, is bound to preferve the heroics Style, will doubtless beware of reprefenting his Heroine as a mere Scold. Yet this is certain, That it were better for him to expose himself to the Meannefs of fuck a Fancy, and paint his Lady in a high Rant, according to the common Weakness of the Sex, than to engage in the Emberlifhment of the mere Form ; and, forgetting the Character of Severity and Reprimand belonging to the illuftrious Rival, prefent
her to us a fair fpecious Perfonage, free of Ch. 4 . Emotion, and without the leaft Bent or $\sim \sim$ Movement, which hou'd exprefs the real Patbeitick of the kind.

## C H. A. IV.

## Of the Third Figure.

(i.) ONCERNING Pleasure what has been already remark'd in relation to the two preceding Figures. The Truth of Appearance, that of Hitory, and even the Decortm it-felf, (according to what has been explain'd above) require evidently that in this Period or Infant deferib'd, PleaSURE fhou'd be found filent. She can have no other Language allow'd her than that merely of the Eyes. And 'twou'd be a happy Management for her in the Defign, if in turning her Eyes to meet thofe of Her'c'u le's, the fhou'd find his Head and Face already turn'd fo much on the contrary fide, as to fhew it impoffible for her as yet to difcover the growing Paffion of this Hero in favour of her Rival. By this means fhe might ftill with good right retain her fond Airs of Dalliance and Courthip ; as having yet difcover'd no reafon the has to "be diflatisfy'd.

$$
\text { (2.) } \mathrm{SHE}_{\mathrm{HE}}
$$

## The Judgment

Ch. 4.
(2.) S H e may be drawn either fanding, leaning, fitting, or lying; without a Crown, or crown'd either with Rofes, or with Myrtle; according to the Painter's Fancy. And fince in this third Figure the Painter has fo great a libetry ieft him, he may make good advantage of it for the other two, to which this latter may be fubjected, as the lait in order, and of leaft confequence.
(3.) That which makes the greateft difficulty in the Difpofition or Ordonnance of this Figure Pleasure, is, that notwithftanding the fupine Air and Character of Eafe and Indolence, which Thou'd be given her, the muft retain fill fo much Life and Action, as is fufficient to exprefs her perfuafive Effort, and Manner of Indication towards her proper Paths; thofe of the flowery kind, and Vale below, whither the wou'd willingly guide our Hero's fteps. Now fhou'd this Effort be over-ftrongly exprefs'd; not only the fupine Character and Air of Indolence wou'd be loft in this Figure of Pleasure; but, what is worfe, the Figure wou'd feem to fpeak, or at leaft appear fo, as to create a double Meaning, or equivocal Senje in Painting: which wou'd deftroy what we have eftablifh'd as fundamental, concerning the abfolute Reign of Silence thro'out the reft of the Piece, in favour of Virtue, the
fole fpeaking Party at this Inftant, or third Ch. 4. Period of our Hiftory.
(4.) According to a Computation, which in this way of Reafoning might be made, of the whole Motion or Aition to be given to our Figure of Pleasure; fhe hou'd fcarce have one fifth referv'd for that which we may properly call active in her, and have already term'd her perfuafive or indicative Effort. All befides hou'd be employ'd to exprefs, if one may fay fo, her Inaction, her Supinene/s, Effeminacy, and indulgent Eafe. The Head and Body might intirely favour this latter Paffion. One Hand might be abfolutely refign'd to it ; ferving only to fupport, with much ado, the lolling lazy Body. And if the other Hand be requir'd to exprefs fome kind of Gefture or Action toward the Road of Pleafures recommended by this Dame ; the Gefture ought however to be flight and negligent, in the manner of one who has given over fpeaking, and appears weary and fpent.
(5.) For the Sbape, the Perfon, the Complexion, and what elfe may be further remark'd as to the Air and Manner of Pleasure; all this is naturally comprehended in the Oppofition, as above ftated, between Her-felf and Virtue.

CHAP。

## CH AP. V.

Of the Ornaments of the $\mathscr{P}$ iece; and chiefly of the Drapery, and Per= spective.
(I.) IS sufficiently known, how great
a liberty Painters are used to take, in the colouring of their Habits, and of other Draperys belonging to their hiftorical Pieces. If they are to paint a Roman People, they reprefent 'em in different DrefRes; tho it be certain the common People among 'em were habited very near alike, and much after the fame colour. In like manner, the Egyptians, Jews, and other ancient Nations, as we may well fuppofe, bore in this particular their refpective Likenets or Refemblance one to another; as at prefent the Spaniards, Italians, and Several other People of Europe. But fuch a Refemblance as this wou'd, in the way of Painting, produce a very untoward effect; as may eafily be conceiv'd. For this reafon the Painter makes no scruple to introduce Philofophers, and even Apofles, in various Colours, after a very extraordinary mannet. 'This here that the biforical Truth must of neceffity indeed give way to that which we call poetical, as being govern'd not fo much by Reality, as by Probability, or
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or plaufible Appearance, So that a Painter,Ch. 5 . who ufes his Privilege or Prerogative in $\sim \sim$ this refpect, ought however to do it cautioufly, and with difcretion. And when occafion requires that he fhou'd prefent us his Pbilofopbers or Apofles thus varioufly colour'd, he muft take care at leaft fo to mortify his Colours, that thefe plain poor Men may not appear, in his Piece, adorn'd. like fo many Lords or Princes of the modern Garb.
(2.) IF, on the other hand, the Painter thou'd happen to take for his Subject fome folemn Entry or Triumph, where, according to the Truth of Fact, all manner of Magnificence had without doubt been actually difplay'd, and all forts of bright and dazling Colours heap'd together and advanc'd, in emulation, one againft another; he ought on this occafion, in breach of the biforical Truth, or Truth of Fact, to do his utmoft to diminifh and reduce the exceffive Gaiety and Splendor of thofe Objects, which wou'd otherwife raife fuch a Confufion, Oppugnancy, and Riot of Colours, as wou'd to any judicious Eye appear abfolutely intolerable.
(3.) IT becomes therefore an able Painter in this, as well as in the other parts of his Workmanfhip, to have regard principally, and above all, to the Agreement or Cor-

Ch. 5. Correfpondency of things. And to that end 'tis neceflary he fhou'd form in his Mind a certain Note or Character of Unity, which being happily taken, wou'd, out of the many Colours of his Piece, produce (if one may fay fo) a particular difinct Species of an original kind: like thofe Compofitions in Mufick, where among the different Airs, (fuch as Sonatas, Entrys, or Sarabands) there are different and diftinct Species; of which we may fay in particular, as to each, "That it has its own " proper Character or Genius, peculiar to " it-felf."
(4.) Thus the Harmony of Painting requires, "That in whatever Key the " Painter begins his Piece, he ihou'd be "fure to finifh it in the fame."
(5.) This Regulation turns ois the principal Figure, or on the two or three which are eminent, in a Tablature compos'd of many. For if the Painter happens to give a certain Height or Richnefs of colouring to his principal Figure ; the reft muft in proportion neceffarily partake this Genius. But if, on the contrary, the Painter fhou'd have chanc'd to give a fofter Air, with more Gentlenefs and Simplicity of colouring, to his principal Figure; the reft muft bear a Character proportionable, and appear in an extraordinary Simplicity;
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that one and the fame Spirit may, with-Ch. 5 . out conteft, reign thro the whole of his Defign.
(6.) Our Hiftorical Draught of Hercules will afford us a very clear example in the cale. For conifdering that the Hero is to appear on this occafion retir'd and gloomy; being withal in a manner naked, and without any orher Covering than a Lion's Skin; which is it-felf of a yellow and Jusky colour ; it wou'd be really impracticable for a Painter to reprefent this principal Figure in any extraordinary brightnefs or luftre. From whence it follows, that in the other inferior Figures or fubordinate parts of the Work, the Painter muft neceflarily make ufe of fuch ftill quiet Colours, as may give to the whole Piece a Character of Solemnity and Simplicity, agreeable with it-felf. Now fhou'd our Painter honeftly go about to follow his Hiftorian, according to the literal Senfe of the Hiftory, which reprefents Virtue to us in a refplendent Robe of the pureft and moft gloffy White; 'tis evident he muft after this manner deftroy his Piece. The good Painter in this, as in all other occafions of like nature, muft do as the good Poet; who undertaking to treat fome common and known Subject, refufes however to follow ftrictly, like a mere Copyift or Tranllator, any preceding Poet or Hiftorian:

Ch. 5. rian ; but fo orders it, that his Work in it-felf becomes really new and original.

* Publica materies privati juris erit, fo Nec circa vilcn patulumque moraberis orbem;
Nec verbum verbo curabis reddere fidus Interpres.
(7.) As for what relates to the Perppective or Scene of our hiftorical Piece, it ought fo to prefent it-felf, as to make us inftantly conceive that 'tis in the Country, and in a place of Retirement, near fome Wood or Foreft, that this whole Action pafles. For 'twou'd be impertinent to bring Architecture or Buildings of whatever kind in view, as tokens of Company, Diverfion, or Affairs, in a Place purpofely chofen to denote Solitude, Thoughtfulnefs, and premeditated Retreat. Befides, that according to the Poets (our Guides and Manters in this Art) neither the Goddefles, nor other divine Forms of whatever kind, car'd ever to prefent themfelves to human Sight, elfewhere than in thefe deep Receffes. And 'tis worth obferving here, how particularly our philofophical Hiftorian affects to fpeak, by way of prevention, of the folitary place where Hercules was retir'd, and of his Thoughtfulnefs preceding this Apparition: which from thefe Circumftances may be conftru'd
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hence-forward as a mere Dream; but asCh. 5. fuch, a truly rational, and divine one.
(8.) As to the Fortrefs, Temple, or Palace of Virtue, fituated on a Mountain, after the emblematical way; as we fee reprefented in fome Pieces form'd upon this Subject; there is nothing of this kind exprefls'd by our Hiftorian. And chou'd this, or any thing of a like nature, prefent itfelf in our Defign, it wou'd fill the Mind with foreign Fancys, and myftérious Views, no way agreeable to the Tafte and Genius of this Piece. Nor is there any thing, at the fame time, on Pleasure's fide, to anfwer, by way of oppofition, to this Pa lace of Virtue; which, if exprefs'd, wou'd on this account deftroy the juft Simplicity and Correfpondency of our Wotk.
(9.) Another Reafon againft the Perfpective-part, the Arcbitecture, or other ftudy'd Ornaments of the Landskip-kind, in this particular Piece of ours, is, That in reality there being no occafion for thefe Appearances, they wou'd prove a mere Incumbrance to the Eye, and wou'd of neceffity difturb the Sight, by diverting it from that which is principal, the Hifory and Fact. Whatfoever appears in a hiftorical Defign, which is not effential to the Action, ferves only to confound the Reprefentation, and perplex the Mind: more

Vol. 3. Bb particularly, particularly, if there Epifodick parts are fo lively wrought, as to vie with the principal Subject, and contend for Precedency with the Figures and human Life. A jut Defign, or Tablature, thou'd, at firft view, difcover, What Nature it is defign'd to mitate; what Life, whether of the higher or lower kind, it aims chiefly to reprefent. The Piece muff by no means be equivocal or dubious; but muff with cafe diftinguif it-felf, either as biforical and moral, or as perspective and merely natural. If it be the latter of there Beautys, which we defire to fee delineated according to its perfaction, then the former muff give place. The bigher Life must be allay'd, and in a manner difcountenanc'd and obfcur'd; whilft the lower difplays it-felf, and is exhibited as principal. Even that, which according to a Term of Art we commonly call Still-Iife, and is in reality of the lat and loweft degree of Painting, mut have its Superiority and jut Preference in a Tablature of its own Species. 'Ti the fame in Animal-Pieces; where Bears, or Fowl are reprefented. In Landskip, Inanimate are principal: 'Wis the Earth, the Water, the Stones and Rocks which live. All other Life becomes fubordinate. Humanity, Senfe, Manners, mut in this place yield, and become inferior. 'Twou'd be a fault even to aim at the Expreffion of any real Beauty in this kind, or go about to animate
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or heighten in any confiderable degree the Ch. 5 . accompanying Figures of Men, or Deirys, which are accidentally introduc'd, as Appendices, or Ornaments, in fuch a Piece. But if, on the contrary, the buman Species be that which firf prefents it-felf in a Picture; if it be the intelligent Life, which is fet to view; 'tis the otber Species, the other Life, which muft then furrender and become fubfervient. The merely natural muft pay homage to the biforical or moral. Every Beauty, every Grace muft be facrific'd to the real Beauty of this firft and bigheft Order. For nothing can be more deform'd than a Confufion of many Beautys: And the Confufion becomes inevitable, where the Subjection is not compleat.
(10:) By the word Moral are underftood, in this place, all Sorts of judicious Reprefentations of the human Paffions; as we fee even in Battel-Picces; excepting thofe of diftant Figures, and the diminutive kind ; which may rather be confider'd as a fort of Landskip. In all other martial Pieces, we fee exprefs'd in lively Action, the feveral degrees of Valor, Magnanimity, Cowardice, Terror, Anger, according to the feveral Characters of Nations, and particular Men. 'Tis here that we may fee Heroes and Cbiefs (fuch as the Alexanders or Constantines) appear, even in the hottelt of the Action, Bb 2
with

Ch. 5. with a Tranquillity and Sedatenefs of Mind peculiar to themfelves: which is, indeed, in a direct and proper fenfe, profoundly moral.
(in.) But as the Moral part is differently treated in a Poem, from what it is in Hifory, or in a pbilooppical Work ; fo must it, of right, in Painting be far differently treated, from what ir naturally is, either in the Hiftory, or Poem. For want of a right underftanding of this Maxim, it often happens that, by endeavouring to render a Piece highly moral and learned, it becomes thorowly ridiculous and impertinent.
(I2.) FOR the ordinary Works of Sculpture, fuch as the Low-Relieves, and Ornaments of Columns and Edifices, great allowance is made. The very Rules of Perfective are here wholly revers'd, as neceffity requires; and are accommodated to the Circumstance and Genius of the Place or Building, according to a certain OEconomy or Order of a particular and distinct kind; as will eafily be obferv'd by thole who have thorowly ftudy'd the Trajan and Antoninus-Pillars, and other Relieve-Works of the Ancients. In the fame manner, as to Pieces of ingrav'd Work, Medals, or whatever thews it-felf in one Substance, (as Brafs or Stone) or only by Shade and Light, (as in ordinary

Drawings,
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Drawings, or Stamps) much alfo is al-Ch. 5 . low'd, and many things admitted, of the $\sim$ fantafick, miraculous, or hyberbolical kind. 'Tis here, that we have free fcope, withal, for whatever is learned, emblematical, or enigmatick. But for the compleatly imitative and illufive Art of Painting, whofe Character it is to employ in her Works the united Force of different Colours; and who, furpaffing by fo many Degrees, and in fo many Privileges, all other human Fiction, or imitative Art, afpires in a directer manner towards Deceit, and a Command over our very Senfe; the muft of neceffity abandon whatever is overlearned, bumorous, or witty; to maintain her-felf in what is natural, credible, and winning of our Afent: that me may thus acquit her-felf of what is her chief Province, the Jpecious Appearance of the Olject fioe reprefents. Otherwife we thall naturally bring againft her the juft Criticifm of Horace, on the feenical Reprefentation fo nearly ally'd to her :

Quodcunque oftendis mibi fic, incredulus odi.
(13.) We are therefore to confider this as a fure Maxim or Obfervation in Painting, "That a biforical and moral Piece " muft of neceffity lofe much of its natu" ral Simplicity and Grace, if any thing of " the emblematical or enigmatick kind be Bb3 "v vifibly for inftance, the Circle of the * Zodiack, with its twelve Signs, were introduc'd. Now this being an Appearance which carrys not any manner of fimilitude or colourable refemblance to any thing extant in real Nature ; it cannot poffibly pretend to win the Senfe, or gain Belief, by the help of any poetical Enthufiafin, religious Hifory, or Faith. For by means of thefe, indeed, we are eafily induc'd to contemplate as Realitys thofe divine Perfonages and miraculous Forms, which the leading Painters, antient and modern, have fpecioully defign'd; according to the particular Doctrine or Theology of their feveral religious and national Beliefs. But for our Tablature in particular, it carrys nothing with it of the mere emblematical or enigmatick kind: fince for what relates to the double Way of the Vale and Mountain, this may naturally and with colourable appearance be reprefented at the Mountain's foot. But if on the Summit or higheft Point of it, we fhou'd place the Fortrefs, or Palace of Virtue, rifing above the Clouds, this wou'd immediately give the enigmatical myfterious

[^144]Air to our Picture, and of neceffity de-Ch. 5 . Atroy its perfuafive Simplicity, and natural $\sim$ n Appearance.
(I4.) In fhort, we are to carry this Remembrance ftill along with us, " That the " fewer the Objects are, befides thofe which " are abfolutely neceffary in a Piece, the "eafier it is for the Eye, by one fimple "Act and in one View, to comprehend "the Sum or Whole." The multiplication of Subjects, tho fubaltern, renders the Subordination more difficult to execute in the Ordonnance or Compofition of a Work. And if the Subordination be not perfect, the Order (which makes the Beauty) remains imperfect. Now the Subordination can never be perfect, except " * When the Or" donnance is fuch, that the Eye not only "runs over with eafe the feveral Parts of " the Defign, (reducing ftill its View each " moment to the principal Subject on " which all turns) but when the fame Eye, " without the leaft detainment in any of " the particular Parts, and refting, as it " were, immovable in the middle, or cen"ter of the Tablature, may fee at once, " in an agreeable and perfect Correfpon" dency, all which is there exhibited to " the Sight."

* This is what the Grecian Mafters fo happily exprefs'd, by the fingle word 'Evoúvorfov. See VOL. I. pag. 143 , \&c.
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Ch. 6.

## C H A P. VI.

Of the Cafual ar Independent Or naments.
(1.) $\square \mathrm{HERE}$ remains for us now to confider only of the feparate Ornaments, independent both of Figures and Perfpective; fuch as the * Machine-Work, or Divinitys in the Sky, the Winds, Cupids, Birds, Animals, Dogs, or other loofe Pieces, which are introduc'd without any abfolute neceffity, and in a way of Humour. But as thefe belong chiefly to the ordinary Life, and to the comick, or mix'd kind; our Tablature, which on the contrary is wholly epick, beroick, and in the tragick. Style, wou'd not fo eafily admit of any thing in this light way.
(2.) $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{E}}$ may befides confider, that whereas the Mind is naturally led to fanfy Myftery in a Work of fuch a Genius or Style of Painting as ours, and to confound with each other the two diftinct kinds of the emblematick, and merely biforical, or poetick; we fhou'd take care not to afford

[^145]
## of HERCULES.

385
it this occafion of Error and Deviation, by Ch. 6. introducing into a Piece of fo uniform a Defign, fuch Appendices, or fupplementary Parts, as, under pretext of giving light to the Hiftory, or characterizing the Figures, fhou'd ferve only to diftract or diffipate the Sight, and confound the Judgment of the more intelligent Spectators.
(3.) "Wile it then, fays one, be pof${ }^{6}$ fible to make out the Story of thefe two « Dames in company with Hercules, " without otherwife diftinguifhing them "f than as above defcrib'd?"-We anfwer, it is poffible; and not that only, but certain and infallible, in the cafe of one who has the leaft Genius, or has ever heard in general concerning Hercules, without fo much as having ever heard this Hiftory in particular. But if, notwithftanding this, we wou'd needs add fome exterior marks, more declaratory and determinative of thefe two Perfonages, Virtue and Pleasure; it may be perform'd, however, without any neceflary recourfe to what is abfolutely of the Emblem-kind. The Manner of this may be explain'd as follows.
(4.) THE Energy or natural Force of Virtue, according to the moral Philofophy of higheft note among the Antients, was exprefs'd in the double effect of

## The Judgment

Ch. 6. * Forbearance and Indurance; or what we may otherwife call Refrainment and Support. For the former, the Bit or Bridle, plac'd fomewhere on the fide of Virtue, may ferve as Emblem fufficient; and for the fecond, the Helmet may ferve in the fame manner ; efpecially fince they are each of them Appurtenances effential to Heroes, (who, in the quality of Warriors, were alfo Subduers or + Managers of Horfes) and that at the fame time thefe are really portable Inftruments, fuch as the martial Dame, who reprefents Virtue, may be well fuppos'd to have brought along with her.
(5.) On the fide of Pleasure, certain Vafes, and other Pieces of imbofs'd Plate, wrought in the figures of Satyrs, Fauns, and Bacchanals, may ferve to exprefs the Debauches of the Table-kind. And certain Draperys thrown carelefly on the ground, and hung upon a neighbouring 'Tree, forming a kind of Bower and Couch for this luxurious Dame, may ferve fufficiently to fuggeft the Thought of other Indulgences, and to fupport the Image of the effeminate, indolent, and amorous Paffions.
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Befides that, for this latter kind, we may reft fatisfy'd, 'tis what the Painter will hardly fail of reprefenting to the full. The fear is, left he fhou'd overdo this part, and exprefs the Affection too much to the life. The Appearance will, no doubt, be ftrongly wrought in all the Features and Proportions of this tbird Figure; which is of a relifh far more popular, and vulgarly ingaging, than that other oppos'd to it, in our hiftorical Defign.

## CONCLUSION.

(i.) TE may conclude this Argument with a general Reflection, which feems to arife naturally from what has been faid on this Subject in particular: "That in " a real Hifory-Painter, the fame Know" ledg, the fame Study, and Views, are re" quir'd, as in a real Poet." Never can the Poet, whilft he juftly holds that name, become a Relator, or Hiftorian at large. He is allow'd only to defcribe a fingle Action; not the Actions of a fingle Man, or People. The Painter is a Hiftorian at the fame rate, but ftill more narrowly confin'd, as in fact appears; fince it wou'd certainly prove a more ridiculous Attempt to comprehend two or three diftinct Actions or Parts of Hiftory in one Picture, than
than to comprehend ten times the number in one and the fame Poem.
(2.) Tis well known, that to each Species of Poetry, there are natural Proportions and Limits affign'd. And it wou'd be a grofs Abfurdity indeed to imagine, that in a Poem there was nothing which we cou'd call Meafure or Number, except merely in the Verfe. An Elegy, and an Epigram, have each of 'em their Meafure, and Proportion, as well as a Tragedy, or Epick Poem. In the fame manner, as to Painting, Sculpture, or Statuary, there are particular Meafures which form what we call a Piece: as for inftance, in mere Portraiture, a Head, or Buft: the former of which muft retain always the whole, or at leaft a certain part of the Neck; as the latter the Shoulders, and a certain part of the Breaft. If any thing be added or retrench'd, the Piece is deftroy'd. 'Tis then a mangled Trunk, or difmember'd Body, which prefents it-felf to our Imagination; and this too not thro' ufe merely, or on the account of cuftom, but of neceffity, and by the nature of the Appearance: fince there are fuch and fuch parts of the human Body,' which are naturally match'd, and muft appear in company: the Section, if unskilfully made, being in reality horrid, and reprefenting rather an Amoputation in Surgery, than a feemly Divifion or Separa-
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tion according to Art. And thus it is, that in general, thro' all the plaftick Arts, or Works of Imitation, "Whatfoever is "drawn from Nature, with the intention " of raifing in us the Imagination of the "natural Species or Object, according to " real Beauty and Truth, fhou'd be com"priz'd in certain compleat Portions or "Diftricts, which reprefent the Corre" Spondency or Union of each part of "Nature, with intire Nature ber-Self." And 'tis this natural Apprehenfion, or anticipating Senfe of Unity, which makes us give even to the Works of our inferior Artizans, the name of Pieces by way of Excellence, and as denoting the Fufne/s and Trutb of Work.
(3.) IN order therefore to fucceed rightly in the Formation of any thing truly beautiful in this higher Order of Defign; 'twere to be wifh'd that the Artift, who had Underftanding enough to comprehend what a real Piece or Tablature imported, and who, in order to this, had acquir'd the Knowledg of a Whole and Parts, wou'd afterwards apply himfelf to the Study of moral and poetick Truth: that by this means the Thoughts, Sentiments, or Manners, which hold the firft rank in his hiftorical Work, might appear futable to the higher and nobler Species of Humanity in which he practis'd, to the Genius of the Age
which he defcrib'd, and to the principal or main Action which he chofe to reprefent. He wou'd then naturally learn to reject thofe falle Ornaments of affected Graces, exaggerated Paffions, byperbolical and prodigious Forms; which, equally with the mere capricious and grotefque, deftroy the juft Simplicity, and Unity, effential in a Prece. And for his Colouring; he wou'd then foon find how much it became him to be referv'd, fevere, and chafte, in this particular of his Art; where Luxury and Libertinifm are, by the power of Fafhion and the modern Tafte, become fo univerfally eftablifh'd.
(4.) 'Tis evident however from Reafori it-felf, as well as from * Hiftory and Experience, that nothing is more fatal, either to Painting, Architecture, of the other Arts, than this falfe Relif, which is govern'd rather by what immediately ftrikes the Senfe, than by what coafequentially and by reflection pleafes the Mind, and fatisfies the Thought and Reafon. So that whilf we look on Painting with the fame Eye, as we view commonly the rich Stuffs, and colour'd Silks worn by our Ladys, and admir'd in Drefs, Equipage, or Furniture; we muft of neceflity be effeminate in our Tafte, and utterly fet wrong as to all
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Judgment and Knowledg in the kind. For of this imitative Art we may juftly fay; " That tho It borrows help indeed from "Colours, and ufes them, as means, to "execure its Defigns ; It has nothing, " however, more wide of its real Aim, or " more remote from its Intention, than to " make a Jhew of Colours, or from their " mixture, to raife a * feparate and flat"tering Pleafure to the Sense."

* The Pleafure is plainly forcign and feparate, as baving no concern or fbare in the proper. Delight or Entcrtainment which naturally arifes from the Subject, and Workmankisip itfolf. For the Subject, in reppect of Pleafure, as well as Science, is abfolutely compleated, when the Defign is executed, and the propos'd Initation once acconiplifb'd. And thus it always is the beft, when the Colours are moft fubdu'd, and snade fubfervient.
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Written from ITALY,
On the occafion of the Judgment of Hercules,
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\mathrm{TO}
$$

My Lord * * * *

Ante omnia Muja.
Vir. George. Lib. ii.

Vol. 3.
Cc


## A. <br> L ETTER <br> CONCERNING <br> DESIGN

My Lord,



HIS Letter comes to your Lord/hip, accompany'd with a fmall Writing intitled A Notion: for fuch alone can that Piece defervedly be call'd, which afpires no higher than to the forming of a Project, and that too in fo vulgar a Science as Painting. But whatever the Subject be, if it can prove any way entertaining to you, it will fufficiently anfwer my Defign. And if poffibly it may have that good fuccefs, I fhou'd have no ordinary opinion of my Project; fince I know how hard it wou'd be to give your LordThip a real Entertainment by any thing which was not in fome refpect worthy and ufeful.
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$\mathrm{ON}_{\mathrm{N}}$ this account I mutt, by way of prevention, inform your Lord\{hip, that after I had conceiv'd my Notion fuch as you fee it upon paper, I was not contented with this, but fell directly to work; and by the Hand of a MafterPainter brought it into Practice, and form'd a real Defign. This was not enough. I refolv'd afterwards to fee what effect it wou'd have, when taken out of mere Black-and-White, into Colours: And thus a Sketch was afterwards drawn. This pleas'd fo well, that being incourag'd by the Virtuof, who are fo eminent in this part of the World, I refolv'd at laft to engage my Painter in the great Work. Immediately a Cloth was befpoke of a futable Dimenfion, and the Figures taken as big or bigger than the common Life; the Subject being of the Heroick kind, and requiring rather fuch Figures as fhou'd appear above ordinary human stature.

Thus my Notion, as light as it may prove in the Treatife, is become very fubftantial in the Workmanfloip. The Piece is ftill in hand; and like to continue fo for fome time. Otherwife the firt Draught or Defign fhou'd have accompany'd the Treatife; as the Treatife does this Letter. But the Defign having grown thus into a Sketch, and the Sketch afterwards into a

Picture:

Picture ; I thought it fit your Lordfhip fhou'd either fee the feveral Pieces together, or be troubled only with that which was the beit; as undoubtedly the great one mult prove, if the Mafter I employ finks not very much below himfelf, in this Performance.

Far furely fhou'd I be, my Lord, from conceiving any Vanity or Pride in Amufements of fuch an inferior kind as there; efpecially were they fuch as they may naturally at firt fight appear. I pretend not here to apologize either for them, or for my-felf. Your Lordhhip however knows, I have naturally Ambition enough to make me defirous of employing myfelf in Bufinefs of a higher Order: fince it has been my fortune in publick Affairs to act often in concert with you, and in the fame Views, on the Intereft of Europe and Mankind. There was a Time, and that a very early one of my Life, when I was not wanting to my Country, in this refpect. But after fome years of hearty Labour and Pains in this kind of Workmanfhip, an unhappy Breach in my Health drove me not only from the Seat of Bufinefs, but forc'd me to feek there foreign Climates; where, as mild as the Winters generally are, I have with much ado liv'd out this latter-one; and am now, as your Lordmip finds, employCc 3
ing
ing my-felf in fuch eafy Study as are mont futable to my fate of Health, and to the Genius of the Country where I am confin'd.

This in the mean time I can, with forme affurance, fay to your Lordfhip in a kind of spirit of Prophecy, from what I have obferv'd of the riffing Genius of our Nation, That if we live to fee a Peace any way anfwerable to that generous Spirit with which this War was begun, and carry'd on, for our own Liberty and that of Europe; the Figure we are like to make abroad, and the Increase of Knowledg, Induftry and Senfe at home, will render united Britain the principal Seat of Arts; and by her Politeness and Advantages in this kind, will thew evidently, how much the owes to thole Counfels, which taught her to exert herfelf fo refolutely in behalf of the common Cause, and that of her own Liberty, and happy Confitution, neceffarily included.

I can my-felf remember the Time, when, in refpect of MUSICK, our reigning Tafte was in many degrees inferior to the French. The long Reign of Luxury and Pleafure under King Charles the Second, and the foreign Helps and fudy'd Advantages given to Mufick in a following Reign, cou'd not raife our Genius the leaft
in this refpect. But when the Spirit of the Nation was grown more free, tho engag'd at that time in the fierceft War, and with the moft doubtful Succefs, we no fooner began to turn our-felves towards Mufick, and enquire what Italy in particular produc'd, than in an inftant we outfrip'd our Neighbours the French, enter'd into a Genius far beyond theirs, and rais'd our-felves an Ear, and $\mathfrak{F} u d{ }^{2}$ ment, not inferior to the beft now in the World.

In the fame manner, as to Painting. Tho we have as yet nothing of our own native Growth in this kind worthy of being mention'd; yet fince the Publick has of late begun to exprefs a Relifh for Ingravings, Drawings, Copyings, and for the original Paintings of the chief Italian Schools, (fo contrary to the modern French) I doubt not that, in very few years, we flall make an equal progrefs in this orher Science. And when our Humour turns us to cultivate thefe defigning Arts, our Genius, I am perfuaded, will naturally carry us over the flighter Amufements, and lead us to that higher, more ferious, and noble Part of Imitation, which relates to Hillory, Human Nature, and the cbief Degree or Order of Beauty; I mean that of the rational Life, diftinct from the merely vegetable and fenjible, as
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in Animals, or Plants; according to thofe feveral Degrees or Orders of Painting, which your Lordhip will find fuggefted in this extemporary Notion I have fent you.

As for Architecture, 'tis no wonder if fo many noble Defigns of this kind have mifcarry'd amongft us ; fince the Genius of our Nation has hitherto been fo little turn'd this way, that thro' feveral Reigns we have patiently feen the nobleft publick Buildings perifh (if I may fay fo) under the Hand of one fingle Court-Architect; who, if he had been able to profit by Experience, wou'd long fince, at our expence, have prov'd the greateft Mafter in the World. But I queftion whether our Patience is like to hold much longer. The Devaftation fo long committed in this kind, has made us begin to grow rude and clamorous at the hearing of a new Palace fpoilt, or a new Defign committed to fome rafh or impotent Pretender.
'Tis the good Fate of our Nation in this particular, that there remain yet two of the nobleft Subjects for Architecture ; our Prince's Palace, and our House of Parliament. For I can't but fanfy that when Whiteball is thought of, the neighbouring Lords and Commons will at the fame time be
be plac'd in better Chambers and Apartments, than at prefent; were it only for Majefty's fake, and as a Magnificence becoming the Perfon of the Prince, who here appears in full Solemnity. Nor do I fear that when thefe new Subjects are attempted, we fhou'd mifcarry as grofly as we have done in others before. Our State, in this refpect, may prove perhaps more fortunate than our Cburch, in having waited till a national Tafte was form'd, before thefe Edifices were undertaken. But the Zeal of the Nation cou'd not, it feems, admit fo long a Delay in their Ecclefiaftical Structures, particularly their Metropolitan. And fince a Zeal of this fort has been newly kindled amongft us, 'tis like we fhall fee from afar the many Spires arifing in our great City, with fuch bafty and fudden growth, as may be the occafion perhaps that our immediate Relifh fhall be hereafter cenfur'd, as retaining much of what Artifts call the Gothick Kind.

Hardiy, indeed, as the Publick now ftands, fhou'd we bear to fee a Whiteball treated like a Hampton-Court, or even a new Cathedral like St. Paul's. Almoft every-one now becomes concern'd, and interefts himfelf in fuch publick Structures. Even thofe Pieces too are brought under the common Cenfure, which, tho rais'd
by private Men, are of fuch a Grandure and Magnificence, as to become National Ornaments. The ordinary Man may build his Cottage, or the plain Gentleman his Country-houfe according as he fanfys: but when a great Man builds, he will find little Quarter from the Publick, if inftead of a beautiful Pile, he raifes, at a vaft expence, fuch a falfe and counterfeit Piece of Magnificence, as can be juftly arraign'd for its Deformity by fo many knowing Men in Art, and by the whole People, who, in fuch a Conjuncture, readily follow their Opinion.

In reality the People are no fmall Partys in this Coufe. Nothing moves fuccefffully without 'em: There can be no Publick, but where they are included. And without a Publick Voice, knowingly guided and directed, there is nothing which can raife a true Ambition in the Artift ; nothing which can exalt the Genius of the Workman, or make him emulous of afterFame, and of the approbation of his Courntry, and of Pofterity. For with thefe he naturally, as a Freeman, muft take part: in thefe he has a paffionate Concern, and Intereft, rais'd in him by the fame Genius of Liberty, the fame Lawes and Government, by which his Property, and the Rewards of his Pains and Induftry are fecur'd to him, and to his Generation after him.

Every thing co-operates, in fuch a State, towards the Improvement of Art and Nicience. And for the defigning Arts in particular, fuch as Arcbitecture, Painting, and Statuary, they are in a manner link'd together. The Tafte of one kind brings neceffarily that of the others along with it. When the free Spirit of a Nation turns it-felf this way, Judgments are form'd; Criticks arife ; the publick Eye and Ear improve; a right Tafte prevails, and in a manner forces its way. Nothing is fo improving, nothing fo natural, fo con-genial to the liberal Arts, as that reigning Liberty and high Spirit of a People, which from the Habit of judging in the higheft Matters for themfelves, makes 'em freely judg of other Subjects, and enter thorowly into the Characters as well of Men and Manners, as of the Products or Works of Men, in Art and Science. So much, my Lord, do we owe to the Excellence of our National Conftitution, and Legal Monarchy ; happily fitted for Us, and which alone cou'd hold together fo mighty a People; all tharers (tho at fo far a diftance from each other) in the Government of themfelves; and meeting under one Head in one vaft Metropolis; whofe enormous Growth, however cenfurable in other refpects, is actually a Caufe that Workmanflip and Arts

Arts of fo many kinds arife to fuch perfaction.

What Encouragement our higher Powers may think fit to give there growing Arts, I will not pretend to guefs. This I know, that 'this fo much for their advanrage and Intereft to make themfelves the chief Parts in the Caufe, that I win no Court or Miniftry, befides a truly virtuous and wife one, may ever concern themfelves in the Affair. For fhou'd they do fo, they wou'd in reality do more harm than good; fince 'is not the Nature of a Court (fuch as Courts generally are) to improve, but rather corrupt a Taft. And what is in the beginning fer wrong by their Example, is hardly ever afterwards recoverable in the Genius of a Nation.

Content therefore I am, my Lord, that Britain ftands in this reflect as the now does. Nor can one, methinks, with juft reason regret her having hitherto made no greater advancement in there affairs of Art. As her Confitution has grown, and been eftablifh'd, the has in proportion fitted her-felf for other Improvements. There has been no Anticipation in the Cafe. And in this furely the mut be effteem'd wife, as well as happy; that ere The attempted to raife her-felf any other 'Tafte or Relifh, the fecur'd her-felf a
right one in Government. She has now the advantage of beginning in other Matters, on a new foot. She has her Models yet to feek, her Scale and Standard to form, with deliberation and good choice. Able enough the is at prefent to fhift for her-felf; however abandon'd or helplefs the has been left by thofe whom it became to affift her. Hardly, indeed, cou'd the procure a fingle Academy for the training of her Youth in Exercifes. As good Soldiers as we are, and as good Horfes as our Climate affords, our Princes, rather than expend their Treafure this way, have fuffer'd our Youth to pafs into a foreign Nation, to learn to ride. As for other Academys, fuch as thofe for Painting, Sculpture, or Architecture, we have not fo much as heard of the Propofal ; whilft the Prince of our rival Nation raifes Academys, breeds Youth, and fends Rewards and Penfions into foreign Countrys, to advance the Intereft and Credit of his own. Now if, notwithftanding the Induftry and Pains of this foreign Court, and the fupine Un-concernednefs of our own, the National Tafte however rifes, and already fhews it-felf in many refpects beyond that of our fo highly-affifted Neighbours; what greater Proof can there be of the Superiority of Genius in one of thefe Nations above the other?
'TIs
'T is but this moment that I chance to read in an Article of one of the Ga zettes from Paris, that 'tic refolv'd at Court to eftablifh a new Academy for political Affairs. "In it the prefent " Chief-Minifter is to prefide; having " under him fix Academifts, douëz does "Talons néceffaires - No Perron to be "receiv'd under the age of twenty five. "A thoufand Lives Penfion for each "Scholar_-Able Rafters to be appointed " for teaching them the neceffary Scien"res, and inftructing them in the Treaty " of Peace and Alliances, which have " been formerly made——The Members " to affemble three times a Week - "C'eft de. ce Seminaire (fays the Writer) "qu'on tirera les Secretaires d' Ambaflade; "Gui par degree pourront monter à de plus "baits Emplois."

I must confers, my Lord, as great an Admirer as I am of there regular Inftitutions, I cant but look upon an fcademy for Minifters as a very extraordinary Eftablifhment ; efpecially in fuch a Monarchy as France, and at fuch a Conjuncture as the prefent. It looks as if the Ministers of that Court had difcover'd lately forme new Methods of Negotiation, foch as their Predeceflors Richelieu and
and Mazarine never thought of; or that, on the contrary, they have found themfelves fo declin'd, and at fuch a lofs in the Management of this prefent Treaty, as to be forc'd to take their Leffon from fome of thofe Minifters with whom they treat: a Reproach, of which, no doubr, they muit be highly fenfible.

But 'tis not my defign here, to entertain your Lordfhip with any Reflections upon Politicks, or the Methods which the French may take to raife themfelves new Minifters, or new Generals; who may prove a better Match for us than hitherto, whilft we held our old. I will only fay to your Lordfhip on this Subject of Academys; that indeed I have lefs concern for the Deficiency of fuch a one as this, than of any other which cou'd be thought of, for England; and that as for a Seminary of Statefmen, I doubt not but, without this extraordinary help, we fhall be able, out of our old Stock, and the common courfe of Bufinefs, conftantly to furnifh a fufficient Number of well-qualify'd Perfons to ferve upon occafion, either at home, or in our foreign Treatys; as often as fuch Perfons accordingly qualify'd thall duly, honeftly, and bonâ fide be requir'd to ferve,

## $A$ LETTER

I return therefore to my VirtuofoScience; which being my chief Amufement in this Place and Circumftance, your Lordfhip has by it a frefh Inftance that I can never employ my Thoughts with fatisfaction on any Subject, without making you a Party. For even this very Notion had its rife chiefly from the Converfation of a certain Day, which I had the happinefs to pafs a few years fince in the Country with your Lordfhip. 'Twas there you fhew'd me fome Ingravings, which had been fent you from Italy. One in particular I well remember; of which the Subject was the very fame with that of my written Notion inclos'd. But by what Hand it was done, or after what Mafter, or how executed, I have quite forgot. 'Twas the Summer-feafon, when you had Recels from Bufinefs. And I have accordingly calculated this Epifle and Project for the fame Recefs and Leifure. For by the time this can reach England, the Spring will be far advanc'd, and the national Affairs in a manner over, with thofe who are not in the immediate Adminiftration.

Were that indeed your Lordfhip's Lot, at prefent; I know not whether in regard to my Country I fhou'd dare throw fuch Amufements as thefe in your way.

# concerning DESIGN. 

Yet even in this Cafe, I wou'd venture to fay however, in defenfe of my Project, and of the Caufe of Painting; that cou'd my young Hero come to your Lordfhip as well reprefented as he might have been, either by the Hand of a*Marat or a Jordano, (the Mafters who were in being, and in repute, when I firft travel'd here in Italy) the Picture it-felf, whatever the Treatije prov'd, wou'd have been worth notice, and might have become a Prefent worthy of our Court, and Prince's Palace; efpecially were it fo blefs'd as to lodge within it a royal Iffue of her Majefty's. Such a Piece of Furniture might well fit the Gallery, or Hall of Exercifes, where our young Princes fhou'd learn their ufual Leffons. And to fee Virtue in this Garb and Action, might perhaps be no flight Memorandum hereafter to a Royal Youth, who fhou'd one day come to undergo this Trial himfelf; on which his own Happinefs, as well as the Fate of Europe and of the World, wou'd in fo great a meafure depend.

This, my Lord, is making, (as you fee) the moft I can of my Project, and

[^148][^149] Colour I am able; that I may be the more excufable in communicating them to your Lordhip, and expreffing thus, with what Zeal I am,

My Lord,

> Your Lord/Bip's

Naples, March 6.
N.S. 1712.
mof faithful
bumble Servant,

SHAFTESBURY。
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## [N.B. The Letters fhew the Volume: The Figures, the Pages of each.]

## A.

ABRAHAM, Patriarch, his Charaiter and Life. Vol. iii. pag. 52, 53, 124 Abfolute Porver. Sce Arbitrary.
Abfolute Princes. i. 203. Seem to aft by CounSel and Advice. i.210, 11 . Their Education and Manners. ibid. No real Society in Abfolute Government. i. 105,6. No Publick, or Senfe of publick Good. 107. No focial or common Afferion. ibid. No Community or Mother-Country. iii. I43. Abfolute Monarchy, debaucling in religious and moral Principles. i. 107. iii. 310, 11. Neceffary Subjection and Homage in Abfolute Government. i. 219 . iii. 172
Academick Pbilofopby. i. i 8, 253. Its Excellence. i. 81, 256. ii. 189, 191, 230, 31 , \&c. 305, 6. See Sceptick.

Academick Founder and Succefor. ii. 253, 4
Academick Difaipline amongft the Antients. i. 122. ii. 191
Academifts: their way of arguing unfutable to the impatient Humour of our Age.
ii. 189 , 191

Academys for Exercife, wanted for our Youth. Unbappily neglected. i. 333, 4. iii. 405. One propos'd at Paris, for Political Affairs. iii. 406. Reflections thereupon.ibid. Sce Exercifes, School, Univerfity.
Acteon: a common Abfurdity in the Pietures of bis Metamorphofis. iii. 357

Actions: Spring of Aczions.
ii. 86

Activity, or Aition, bow neceffary to Mankind.
ii. 131,32

Actor (Stage)
i. 7

In the Fublick.
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Admiration, Weaknefs of the Pafron. i. 144, \&c. ii. 324 , \&c. See Miracle, Wonder.
Iuf Admiration.
ii. 29

Admiration founded in the natural and neceffary Imagination of a fublime and beautiful in things. i. 138, 9, 336,7 . ii. 28 , \&c. $394,420,21,29,30$. iii. 30 , \&c. 182, \&c.
Admiration, Motive, or Incentive to Pbilofopby. iii. 37. Reftraint of it in Pbilofopby. iii. 35, \&c. 202, 3 Admiration, a flrong one, difinguifb'd from that of Love. iii. 359

Advice. See Treatife of: .. viz. Vol. I. 153, \&c.
AGYPT, its Defcription. ii. 386. Origin and enormous Growth of Superfition from 乍GYPT. ii. $387,8$. iii. 42, \&c. Natural as well as Political Caufes. iii. 45,6. Unfociablenefs of Religion, mutual Abborrence of Worßipers, and Perfecution of Sects begun from bence. ii. $387,8$. iii. 42, 59, \&c. 80, \&c. Unbappy Settlement, Cantonment, and Agrarian of the primitive Agyptians. iii. 4z, \&c. Itgyptian Myyferys. iii. 245. See Hierarchy, Priefthood.
Agyptian Loan. i.358. Catecbifm and Catecbumens originally Ægyptian. iii. 245. See Circumcifion.
Athiopia, its Empire and Priefthood. iii. 48,9
Ethiopian Spectator. i. 82,3,5
Affectation in Bebarviour oppofte to Grace. i. 190
Affectation in Belief, Faith, Religion, Praife. i.6,7,34, \&c. 41, \&c.
Affection: Natural Affection towards Moral Beauty. i.280, $\delta_{I}$
Sosial Affection: Enjoyment. i. 310 , II. Social Affection confefs'd in Lowe of Country, \&c. iii. 143, \&c. In Parental, Filial Affection. iii. 145. Strength of Social Affection. i. 16. Conjugal Affection. ii. ì 32. iii. 219
'Tis by Affection merely that a Creature is efteem'd good or ill.
ii. 21,22

Private or Self-Affection. ii. 22. When vitious. ii.:22, Sc. When good.
ii. 23, 24

Reflex Affection. ii. 28. Unequal Affection, or Iniquity. ii. 31. Oppofition of the Affections.
ii. $5^{2}$

Religious Affection. ii. 75. See Devotion, Enthufiafm.
Syjem of the Affections. ii. 85. That Sytem explain'd.
ii. 86

Three kinds of Affections. ii. 86,87
Degrees of Affections.
ii. 87,88 , \&cc.

Affection:
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Affection: Private Affection too weak, when? ii.89. Affections torvards private Good, neceffary. ii. go
Energy of Natural Affections.
ii. 101,2 , \&c.

What Pleafure attends the very Difurbances belonging to Natural Affection. ii. 106, 7. Effects of Natural Affecttion. ii. 107, 8, \&c. Partial Affection has no foundation in Reafon. iii. ins. How fender Satisfaction it affords. ii. 112,13

Intive Affection, its Advantages.
ii. 113,14

Analyses or Plan of the Affections, as they relate to buman Happiness or Unbappinefs. iii. 195, 96, \&c.
Natural Affection, sógrn. iii.222. The fame parental or filial kind.
iii. 145

Balance of the Afferions.
ii. $92,95,130,31$, \&c. Exercije of the Social or Natural Affections, bow neceffary to Man.
ii. $134,5,6$

Of the Affections which relate to the immediate Self, or private Interef of the Creature. ii. 139
Unnatural Affections. ii. 163, 4, \&c. Their Consequences. ii. 168,9 , \&c.

Age, the present: improving, in our Nation: Why? i.9, 10
Agrarian: untorward-one in the 不gyptian State. iii. 43. How occafion'd.
iii. $47,4^{8,57,58}$

Air of Perron: See Grace.
Alchymy.
ii. $184,190,377$. iii. 160

Alchymilts: why their Pbilofophy fill prevails fo much in our Age. ii. 189,90

Alcibiades.
iii. 126

Alexander the Great. i. 249,325

Modern Alexanders.
i. 227

Amanuenfis, the Author's. i.305. iii. 16, 190
Ambition. i. $320,21,25,8 \mathrm{cc}$. ii. $157,433,40,41$
Amble: common Amble, Pace, or Canterbery of Writers. iii. 25,26

Amorous Paffion: What Occafion of Diforder. ii. 151, 52,
Amour. Manner of it with the Fair Sex. iiii.115. Fifory of an Amour. i. 176, \&c. See Gallantry, Novel, Love.
Amphictonian Counsel. iii. 138
Amphitheater. See Gladiator.
Ampbitheatrical Spectacles. i. 270
Anacharsis. i. 89
Anatomy 'of the Mind. i. 206, 7. Of the Body. ii. 302,
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AND FeW : Merry-Andrew and Executioner: a Picture. i. 66
Angels: Angelical Company.
i. 7

Anger: UJe of the Pafton in the inferior Orders of Creatures, and in the ordinary Cbaracters of Men. ii. 14.4, 45. Its ill Effects, when indulg'd. ii. 145, 6, 7. Void in the bigheft and mof virizous Cbaracters. ii. I $44^{-}$Anger an Acknow:ledgment of Juft and Unjuft. ii.410, 20
Animal: How becomes a Part of another Syfem. ii. 18 Animal Syfem. ibid.
Wild and Tame Animals of the fame Species, bow different. ii. : 32
Anfwers to Books. iii.9, 10, \&c. Anfwer-Writers. ibid. and 270,71
Ant.
ii.g6. iii. 220

Anticipation. ii.420. See Pre-conception.
Anticipation and Repeal.
iii. 356

Antidote to Enthufiafm: See Enthufiafm.
Antients, their Difcipline of Youth. 1.122. ii.191. See Academy.
Antient Policy, in the Affairs of Religion and Pbilofopby. i. 17,18 . ii. 262

Antipater.
Antipathy, religious. See Religion.
Apelees. i. 227. See Painter.
Apoleo. iii. 233. Apollo and Mufes. i. 5, 6, 7. See Mufes, Pythian, Delphick.
Apologue. iii. 206, 7. See Fable, Mythology, Efop.
Apology, practice of. i. 329,30 . See Preface.
Appearances: See Species.
Appetites bigh, eager.
iii. 177.

Appetite, elder Brother to Reafon. i. I 87
Applaufe: See Praife.
Aratus, Poet.
iii. 238 .

Arbitrary Power, i.220. The fweet and bitter. ibid.
Arbitrary Power, or abfolute Monarchy, deftructive of Arts. i. 219, 20, 21, 237, 38, \&c. iii. 23. See Ablolute Power, Tyranny, Will.
Arcadia.
i. 21

Architecture, Barbarous, Gotbick. i.353. True and natusal, independent of Fancy. ibid. Founded in Trutb and Nature. iii. 181. Why it bas fucceeded no better in England. iii. 400
Architect ambitious. iii. 133
Aristides.
i. 267

Aristophanes.
i. 245

Aristotiectied. i. $142,3,242,8$ c. iii. $66,139,259,80$. See Peripatetick.

Arm : Secular-Arm, deliver over.
Arms and Hands, exprofireve in Oratory.
i. $6^{\circ}$. iii. 110

Aктнur King.
iii. 366

Articles of Belief. Sce Belicf, Dívinity,
Artifans. i. 192. Artifan bonef?, relolute.
iii. 112

Artilts rejoice in Criticijn. i. 23, 61. Virtue and Generifie of Artits. i. 261, \&c. See Poet, Painter, Architect.
Arts and Scienees bow raij'd and inproved. i. 239, \&c. 248, \&c. iii. 136, \&ic. Encourag'd by Libcrty. iii. 403. 'Tis the Intereft of great Men to encourage thcm. iii. 404
Arts and Virtues mutual Friends.
i. $33^{8}$

Affemblys, Publick, demand Refpect.
Atellan, Plays.
Atheifm: its Confqquences wivith refpect to Virvue.
1.75
i. 251

Compar'd in that refpect with Theifm.
ii. 69,70
ii. $72,3,4$

Atheifm from Superfition. ii. 335, 6, \&c. Martyrs for Atbcijnn. iii. 64. Atbcijm preferable to Superffition. i. 41. iii. 126, 7, 8. Faith of Attbeifm. ii. 357

Atheifm charg'd on the People of the better Rank and Fafbion. ii. 264. iii. 294. Cbarg'd upon Wit and Jubtle Reafoning.
Atheifm. See Ill-Humour, Chance.
Atheitt, a compleat one: Fiis Belief or Faith. ii. 11, 298, 357, 8. Hard to pronounce certainly of any Man, that be is an Atbeift. ii. 12. Atbeift perfonated. iii. 294, 95
Atheifts. Bef Writers againg 'cm. ii. 259. Two forts of People call'd Atbeifts. ii. 260. Different in themfelves; and to be us'd diferenty. ii. 260,61
Atheits mifcall'd. i. 345

Atheifts Entbufiafs.
i. 52. iii. 64,5

Atheit, a frong Believer. ii. 357

Atheiftical Hypothe is.
ii. 298

Certain Principles common to Atbeifs with the Devout, or Zealots. i. $97,117,18,23,24$, scc. $132,345,52$.ii. 68, $80,81,256$. iii. 310
Atheiftical Writers or Talkers, no genuine Aibeifs. i. 89, 90, 92, \&c.
Athenians. i. 30. Their Antiquity, Genius. iii. 152,3. Manners, Modefy. ibid. (See Greece.) Progreefs of Avts and Letters amongt then.
i. $248,49,50$

Attick Elegance. i. 233

Atricus.
Attila, Gotbick Prince.
Avarice. i. 3 19, 20. iii: 197, 98,304 . bow mijerable.
iii. 21
iii. 9 i

Avaritious Temper, $^{2}$
ii. 155,6, \&c.

Audience: i. $264,65,77$. See Stage.

$$
\mathrm{Dd}_{4}
$$

Augus-

## I N D E X.

Augustus.
i. $220,28,269,70$. iii. 2 I, 25 ق

Authors: Saint-Authors. i. 164, 5. iii. 239, 40. Autbor in Sobitude. i. I75. Prince-Autbors. i.213, 14. Autbor's Courtbip to the Reader. i. 200, 330. Selffbnefs of Authors. i. 200. Coquetry of an Author. ibid.
Author once an bonourable Name. iii.3, 4. A Cbaratter or Note of Underftanding. ibid. Fealouly of free Autbors. ii. $7,8,262$, \&c.

Author Ortbodox. i. $358,59,60$. Ortbodoxy of our Autbor in particular. iii.70,71,315
Authors not excufable for their ill Performance, becaufe neglected by the Great. i. 222, 3, 4, 30. Or becaufe of Criticifnn and Cenfure. i.231, \&c. Or becaufe of the publick Genius or Ear. $\quad$ i. 261, 2, 78
Author and Reader, their mutual Relation, Intereft. iii. 227, \&c. Their Pretenfions, Privileges, Place, Ceremonial. ibid.
Divineft Cbarakters and Perfonages, no Authors, either in Sacred or Profane Letters. iii.244, \&c. Great Authors capable of Bufenefs, tho out of it. iii. 247, \&c. 273
Authors of narrow Genius's, incapable of Action or Speculation. iii. 272, 3, \&c. Bookfeller makes the Author. i. 264. iii. 27. Modern Autbor profefes Lazinefs, Precipitancy, Carelefsnefs. i.233, 4. In doubt about bis own Work. iii. 27. See Piece, Penman, Mifcellany.

Author of thefe Treatifes: accidentally engag'd in them. iii. 190. His frrf Treatife (viz. Letter of Entbufiafin) a real Letter. ibid. And before. 12, I3, 19, 20
Authority: Divine Authority judg'd by Morals. i. 298
Awe: Its Effect on Mens Underftandings. i.g6. See Fear.
E.

BABYLONIAN Empire and Hierarcly. iii. 48. See Hierarchy.
Bacon, Lord, cited. iii. 69
Banter: Fa/bionable with modern Politicians and Negotiators. i. $6 z$

Banter from Perfecution. i. 72
Barbarian. See Goth, Indian.
Barbarifm, chief Mark of.
iii. 153

Barbarifm from Univerfal Monarchy. i. 221,22
Bart'lemy-Fair.
i. 28

Mr. Bays. iii. 274, 5, 6, \&c. Other Bays's in Divinity. iii. 282 , אc.

Bear-Garden.
i. 270,71 , iii. 256,7
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Beafts. Beaft or Brute-Science. iii. 184, 218. Pafinnaie Lorve and Fondnefs towards the Beffial or Animal-Forms, Virtues, Beautys. iii. 184,216, 疋.
Oeconomy or Order of Nature in the Beafts. See Oeconomy.
Beafts: tbeir natural Infincts.
Beaver.
iii. 220

Beauty: whbere to be found. ii. 404, \&c. Myferious Cbarms of Beauty. ii. 211, \&c. Knowledg in the Degrees and Ordcrs of Beauty. ibid. Three Degrees or Orders of Beauty. ii. 406, \&c. Scale or Scheme of Beauty. iii. 182, \&c. Moral Beauty. ii. 409. Confefs'd. i. 280, 81. ii. 419, \&c. iii. 179,80. Moral Beauty and Deformity. ii. 29, 30. Beauty of Sentiments, Cbaracter, Mind. i. 136, 207. iii. 303. See Character, Mind, Virtue, Heart.

Beauty, is Truth. i. 142,3. iii. 180,1, \&cc.
Beauty of Virtue. i.315, \&c. Beauty of the Soul. ii. 414,
Beauty of the Body.
ii. 414

Beauty dangerous. i. 183 . Outward Beauty exprefive of inrward. i.138. Natural Health, the inward Beauty of the Body. iii. 181. Mechanick Beautys, in oppofition to Moral and Intellectual.
i. 139

Beauty in Animals. iii. 218. Horv attractive, encbanting. iii. $216,17,8 \mathrm{c}$.

Scale of Beauty. iii. 182, 3

The Odd and Pretty in the room of the Graceful and Beautiful.
Beauty: its Idea natural. iii. 5, 6, \&c.

Beauty and Good the fame. ii. 399, 422. Not the Object of the Senfe. ii. 423, 4. Its Extent. ii. $211,12,13$
The Beautiful, Honeftum, Pulchrum, tò xatòv. See Fair, Decorum, Enthufiafm.
Bee. ii. 94,96 . iii. 220

Beggars. i. 35, 36. Beggarly Religion. ibid. and iii. 126 , $\& c$.
Belief. (See Faith.) Belief at a venture. i.35. No Merit in believing on weak Grounds. i.34. Affectation of Belief. ibid. Articles of Belief. i. 361. iii.60, 1, 2, 79, 80, 1, 2. Grofet Article of Belief, bow introduc'd of old into the Cburch. iii. 333, 4. Sacred and indijputable Articles of Belief. iii. 70. Whether a Man can be accountable for bis wrong Belief. ii. 326, 7, 8. Men perfurde themfelves into any Opinion or Belief robatever. iii. 101,2 , \&e. Belief at the Stretch of Reafon. i. 34. iii. 105

Believer
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Believer againgt bis Will. i. 35. iii. 127. Superffitious Beo liever wifles there were no God. iii. 127, 8
Belly. Gluttonous Imagination, or Belly-Senfe. i. 283
Bibliotheque Choifie. iii. 18, 20, 241. See Monfieur Le Clerc.
Bigotry: its Spirit. i. 74. Firf Rife. iii. 80. Force of the Word. iii. 81,2
Bird. ii. $302,8 \mathrm{c}$.

Bit or Bridle, proper Emblem for the Figure of Virtue. iii. 386
Body-Poltick, Head and Members. i. 113, 14. See Conftitution.
Boileau: French Satirijt. i. 218. iii. 280
Bcmbaft.
Books. See Reading, Scholar, Burning:
Good-Books Jo call'd. i. 165. iii. $\hat{3}^{27}$. Books of Cbivalry, Gallontry, Prodigys, Travels, barbarous Nations, and Cuftoms. i. 341, \&c. Interpolating, fupprefing Practice on Books. iii. 330. See Scripture, Fathers.
Bookfeller. i. 304. (See Author, Amanuenfis.) Begets a Fray or learned Scuffe. iii. 10, 11, 15, \&C. Bookfeller and Glazier. iii. 15. Bookeller's Sbop and Trade. ibid. BookSeller determines Titles. iii. 27. Fits bis Cufomers. iii. 270
Bossu: Pere Po $\sqrt{u}$, du Poeme Epique.
i. 142

Breeding. See Academy, Univerfity.
Good-Breeding. i. 64, 5. ii. 242. Leading CharaEter to Virtue. $\quad$ i. $129,35,333$. iii. $161,62,68$
Good-Breeding, and Liberty, neceffarily join'd. i. 76. Man of Good-Ereeding incapable of a brutal Action. i. 129. Acts from bis Nature, witbout Refleczion, and by a kind of Neceffity. i. 129, 30. Compar'd with the thorow boneft Man. ibid. See Gentleman.
Britain: its Advantages. i. 219 . Has fecur'd a rigbt Tofe in Government, Arts, \&c. iii. 404, 5. Old Britain.
i. 272

Britifh Liberty. i. 216, 22. Britifh Senfe in Politicks: i. 80
Britih Countrymen, Fellow-Citizens. iii. 144, \&ic.
Britons: their Senfe of Government, and a Confitution:i. 108. See England, Englifhmen.

Brute. ii. 305. See Beaft.
Brutus.
iii. 249

Buffoons. i. 72. See Laugh, Italian, Banter, Burlefque.
Build: eafier to denolifb than build.
iii. 134

Burlefque: its principal Source. i. 71. (See Banter.) Mere Eiurlefue rejecied by the Antients. i, 73. See Parodys, Comedy.
Burleique-Wit and Buffoonery on the Stage. iii. 281
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Burlefque Divinity. See Divinity.
Burnet Archrol. cited.
iii. 122

Burning Zeal. Sce Zeal.
Burning and Deftruction of Books, Learning, \&c. iii. 239, scc. See Fathers of the Church.
Bufinefs : Nan of Bujinefs.

## C.

$C$Abaliftick Learning.
iii. 81

Cestar, Julius. i. 272. Cæffar's Commentarys. i. 224. His Ability. i. 228
Cesars, Roman. i. $24,25,133,22$ i. iii. $41,86,90$,
Cake: rot eat and bave . 91
Camp.
i. 130

Cantonizing.
i. 335

Canterbery. See Amble.
Cappadocians. iii. 251
Carnival.
Carver, carnal, piritual. i. $82,8 \mathrm{c}$.

Catechiím, Theological, Metaphyjcal. i. 306, 7. Moral, Philofophical.
i. 307 , 8cc.

Catechilm, and Catechumens, originally Ægyptian. iii. 245. See Circumcifion.
Catholick Cburch. See Church, Rome, Pope.
Catholick Opinion, bow form'd. iii. 86, \&cc. See Uniformity.
Catuleus.
i. 228

Caufe : common Caufe. i. 222
Cebes. ii. 254
Centors of Manners. i. 240. Cenfure friee. i. 9
Ceremony. i. 203, 4. See Compliments.
Ceremonial, between Author and Reader. iii. 227, \&c.
Ceremonys. See Rites.
Cervantes, Michael. iii. 253
Cbaldea. iii. 48
Chailenge. (See Duel.) Spiritual Cballengers, Lifts, Combatants. i. 363. iii. 341. See Religion, Priefts.
Chance, prefer'd to Providence, by the fuperfitious. i. 40. iii. 126, \&c. See Atheifm.

Chaos, and Darknefs, from Univerfal Monarchy. i. 222
Chaos of the Englifh Pocts. iii. 62.
Characters. Dealer in Cbaracters muft know bis own. i. 189 Sacred CbaraEters. i. 281
Character, Divine. i. 23, 37. In God, in Man. i. 38, 41. Beauty of Cbarazter. i. 136. See Beauty.
Character wwith one's Self, and others.
i. $130,294,5$

Character,
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Character, generous, and vile, fet in oppofition.
i. 141

Real Characters and Manners. i. 194,99,200, \&c. See Manners.
Perfect Cbaracter, veil'd. i. 194. Perfect Cbaracter unartificial in Poetry. i.337. Monfrous in Epick, or on the Stage.
iii. 260, \&c.

Homer's Characters. See Homer.
Principal Cbarazters.and Under-Parts.
i. 195

Characters in Holy Writ, not Subjects for a Poem. i. 356
Characters or Perfonages in Dialogue. iii. 292, 3, \&c. See Dialogue.
Sublime of Characters.
i. 336

Inward Character.
Character from Circumfances of Nativity.
Characters in the State.
Inward Cbaracter and Wortb.
i. 339 . iii. 34
iii. 147,8

Inward Character and Wortb. iii. I74,5
Character of a Critick. See Critick.
Characteriftick of Underftandings.
i. 201

Charity and good Will: Pretexts to what Ends. i.87,133. iii. 115, 33, 4. See Morals.

Cbrifizian Cbarity. i.99. Charitable Foundations, to whofe Benefit. i. 133. Supernatural Charity. i. 18. iii. 115 . Heathen Cbarity.
iii. 163,70 , \&c. arm of Nature, in Moral Objects. See Nature, Beauty, Harmony, Tafte.
Childrens Play.
Chivalry. i. 272, 3. Originally Moorifh, Gothick. i. 344, \&c. iii. 253. Books of Chivalry. i.344. Dregs of it. ii. 195. See Gallantry.

Chritian Author, i. 67. Good Cbrifian. i.99. Cbriftian, Mabometan, Pagan. i.352. iii.104. Sceptick-Cbriffian.
iii. 72

Chriftianity no way concern'd in modern Miracles. ii. 326, 30, \&cc. Not founded in Miracle merely. ibid. and

A Church. i. 10. See Hierarchy, Catholick.
Roman Cbriftian and Catholick Cburch. iii. go. See Monarchy.
National Cburch. i. 17, 28. Its Intereft afferted. i. 17. Panick Fear for the Cburch. iii. 83, \&c.
Church-Lands.
Writing Cburch-Militant.
Antient Heatben-Church.
i. 25,133 . iii. 45,79
iii. 9 , \&c. and 290, \&c.
i. 50 . iii. 126 , \&c.

Church of England. iii. 15, \&c. See Divines.
Church-Patriot.
iii. $170,7^{1}$

Chymiftry. See Alchymy.
Cagero. i. 208, 334. iii. 20, 21, 182,280 Circumcifion,
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Circumcifion, its Origin among the Egyptians. iii. 52, 3. Receiv'd by the Hebrew Patriarch, their Gueft. ibid. By Moses on bis Rcturn. 55. Laid down again, on bis Retreat. 52. Again renew'd by Joshua, with regard to the fame Ægyptians.

52, 4, 5
City : Heavenly City Jerufalem. i. 282
Clan. See Tribe.
Cleanlines.
i. 125

Ceerc (Mr. Le Clerc, Sylu. Pbil.) iii. 214, 15 . See Bibliotheque Choifie.
Clergy, Beneft of i. 305. Intereft of Cbriftian Clergy in antient and polite Learning. iii. 236, 7. Management and Practices of the antient Clergy. iii. 333, 4. See Clericks, Priefthood, Fathers of the Church.
Clericks feditious. iii. 88, 9. See Magiftrate, Civil Government.
Climates, Regions, Soils, compar'd. iii. 150
Clofet-Thoughts.
i. 139

Clown, judges Pbilofophers. iii. 107. Better Philofopher than fome fo call'd. iii. 204
Club, Liberty of the Club. i. 75. (See Committee.) ClubMethod. i. i. 267
Coffee-Houfe. iii. 15, 274, \&c. Coffee-Houfe Committee. iii. 274, \&c. Coffee-Houfe Hero. ibid.
College. i. 334 . ii. 184 , 9 I
Collifion amicable. i. 64
Comedy. i. 198. Poferior to Tragedy. i. 244, \&c. See Farce, Play, Theater, Drama, Burlefque.
Comedy, antient. Firft, Second, Tbird. i. 245, \&c. 252, \&c.
Comick Style. i. 257, \&cc. See Style, Satir.
Commiffion: fole Commifion for Autborfbip. i. 335. Heavenly Commifrion, Pretences to it examin'd. iii. 102, 59,

$$
336,8 c \mathrm{c} \text {. }
$$

Committee. iii. 275, \&c. See Club.
Common Senfe. (See Senfe, Nature.) Men not to be reafon'd out of $i t$. i. 96

Company, provocative to Fancy. i. 159. See Affemblys, Converfations.
Complexions, religious. i.84. See Salvation, Perfecution.
Compliments. i. 203, 4. See Ceremony.
Comprehenfion in Religion. See Uniformity.
Conference, free.

$$
\text { i. } 70,3,5
$$

Conformity in Religiont. iii. 315 . See Uniformity.
Conformitt Occafional. iii. 85

Conjurer, a weife and able one. i.318. Conjurers. i. 87, 175, 348. See Magi, Prieft, Enchanter.
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Conqueft, National.
iii. 148

Confcience, Moral. ii. 1 ig. Its Effects. ii. 120, 1, 2. Religious Confcience fuppofes Moral Confcience. ii. 120. Falfe Confience, its Effects.
ii. $122,3,4$

Confcience from Interef.
Confecration of Opinions, Notions.
Confiftency, Rule of.
i. 60

Conftitution, State or Government. i. 108, 239. Englifh Confitution.
Contemplation. ii. 75. See Meditation.
Controverfy. Controverfal Writings. iii. 9, \&c. 270, 71. Cburch-Controverfy. iii. 290, \&c. Religious Controverfy, and Decizion of the Caufe, according to modern Priofibood. iii. $341, \& \mathrm{c}$.

Converfation. i. $68, \& c .75,6$. iii. 335,6 . Life of Con verfation. i. 75, 6. Sterility of the bef Converfations: the Caufe. i. 77. Remedy. ibid. Modern Converfation, effeminate, enervate.
ii. 186

Convocation (Synod, Council) what Candour, Temper?
i. $360, \& \mathrm{c}$ 。

Coquetry, fee Author.
Corneilie, French Tragedian, cited. iii. 87, 280
Corporation of Wit. iii. 279. See Wit.
Correctnels (See Genius, Critick) in writing. i. 232, \&c. 241. iii. 227. Incorrectnefs. iii. 2, 3, \&c. 258. Caufe of Incorrectnefs in our Englifh Writers. ibid. \& 272, $3, \& c$.
Covetoufnefs. See Avarice.
Counfellor. Privy Counfellors, of wife a/pect. i. 211

Countenances. See Complexions.
Cowardice. i. 314 . ii. 140, \&c. See Fear.
Country. Love of native Country. iii. 143. See Love.
Native Country, Name wanting. iii. 149. Higher City or Country recogniz'd.
iii. 158,9

A Court. i. 10, 335. Court-Power. iii. 23. Grandeur of a Court, what influence on Art and Manners. i. 219, \&c. 239, \&cc. 341, 2. iii. 23. Spirit of a Court. i. 104, \&c. Specters met with there. i. 139. Place at Court. iii. 169, \&c. 208. Court-Slavery. iii. 168, \&c. See Slavery.
Court-Engines. iii. 174. See Favourites.
Courtier. i. 192. Honcf Courtier.
iii. $24,175,6$

Creature. Every one a private Intereft. ii. 15, \&cc. Private Ill of every Creature.
ibid.
No Creature good, if by bis Nature injurious to bis Species, or to the rebole in wobich be is included. ii. I 7. When a Creature is fuppos'd good. i1. 21. 22, 26. What makes
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makes an ill Crcature. ii. 26, 27. What makes a Creature zoorthy or virtuous.
ii. 30,31

Creature void of natural Affection. ii. 81,82

Creature when too good.
ii. 90,91

Creed. iii. 242. Furniture of Creeds. iii. 322. Creed-making. iii. 60, 61, 80, \&c. 332, 3, 4. Sce Belief, Article, Watch-word.
Credulity and Incredulity. i.345. Credulity, bow dangerous. ii. $326,8 \mathrm{c}$.

Criterion of Truth. i.6I

Critical Truth. See Truth.
Critical Liberty.
iii. 316

Critical Art, Support of Sacred Writ. iii. 236, \&c. 241, \&c. 267
Criticifm, rejoices the real Artif. i. 234, \&cc. Toleration of Criticijm, efential to Wit. i. 260. Sacred Criticijm. iii. 72, 3, 229, 30, \&c. Prevention againft. iii. 166, 276
Criticks: the ingenious and fair fort. i. 81. Formidable to the Autbor or Poet, woby? i. 231, 2. iii. 272, 73, \&c. French Criticks. iii. 280. See Bossu, Journalifts.
Critick-haters. i. 235, 6. iii. $165,6,7,258,272,74$, \&cc. Self-Critick. i. 168 Intereft, Party, Caufe, or Writing, to be fulpected, wwhich declares againft Criticijm, or declines the Proof. iii. 266,

Criticks, Pillars of State in Wit and Letters. i. 236, \&c. 240, 41. iii. 267. Criticks, Notarys, Expofitors, Prompters. i. 241. Treated as whimfical. i. 272. Tbeir Caufe defended.
Criticks by fafbion. Writing-Criticks, or Satirifts. iii. 165, 66

1. 272
iii. 271,2

Criticks, Satirifts, Scepticks, Scrupulifts. iii. 109
Crocodile, worfoip'd. ii. 32. iii. 80. Emblem of Superfitition.
ii. 387

Crocodiles, Cbimeras, Scbolafick. iii. 80

Crowns, bow purchas'd on fome occafions.
Crudities.
Cudworth (Dr.) bis Character. ii. 262. Why accus'd of being a Friend to Atbeifts. ibid. Cited. iii. 64
Cuftom and Faßhion powerful Seducers. i. 355. Cuffom vitious.
Crbele, Goddefo. ii. 35
ii. 253

## I NDEX.

## D.

DEmon, ar Guardian Spirit.
i. 168 , 9 . iii. 28 Dæmon, to what that Name belongs. ii. ir. See Witch.
Dæmonift, who, what.
ii. 11,12

Dancer.
i. 193

Figur'd Dances. iii. 91. Higb Dance in Religion and Prophecy.
iii. 117

Death, King of Terrors. i. 314. ii.253. See Fear.
Debate, free. i. 71. iii. 155 . See Conference, Freedom.
Debauch, bas a reference to Society.
i. 310 , 11 . ii. 127

Declamation. í.70. See Preaching.
Decorum. i. $138,9,337$. ii. 415 . iii. $180,85,97,8$. Decorum and Sublime of Actions. iii. 34. (See Beauty, Grace.) Dulce \& Decorum.
i. 102, 23

Dedication. See Preface.
Defender of the Faith.
i. 213

Deilt, the Name fet in oppofition to Cbriftianity. ii. 209
Deity, when view'd amijs. i. 32, 3. Deity fougbt in Chaos and Confufion, not in Order and Beauty. ii. 336, \&c. Värious Combinations of Opinions concerning Deity. ii. I3. How Men are infuenc'd by the Belief of a Deity, ii. 54, \&c. Terror of the Deity implies not moral Confcience. ii.:119. Different Cbaracters, A/pects, or Views of Deity. iii.39, 40. Species multiply'd. iii. 47, 49, 50, 80. Heatben Attributes of Deity. iii. 153. See Genius, Mind.
Deity, the fovereign Beauty, and Source of all Beautys. ii. 294, 5. See God.
Delphick Infcription.
i. 170

Demosthenes.
Denmark and Sweden.
Defpotick. See Arbitrary, Abfolute.
Devil. See Hell.
Devotion of the difmal fort; its Efferts. ii. 116, 17. The abject, beggarly, illiberal, jocopbantick, knaribs kind. i. 34, 35. iii. 125 , \&c.

Dialogue: Manner of Writing us'd by the Antients, i.73. Prelininary Science to Paetry and juft Writing. i. 191, \&c. Moderns, woby fo sparing and unfuccefsful in the way of Dialogue-Writing. ii. 187,8 . How practis'd by fome modern Divines. iii. 29I, \&c. Dialogue between an Author and his Bookfeller. iii. 16. Between GOD and Man. iii.122. Between Man and Beaf. ibid. Between GOD ard Satan. ibid. Betrueen GOD and Jonah. iii.irg,
$\&$ c.
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Drana.
iii. 79,83 , \&sc.

Diodorus Siculus citcd. iii. 43,47 , dc .

Dion Cassiuś, wuretcbed Hiforian.
Dionysius Halicarn.

1. 270 . iii. 24

Difcourfe continu'd arid alterncte. i. 70. Viciflatude in Dif courfe, a Lazw. i. 70,76
Difhonefty, a Half-Tbought. iii. 297, 302, 4. See Knavery, Thinking.
Difintereftednefs in Friendfhip. i. 100, I. See Friendfhip. Dijnterefednefs in Religion, and its boly Founders. i. 281, \&c. See Reward, Love.
Diftraction, real. i. 322, \&c.
Divine, or God-like. i. 33, 38. See Character, Theogony, Theology.
Divine Example. ii. 56
Divine Prefence.
ii. 57

Divines (Theologifs) iii. 122, 235, 237, 8c. 282, 90, 91, 93 , \&c. $305,6,316,325, \& \mathrm{c}$. Why incautious, and ill Managers, int the Caufe of Religion. ii.258, 9
Divine, in bumour, out of bumour. iii. 130

Divinity-Doctor, combatant in Print.
Polemick Divinity.
iii. 10 , \&c.
iii. $9, \& \%$.

Burlefque Divinity. iii. 291, \&c. Sirnames and Titles of Divinity. iii. 60. See Deity, Theology.
Doctrine. See Hypothefis.
Dog. See Fable, Beaft;
Dogmatifts, why fo falbionable in this Age. ii. 190; 91. Dogmatizing in Religion and on a future State. ibid. and 236, 7, 297. See Sceptick.
Dominion, founded in Property.
Drama: Englifh Drama, lame Support of it. iii. 289, 90. Dramatis Perfonæ. ibid. See Play, Stage, Tragedy, Comedy, Mr. Bays.
Theological Drama.
iii. 293

Drapery, Rules concerning it. iiii. 372 , 3
Dryden. iii. 6i, 2. See Bays.
Duels. i. 273, 363 . See Challenges.

EE. AR in Mujcik. i. $42,135,217,18,35,336,38$ Ear lof. i. 344. Publick Ear. i. 364, 275, 6. See Audience.
Difemper in the Ear.
Ears to hear, \&e,
Ear in Poetry,

1. 324,5
i. 63
i. 2175275 , iii. 262, \&xc.

Vel. 3.
夷
Eatthe:
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Eurypides. i. 244, \& C. iii. $141,240,313$

Executioner. See Magiftrate.
Excellency. See Titles.
Exemplars, in the Writing-Art.
i. 192,206

Exercifes. i. 191. See Academy.
Eyes: fitted to certain Lights.
i. 62

Eye in Painting. i. 135, 235, 336. Eye in Painting loft, bow?

1. 344

Diftemper in the Eye.
i. 324,5

Harmony to the Eye.
Eye debauch'd.
iii. 4
iii. 5
F.

${ }^{-1}$ABLES us'd by Wifemen and Moralifts. i. 63. iii. 205,6. Sce Parable, Mythology.
Fable of the Man and Lion. in 188. Of the Travelling Dogs. iii. 207,8

Truth of Fable. See Truth.
Fact. Matter of Fact, bow judg'd by Zealots. i. 43, 4, 55, 147. 8. Matter of Fact, in the Language of the Superfitious.
i. 44

Matters of Fack, unably tho fincerely related, prove the weverf fort of Deceit. i. 346. See Truth.
Faction, Spirit of.
i. 114

Fair, Bartl'my.
i. $z 8$

Fair, Beautiful. i. 139. See Beauty, Decorum, Numbers.
Fair, Species of.
i. 139

Fairys.
i. 6

Faith (religious) antient, modern. i.6, 7. Implicit Faith. i. 94. iii. 231. Definition. iii. 73, 4. Extenfron of Faith. i. 5, \&c. Act of Faith. ibid. Faith on any Terms. i. 36 . Heroick Faith. iii. 334. Religious Faith, dependent on what? i.39. Hiftorical Faith. iii. 72. Perfonal. iii. 73. Faith National, Hereditary, entail d by Law. i. 344, 62. iii. 103. Faith in Travellers, Romancers, Legends. i. 344, \&c. Rule of Faith. iii. 318, 19, 22, \&c. See Belief.
Rule of Faith (Ireatife of Arcbbifbop Tillotion) cited. iii. $329, \& \mathrm{c}$.

Chinefe, or Indian Faith. i. 344, 5. Hiflorical, Critical Faith.
iii. 22

Confeffion of Faith, the Author's. iii. 315. Gradual Decay of the Evidence relating to the Matters of our Faith. iii. 238 , \&c.

Fanaticks, antient. i. 47, \&c. Compar'd ruith modern. ibid. Fanstick errent. ii. 330. Ses Lymphaticls.
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Fanaticks in all Cburches and Religions. i. 50. iii. 38. Firnaticifm, its true CbaraERer. ii. 329, 30. Fanatick Senfe


$$
\text { iii. } 92,3,239 \text {, \&c. }
$$

Fancys apofropbiz'd. i. i88. Sopbifters, Impofors. ibid. Government of Fancy. i. 308, \&c. ii. 231. Fancy: ber Afault, Combat, Fortrefs. i. $311, \& c .320, \& c$.
Fancys, Sollicitreffes, Encbantreffes. i.312,13. Reprimanded, queftion'd, examin'd, difmifs'd. i. 325, \&c. Difagreement with Fancy, makes the Man bimfelf; Agreement, not bimelf. i.325, \&c. Lady-Fancy crofs'd by a What next? i.326. Fancys in a Tribe. i. 321, 27. Florid Fancy. iii. г77. Power of Fancy in Religion. iii. 68. See Humour.
Farce. i. 150. iii. 6, \&c. See Fefcennin, Atellan, Parody.
Fafces. i. 16. See Magiftrate.
Fafhion. See Modes, Cuftom.
Father of a Country.
i. 37,321

Fathers of the Cburch difputing and difputed. iii.327. Induffious in fuppreffing all Scripture or Arguments of their Adverfarys swhich made againft then. iii. 320 , \&c. 330 , \&c. Burning Metbod of Roman and Greek Fathers, Bibops, \&c.
iii. 239, \&c.

Favourites. i. 192. ii. 13 8. See Court.
Fear, Paffion of. i. 294. ii. 55, \&c. Defcription by Des Cartes. i. 294. Its Root and Cure. i. 295, \&c,
Fear of Death. ii. 140, \&c. How improw'd or abated. i. 314 , \&c. iii. 196, 7, 203, 4
Fear and Hope in Religion. ii. 55. See Future State, Rewards and Punifhments.
Ferments. See Humours.
Fefcennin (Plays.)
i. 251

Fiction. See Fable.
Figure, principal in a Picture, to govern the reft. iii. 3.44
Flattery in Devotion. i. 34. See Devotion, Sycophant.
Fly. ii. I8. See Spider.
Fools: the greateft, who? ii. 231
Foot-ball. i. 187. iii. 15
Force and Arbitrary Power deftruEtive of all Arts. i. 219, \&c. 237, \&c.
Form, outward, in a Figure, to give place rubere the invward is defcribbd. iii. 367
Formality.
i. $11,12,74$

Formalifts. i. 12, 13, 174, 335. 3ii.97, 8. The Author bimfelf a Formalif.
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Foreigners: Treatment of them by diffrent Nations. iii. 152 , \&c. See Hofpitality.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Free Thought. } \\ \text { Free Thinker. }\end{array}\right\}$ See Thought.
Free Writer.
ii. 7

Free States. i. 238, \&c.
Freedom of Wit. i.69. (See Wit, Difcourfe, Debate.) Confequence of a Reftraint.
i. 71,2

French Autbors. i. 335. Theater. iii. $6,7,8$

French Criticks. See Bossu, Criticks.
Friend: knowable, unknowable. i. 284. Friend of Mankind.
ii. 247

Friendfhip: rcal Good. ii. 238, \&c. Comprebends Society and Monkind. ii. 239, \&c. Friendjbip bow prevalent and diffúve.
ii. 109

Friendihip, Cbrifian, Heatben. i. 98, \&c. (See Charity, Hofpitality, Difintereftednefs.) Friend/bip its awn Resward.
i. 100

Fucus, Mask or Vizard of Superfition.
Fungus. i. 84

Future State. i. $18,97,8 \mathrm{cc}$ ii. 236,7 . iii. 302. See Rewards and Punihments.

## G.

$A^{\prime}$Gaiante.
i. 192 Gallantry, Original and Progrefs. i. 272,3,331,2. ii. 194, \&xc. iii. 253. Devout Gallantry. i. 20, 362,3. Gallantry and Heroick Porver of Faith. iii. 334. Merit in the Gallant World. i. 331. See Ladys, Chivalry, Novel.
Gallows. i. 127. iii. 177. See Jail.
Gardens. iii. 167. See Palace.
Aulus Gelifus cited.
jiii. 234
Generation: Natural Infinct in the Cafe.
ii. 412

Genius, or Guardian-Angel. i. 168,9

Genius of the World. ii. 245, 284, 95, 343, 47, 352, \&c. See Deity.
Genius, not fufficient to form a Writer or Poet. i. 193. iii. 258. Englifh Autbor evou'd be all Genius. i. 233. iii. 258. Fafbionable Affectation of a Genius, witbout Correctness, in our Englifh Writers. i. 263. iii.258, 9, 64, \&c. See Englifh Pocts.
Gentleman: Character of a Gentleman. i. 135. iii. 156, \&c. (See Breeding.) Amufements of Gentlemen more imsproving than the profound Refearches of Pedants. i. 335 . iii. 168

Fine Gentleman, owving to Mafters.
i. 191

Gibbet.
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Gibbet. i.125. See Jail, Gallows, Hell.
Gibbets and Rods fucceed to Charity and Love, wuben. iii. 115
Giddinefs in Life.
i. 322

Gladiators: Barbarity of Gladiatorian Spectacles. i. 269,70 . iii. 256, 7

Gladiatorian Penmen.
iii. 12

Glafs. See Looking-Glafs.
Glazier.
iii. 15

Glory : Aciing for Glory's fake, bow far divine? i. 38

Gnosticks, antient Hereticks.
iii. 75,6

God: God and Goodnefs the fame. i. 33, \&cc. 40, \&8c. Nothing in God but whbat is God-like. i. 33, \&c. Quefion concerning bis Being, what IDue? ibid. and 39, 40. See Deity, Attributes, Praife.
God, what? ii. 10. What Idea given of God in certain Religions. ii. 13, 14. Ill Character of a God: Its Confequences in refpect to Morality. ii. 47, \&c. How God can be faid to witmess for bimfelf to Men.
Belief of a God, conjder'd as Powerful. ii. 55. As Worthy and Good. ii. 56
Gondreert.
iii. 341 , 2

Good: bow predominant in Nature. ii. 216, 17. What is truly Good. ii. 225, 237, \&c.
Good of the Whole, i. 40. Private Good, wwhat? i. 203. See Intereft, Pleafure.
Good, what? Where found? i. 308, \&c. Good and Happinefs. ii. 227. iii. 196, \&sc. Opinion of Good. ibid.

Goods of Fortune, and Goods of the. Mind compar'd. ii.432, \&c.
Goodnefs, Divine. i. 23. Opinion of Goodnefs creates Truf. i. 94 . ii. 334 . iii. 114

Goodnefs: rwhat, in a fenfble Creature?
Gorgias Leontinus. i. 74

Goth. i. 86, 89. Gotbick Infuence in Philofophy and Religion as well as Arts. i.350, 51. Gotbick Government. iii.150, 51. Gotbick Notion. i.86, 9. Gotbick Poetry. i. 217, 18. Gotbick Arcbitecture.
i. 236

Gothick Conqueror, conquer'd by fpiritual Arms. iii. 90, 91
Gothicifm. See Barbarifm, Barbarians.
Government abfolute. (See Abrolute.) Free Government or Confitution. i. 216. Defnition. iii. 311, 12 . Origit or Rife of Civil Government: Ridiculous Account. i. Iog. (See State of Nature.) Natural Accoint. i. 110, \&c. 236, \&c. Civil Gavernment conforming and fubardinate. i. 110 , 336. Defj'd, infulted, embroit'd. i.363. iii. 89

## INDEX.

Grace. (See Decorum.) The naturally graceful. i. 135. (See Beauty, Numbers.) Grace and Action in Humart Bodys.
i. 190

Grace. See Titles.
Grammar: Grammatical Rules neceffarily afplicable to Scripture of whatever kind. $\quad$ iii. 229, \&c.
Grandees. See Minitters.
Grapes not from Tlorns.
i. 286

Gratitude.
ii. 240,41

Gratuity. i. I26. See Reward.
Gravity, try'd, prov'd. i. 11, 12. True and falfe. ibid. Of the Effence of Impofure. i. 11. Convenient Gravity of this fort. iii. 334. See Grimace, Formality, Solemnity.
Great Britain, like to be the principal Seat of Aits. iii. 398
Great Mert. See Miniters.
The Great (Great People) their Infuence on Wit, and ine the literate World. i. $8,210, \& \mathrm{c}$. Their Character. ii. 137,8
GREECE, Fountain of Arts, Science, and Politenefs. i. 219. iii. $138, \& \mathrm{cc}$. Early Writers of Greece form'd the publick Tafle. i. 263, 4. Grecian Religion. iii. 126, \&c. I 53, 4. Manners. ibid. 152, \&c. See Athenians.

Greek Language, original Beauty and Refinement. iii. 138, $\& \mathrm{c}$
GrEGORIUS the Great. iii. 239, 40
Grimace, religious and zealot-kind. i. 65, 6, 74, 149. See Gravity.
Grimace, from Conftraint and Perfecution. i. 84
Grotefque-Figures. i. 149
Guardịan bonef, awhen? i. 125

## H.

HAlf- Jefters. i. 81 Fialf-Knave, thorow Fool. i. 131,2
Half-Thinkers. iii. 300. See Thought.
Harmony, fuch by Nature, not by Fafbion or Will. i. $353^{\circ}$ Naival Harmony, bow adranc'd. i. 238. Harnomy, Rules of. i. 140. See MIufick.
Haunt. See Specter.
Heart, unfound, bollow. i. 43. A Heart in Lower's Language. i. 137. Defcent on the Territorys of the Heart. i. 355. Heart marely buman. i. 358 . Heart after the Pattern of God Alnigbty. ibid. Numbers of the Heart. iii. 34. Wifdom of. i. 277. See Beauty, Character.
Heart makes the Pbilofopher.
iii. 16:

Heathen-Charity. See Charity.
Heathen-Church. See Church.
Hell.

## IN DE X.

Hell. iii. 177, 8. See Devil, Gallows, Jail.
Heraldry.
Herald of Fame.
i. 362,3

Hercules.

1. 225

Judgment of Hercules, the Subject of it. iii. 349, 50. The principal Figure in the Piece. iii. $35^{8}$. His different Appearance in the Several Parts of the Difpute. iiii. 350, 51, 59, 60
Herculean Law. . .i. 267
Hereafter: A Quefion with a Sccptick. ii. 236, 7. See Futare State.
Heretick by Birth. iii. 104. Good-bumour'd Man properly no Heretick.
iii. 105

Hermit, never by bimfelf.
i. 175

Hero: Pbilofophick Hero. i. 194, 98. Herr of the black Tribe.
i. 349

Heroick Prince : a Cbarazer and Story. i. 176, \&c.
Heroick Virtue. See Virtue.
Heroick Sign-Poft.
i. 225

Heroism and Philanthropy. i. 113. Heroism in Faith. See Volunteer, Faith.
Herodotus. iii. 247. Cited. iii. 43
Hierarchy. i. 86. iii. 48. (See Magi, Prieft.) Its Power in Perfia, Ethiopia, Egypt. ibid. Its Growth over the Civil Magiftrate. ibid. Acquiftion of Lands and consequent Dominion. ibid. Certain Law, Permiffon, or Indulgence, neceffarily producing this Effect, and fatal to the Civil Magistrate. iii. 44, 5, 78, 9. Eftablifoment of the Hierarchy over the Monarchy, or State in the Egyptian, Ethiopan, Babylonian Empires. iii. 48, 9. Parallel Effect in the Roman. iii. 78, 9, 88, \&c. Roman-Chrifitian and Catholick Hierarchy: its Growth under the Univerfal Roman Monarchy. iii. 90. And afterwards over the barbarous Nations. iii. 91 . Its Prevalence, Policy, Como prebenfivenefs, Majefy and Grandeur. iii. 92, \&cc. Affected Pretenders, Imitators, and Copifts after thee Origihals. ibid. and 106
History compared with Poetry.
i. 145

Hiftorian. i. 122, 189. Difinterefied. i. 224,5. See Poet.
Hiftorical $T_{\text {ruth }}$. See Truth.
History of Criticks. i. 240, \&c.
Mr. Новbes.
i. 88,8 c. 94

Homer. ii. 205, 221. His Character. i. 208. iii. 32, $334^{\circ}$ Cited. iii. 153. Character of bis Works. i. 196, \&c. iii. 32, 153. Father and Prince of Poets. iii. 32. and i. 244. Age when be rofl. i. 243 , \&c. Revolution made by bim.

## INDEX.

Homerical Cbaracters or Perfonages. i. 196, 7, 207. iii. 260, $\& \mathrm{c}$. Homer underflood baw to lye in Perfection. i. 346. iii. 260 , sc.

Honeft in the dark.
i. 125

Honetty, its Value. i. i21. Honeffy and Harmony refide together. i. 208. See Virtue, Integrity.
Honefty the beft Policy.
i. 132. iii. 204,5

Honours. See Titles.
Point of Honour.
Auctions or Sales of Honour.
ii. 194, 5

Hope and Fear in Religion iu. 55,57, Reward and Punifhment.
Horace cited, paffim ——Pafages of Horace explain'd. i. 5 I ( (viz. Sat. v. ver. 97.) iii. 202. (Epift. vi. lib. i. bis) iii.249. (Epift. xx. Sat. 1. lib. ii, E'c.) Alfo bis Epifle to Auguftus (lib. 2.) i. 269,70
Horace, beft Genius, and moft Gentleman-like of Roman Poets. i.328. His Hiffory, Cbaraeter. ii.224. iii. 202, 248, \&c.
Horfe. Hound, Hauk, \&cc. See Bealt.
Hobby-Horfe.
i. 217

Horfeman and Horfemanfbip.
i. 193

Hofpitality: what kind of Virtue. ii. 166. Antient, Heathen. iii. 143, 4. (See Charity, Friendinip.) Inbofpita. ble Difofition or Hatred of Foreigners? what Sign? iii. 153. Inbofpitality, Englif. iii. 152, 3

Hot-cockles.
iii. 293

Hound, Horfe. See Beaft.
Humility, what Virtue, in Religion, and Love. i.331, 2
Humour: Good-Humour, beft Security againf Entbufafim. i. 22, 55. Force of Humour in Religion. iii. 95, 98, 108, \&c. Ill-Hunour, Caufe of Atbeijm. i. 23

Good-Humour and Impofture, Enemys. i. 32. Good Humour, Proof of Religion. ibid. Of Wit. 74. A natural Lenitive againft Vice. i.128. Specifck againft Superffition and Entbuffafm.
ibid. and 55
Humour and Fancy, ill Rule of Tafte. i. 338, \&c. iii. 165, \&ce. Ill Rule of Good and Ill. ibid. and iii. 200, 20I
Humours, as in the Body, fo in the Mind. i. 14

Hydrophobia.
Hylomania. i. 50

Hypocrites.
iii. 65

Hypothefis. Sce Syitem.
Fantafick Hypotbe/ts.
iii. 190. iii. 160

Hypothefes, Syfems, defroyid, blown zu. j. 88

Religious Hypothefes multitiply'd. iii. 47, \&c.

## INDEX.

I.

1AIL. i. 125. iii. 177. Sce Gallows. Janus: Janus-Face of Zealot-Writers.
Ideas, simple, complex, adequate, \&c. i. 287, 8, 299, \&c. (See Metaphyficks.) Comparifon of mere Ideas and anticulate Sounds, equally important. i. 288, 303. Examination of our Ideas not pedantick, when? 1. 312. True and useful Comparijon, Proof, and Ascertainment of Ideas. i. 299, \&c.

Ideal World.
iii. 211

Ideas innate. i. 49,354 . ii. $43,8 \mathrm{cc} .412$. iii. $36,214, \& \mathrm{c}$. Not innate, of rwbat kind. iii. 164
Ideas of the World, Pleafure, Riches, \&c. what? i. 301. See Opinion, Fancy.
Identity.
Idol; Idol-Notions, Idolaters.
Jернтнан.
Jeff: true, false. i. $74,81,128$, 9 . See Ridicule.
JEws, a cloudy People. i. 29, 30, 282. iii. 55 , \&cc. 115, 16.
Sullen, bitter, perfecting. ibid. Their Character by God
himself. iii. 55 . Jewijp Underfandiny. i. 282, 3. Dif-
position towards the darker Superfitions. iii. 124. Jews,
a chosen People. i. 357 . iii. 282. Left to Pbiloopphy for
JEws, a cloudy People. i. 29, 30, 282. iii. 55 , \&cc. 115, 16.
Sullen, bitter, perfecting. ibid. Their Character by God
himself. iii. 55 . Jewijp Underfandiny. i. 282, 3. Dif-
position towards the darker Superfitions. iii. 124. Jews,
a chosen People. i. 357 . iii. 282. Left to Pbiloopphy for
JEws, a cloudy People. i. 29, 30, 282. iii. 55 , \&cc. 115, 16.
Sullen, bitter, perfecting. ibid. Their Character by God
himself. iii. 55 . Jewijp Underfandiny. i. 282, 3. Dif-
position towards the darker Superfitions. iii. 124. Jews,
a chosen People. i. 357 . iii. 282. Left to Pbiloopphy for
JEws, a cloudy People. i. 29, 30, 282. iii. 55 , \&cc. 115, 16.
Sullen, bitter, perfecting. ibid. Their Character by God
himself. iii. 55 . Jewijp Underfandiny. i. 282, 3. Dif-
position towards the darker Superfitions. iii. 124. Jews,
a chosen People. i. 357 . iii. 282. Left to Pbiloopphy for
JEws, a cloudy People. i. 29, 30, 282. iii. 55 , \&cc. 115, 16.
Sullen, bitter, perfecting. ibid. Their Character by God
himself. iii. 55 . Jewijp Underfandiny. i. 282, 3. Dif-
position towards the darker Superfitions. iii. 124. Jews,
a chofen People. i. 357 . iii. 282. Left to Pbiloopphy for Inf ruction in Virtue.
ii. 350 , \&c. iii. 192, \&c.
i. 60,357
iii. 124

Jewish People, originally dependent on the Egyptians. iii. 51 , \&c. Their Rites, Ceremonys, Learning, Science, Manners, bow far deriv'd thence. ibid. How tenacious and bigoted in this reflect. ibid. Spirit of Perfection and Religious Maffacre, propagated from hence. ibid. iii. 60, \&c. 80, \&c. 86, \&c. (See Perfection.) Jewish Princes. iii. 1 1 6, 24
Ill, whether really exiflent in the Univerffe. ii.9, 10. Abfolute Ill, what? ii. 20. Relative Ill. ibid. \& 21. The Appearances of Ill no Argument against the Exifence of a perfect forvereign Mind. ii. 363,4 . No real Ill in Things.
ii. 364 Appearance of Ill neceffary.
ii. 288,9

Imitation Poetical. i. 193. See Poet. Works of Imitation, bare to be regulated. iii. 389,90

Imperium in Imperio. i. 114

Impoftors, Speak the bet of Human Nature. i. $944^{\circ}$ See Goodness.
Impofture arraign'd. i. 10. Hid under Formality. i. $74{ }^{\circ}$ Efence of Impofure. i. II. Impofure fears not a grave Enemy. i. 31. Strangely mixed with Sincerity, Hypocrify, Zeal, and Bigotry.
ii. 324,5

## I NDEX.

Indian Mufctct and Painting. i. 242,340. Indian Princes late Embafadors. iii. 339
Indolence, i. 310,18 , 19. Its dangerous Confequences. ii. $158,8 c$.
Informers.
i. 126

Ingratitude, a negative Vice.
ii. 167

Inhumanity not compatible with Good-Breeding. ii. 163 . Unnatural.
ii. 164

Inquiry concerning Virtue, Deity, \&c. See Vol.ii. Treatife I. \& i. 297. Occafion of this Treatife. ii. 5, \&c. Its Defenfe. ii. 263 , $\& \mathrm{cc}$.
Inquiry, Freedom of.
Inquifition. i. 34

Inquifitors. i. 65. Self-Inquifitor.
i. 20,186 . iii. 103

Infiration i 7045 (See Prophets, Poets) Thiria6 Divine Entbufafm. i. 53. Atbeifical Infiration. iiì. 64. True and Falfe, alike in their outward Symptoms. i. 53. Infiration credited, bow?
iii. 40

Fudgment of the Infir'd concerning their own Infpiration. iii. $63,74,5,245$. See Poets, Sibyls.

Inftinct, from Nature. ii. 41 1, 12. See Ideas.
Intelligent Being: What contributes moft to bis Happinefs. ii. 100, 1
Intereft governs the World, a falfe Maxim. i. 115, 17, 18. Self-Interef. ii. 80. Rigbtly and wwong taken. i. 281, $\&$ c. iii. 302, 4, 5. Unrwifely committed to the care of others. iii. I59. How form'd. i. 296. Vary'd, feer'd. ibid.
True Intereft either qubolly with Honefy or Villany. i. 131, 172 , \&c. Fudgment of true Interef. i. 307, 8, iii.

Difuntereftednefs real, if Virtue and Goodrefs be fuch. i. 98

Јов.
Jonah, Prophet. iii. $18, \& \mathrm{c}$.
Joseph, Patriarch, bis Education, Cbaratter. iii. 57, 8 Joshé. i. 356 , \& C. Jove. ii. $47,8,203$
Journalifts : Journal des Savans de Paris. iii. 18, 20. Hiftoire des Ouvrages des Savans. 18. Nouvelles de la Republique des Lettres. ibid. Bibliotheque Choifie. ibid. \& 20. See Bibliotheque Choifie.

Irony. i. 7 I . See Banter, Raillery.
Is is.
iii. 47

Isocrates.
iii. 280

Italians, Buffons. i. 72, 129. Italian Wit aird Authors. i. 335,46

Italian Trafe, the bef in Paintimg, Mufck, \&c. i. 338, 40 Judgment ${ }_{3}$

## I NDEX.

Judgment, preliminary Right. i.12. Previous Judgment. IULiAN (Emperor) i. 25. iii. 86, \&c. His Letter to the Boftrens.
ibid.
Jupiter. See Jove.
Jut and Unjuft acknowledg'd.
Justin, (Hifiorian.)
ii. 420

Juveniriii. 54,7
Juvenal, explain'd. i. 103, \&ic. Cited. i. 70, 106, 126 , 253. iii. $23,4,42,50,178,274$
K.

7 IND (Species) Union with a Kind. ii. 78. Oppos'd by Self-Intereff.
ii. 79

Kings. See Princes, Monarchy, the Great, a Court.
Knave, natural and civil. i. 109. By what Principle different from the Saint: or how difinguifb'd from the boneft Man. i. $102,126,7 ; 130,31,172,3$
Knave incapable of Enjoyment. i. 130. Betrays bimfelf, bowever able. iii. 305
Knaves in. Principle, in Practice. i. 93, 4. Knave, bas no Quarrel with Religion. ibid. Half-Knave, thorow-Fool. i. 131, 2. ii. 173. Zealot-Knave. i. 132, 3. (See Zeal.) Court-Knaves. iii. 168, 69, \&c. Knaves, Friends to Moderations in rubat fenfe. i. 115. Knave young, middle-ag'd, old. iii. 178
Knavery, mere Difonance and Difproportion. i. 207, 8. See Difhonefty.
Knavifh Indulgence, the Confequences. i. 121, 130, \&c. 172, 310, 11. iii. 302, 5. Knaviß Religion. iii. 125. See Religion.
Inight-Errantry. i. 20. See Chivalry, Gallantry.
Knights-'Templar, growing to be an Overmatch for the Magiftrate. i. 86. Extirpated.ibid. See Magophony, Hierarchy.
Knowledg: firft Principle, previous. i. 41, \&c. 54, 269, 334
Knowledg of Men and Things, true Pbilofothy, bow lcarnt.
i. 122,3
L.

L Adys, fainted, warßhip'd, deify'd. i. 273, 331 , 2. ii. 195. (See Gallantry, Chivalry.) Englifh Ladys feduc"d by Tales and Impoftures. i.347, \&cc. Type or Propbecy of this in our antient Stage-Poet. ibid. Ses Superftition, Sex, Women.
Lampoons.
i. 265

Lands. (See Property, Agrarian.) Religious Land-Bank. iii. 44, \&cc. Latitude

## INDEX.

Latitude of Thought.
Latitudinarians.
Laugh balf-way. i.81. Both ways. i.1 129. Laugh ewrongturn'd. iii. 296. (See Ridicule.) Men not to be laugh'd out of their Wits. i.g6. Men laugb'd out of, and into Religion. iii. 291. Difference in feeking wwhat to laugh at, and what deferves Laughter.
i. 128

Laugh, mutual, and in turn.
Laws, Royal Counfellors in our Englifh Confitution. i. 212. Guardian-Laws. i. 219. Religion by Law eftabliff'd. i. 362. iii. 71, 103, 231, 315, 16, 337, 38. (See Rites, Myfteries, Revelation.) Heraldry by Lawe efablijf'd. i. 362

Herculean Law. 1. 267

Lazinefs. i. 310. See Indolence.
Learning: Pafion for Learning or Science, rank'd with natural Affection.
Legitimate Work or Piece, in Writing. i.336. iii. 2, 26
Leo (St.)
iii. 91

Letters. See Epiftles.
Leviathan-Hypotbefis. i.88. See Mr. Hobbes. Wolf.
Liberal Arts. See Arts. Liberal Education. ii.65. Liberal and illiberal Service. ii. 55,65
Liberty of Criticijm. iii. 266, 316. See Criticks.
Liberty civil, philofophical or moral, perfonated. ii. 252,3. Abufe of the Notion of Liberty in Morals and Governo ment. iii. 305, \&c. Liberty of the Will. i. 178, \&c. 184, \&cc. Liberty or free Difpofition to follow the firf Motions of the Will, is the greateft Slavery. i.211. ii. 23 I
Liberty Pbilofophical, Moral. ii. 252, \&c. 432, \&c. iii. 201, 4, 307, \& C .
Proteftant Liberty. See Proteftant.
Liberty: (See Government, Conftitution, Englifh, Britifh.) Its Patrons, Well-wifhers. i.8. Confequence of its Rife and Fall. i. 219, \&c.

Liberty in Converfation. i.75. Falfy cenfur'd. i.10. Sef Wit, Freedom.
Prejudice againft Liberty. i.89. Arts, Sciences, and Virtues, its Dependents. i.64, 72, 96, 220, 21. See Arts, Science, Virtue.
Life-its Value. i. 121, 24, 302._Living well or good Living, falfe fenfe of the Pbrafe. i. 124. Living faft, falfe application of the Pbrafe. i. 215,16 . ii. 126, 7 . Life fometimes a Mijery. ii. 141. Over-Love of Life, contrary to the Interefl of a Creature. ii. $141, \& c$. Future Life: The Belief, of wobat adrantages ii. 0 , \&c.

## I N D E X.

Lineage of Pbilofophy and Poctry. i. 239, 40, 253, \&c. iii. 132,
Livx, the Hiforian. 37, \&c.
Logick, of modern Schools. i. 286, 7, 334,50, 51
Looking-glafs, vocal. i. 171. Magical Pocket-Looking-glaffes. i. 195. Looking-glafs to the Age. i. 199, 202, 5. Falfe Looking-gla/s.
Love. (See Charity.) Love of Friends. ii. 238,9
Love of Mankind. ii. $24 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{z}$
Love of one's Country. iii. 143, \&c. Love of Order and Pcrfection. ii. 212
Love imperfeat and narrow, generous and equal. iii. 143, \&c. (Sce Affection) Publick Love. i. 37. (See Publick.) Larve, bigbeft, nobleft. ii. 211, \&c. Divine Love. ii. 244, 5. See Enthufiaim.
Love, dangerous Sophifter. i.183, 4. Paftion of Love in the Sexes. i. 176, \&c. Subject the moft affecting, in the Paffon of Lave between the Sexcs. ii. 105, 6. Flattery of Love. i-138. Religious Love between the Sexes. iii. 38. Lowe cruelly treated by Epicurus. iii. 3 1, 2
Self-Love. ii. 58. Its Effects in Religion. ii. 58,9. Silly reafoning about Self-Love, by pretended Wits. i. 90, 118. \&c. See Self.
Religion and Love. i.331, 2. Galante Lave, and religious Charity of a certain kind, compar'd. iii. 115
Lover, Martyr. See Martyr.
Lover folitary. i. 174. Story of a Heroick Lover. i. 176, \&c. Lover's Purfuit and Enjoyment, of what kind. i. jo9. See Enjoyment.
Luke (St.) cited, commented.
iii. 245

LUCRETIUS。 i.52,118. iii. 32
Luxary. i. $310,15,319$, \& c . ii. 147, \&c. iii. 199, 200, 304, 5
Lycurgus. iii. 246

Lyes, judicioufly compos'ds teach Truth in the beft manner. i. 346. Homer perfeef in this Science. ibid. and iii. 260,

Lymphaticks. i. 50, 5 1. See Fanaticks, Enthufiafm.
Lysias, Orator. iii. 280
M.

M Achine (in Epick and Dramatick.) i.359. World a Machine.
ii. 337

Madnefs : real Madman, who?
i. $321,8 \mathrm{c}$.

Mecenas.
i. 220, 270. iii. $21,249,50$

Magi of Peria, Eiso i. 85. Their Power. iii. 48. See Hierarchy.
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Magicians. See Magi.
Magick, moral. i.136. Magick of Entbufafm. iii. 29
Magittrate, his Duty and becoming Part in Religion. i. 10, 16, 19. ii. 261. iii. 104, \&c. Executioner to the Prieft, when? i.66. iii. 1 . A Drefer. i.83. Drefs'd in bis turn ibid. See Government, Hierarchy.
Civil Magifrate, infulted. i. 363 . iii. 89. Contrould. iii. 44. Over-arw'd. iii. 47. Depos'd, fentenc'd. iii.48, 9

Magnificence, true and falfe. i. 139
Magophony, Perfian. i. $8 \%$, \&ct. Ethiopian. iii. 49. European and Chrifian. i. 85, 6
Mahometifm. iii. IO4. Mahometan Clergy. iii. 235
Malice, only rwhere Interefts are oppos'd. i.39, 40. None in the general Mind—nor in mere Nature. ibid.
Malignity, Paffon zunatural. ii. 165
Man: a grood, an ill. ii.21. Formidable, in what fenfe. ii.94* Subject to Nature. ii.302. Why no Wings. iii. 302, 3. Man's Excellency different from that of a Brute. ii. 304, 5 . Why Man has not the fame Infinets rwbich are in Brutes. ii. 308, 9 . Whether fociable by Nature. ii. 311, \&c. Whetber a Man can be accounted a Wolf to a Man. ii. 320. Abfurdity of that Saying. ibid. Man's Dignity and Interef. ii. 425. Different Manners of Men. ii. 429, \&c.

Mankind, bow corrupt.
ii. 198, 201

Manners: Poetic Manners and Truth. iii. 260, \&c. See Poet, Truth.
Marsham. Cbron. Can. iii. 52 , \&c. 124
Martyrdom. i. 26 , 8 c. iii. 40 , 1
Martyrs for Atbeijm, i.go. iii. 64 —Pro and Con, for any Opinion. iii. 40, 41. Amorous, Heroick, Religious Martyrs. ii. 106. iii. 34

Mask. See Carnival.
Mafs.
Maffacre. See Magophony.
Matters in Exercifes and Philofophy. i.191. Mafters in Mechanicks. See Mechanicks.
Young Mafters of the World. i. 106, 211
Mathematical Demonfration in Morals. See Morals.
Mathematicks. i.19. Delightful, whence. ii.104,5. Necefary. i. 289, 90. Modef. ibid.
Matter, Whole and Parts. ii.368. Not capable of real Simplicity. ii. 351,2 . Not confitutive of Identity. ibid. Subfance material, immaterial. ii. 353,4
Matter and Thougbt, bozv mutually affecing or productive. ii. 296, 7, 369

Maximus Tyreus, cited. ii. 295 . $3 i 1.32$
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Mechanicks, Mafters in.
Mechanick Forms, Beautys. i. 137. See Palaces.
Mechanifm——_uman. i. 115,294 . Divine. ii. 336,7
Medea.
iii. 313

Meditation Rural-Pbilofopbical.
ii. 344 , \&c.

Meditations publifb'd. i. 164. Meritation impofing, concitcd, pedantick.
i. $164,5,343$

Meditation in the praife of a Deity. ii. 344, \&c. Upon the Works of Nature. ii. 366, \&c. Upon the Elements. ii. 376, \&c. Upon the Varicty of Seafons and Climates. ii. 383, \&c.
Melancholy, a pertinacious and religious Complexion. iii. 67
Melancholy in Religion, Love. i. 13. Power of Melancholy in Religion. iii. 66. Dervout Melancholy. i. 22, 32, 44 iii. 67, 8. Treatifes of Melancholy. iii. 30. See Religion, Enthufiafm.
Memoirs. i. 163. Menoir-Writing. i. 200, 224,346. See Mifcellany.
Memory, tò 'Euprnecorvevoy.
i. 143

Menander.
Mental Enjoyment, cubence.
i. 24 6. iii. 238

Mercenarinefs. i. 126 . See Reward.
Merit in believing. See Belief.
Messias. iii. 78. See Monarchy.
Metaphor, or Metapborick Style or Manner. i. 243, \&c. iii. 140
Metaphyficks. $\quad$ i. 289, 299, 301. ii. 354. iii. 193, 4
Metaphyficks, neceffary Knowledge of nothing knowable or knorwn. iii. 210,11
Metaphyficians, their Character. i. 29 I
Metaphyfical Articles of Belief.
Milo.
ii. 304

Milton.
i. $276,358,9$

Mimes. i. 193. iii. 285. See Imitation, Mimickery.
Mimickery. i.196. Mimographer.
ibid.
Mind, free. i. 1 30.—a Kingdom. iii. 205. Beauty of the Mind. i. 137. Value of a Mind. ii.440. iii. 168, 205. Its inzuard Proportion.
ii. 83

Mind : particular Minds prove an Univerfal one. ii. 355, \&cc. Univerfal Mind, bow prov'd. iii. 290, 9 I
Minitter of State. i. 37, 192. Minifers of State concern'd for their Character and Meinory. i. 225 . Conduct neceffary to preferve them. i. $225,8 c$. Claim of the People over them. i.227. Dangerous Conceit of Minizfers and Great Men.
i. 229, 30

Minifters Mecenas's.
i. 215,16

Good Miniffer, hazs to be rathid and judg'd. i. 23,4

Vel. 3 :
Ff
Miniftry:

## I N D EX.

Minitry: good Miniftry in England. iii.148. The new, the old. iii. 208. Ill. and Javijh Miniftry, of what confe= quence.
iii. 148,9

Miracles, fcripturah traditional. i.6. Modern. i. 44. iii.70. Cbrijtian, Moorijs, Pagan. i. 345, 47, \&c. Paft, prefent. ii. 331. The Danger of believing new Miracles. ii. 328 , \& ic. Whether Miracles can witnefs for God or Men. ii. 331, \&c. Mere Miracles infuficient Proof of Divinity, or Revelation. ii. 333, 4. iii. 114. Merry Miracle. iii. 123

Mirrour. See Looking-glafs.
Mifanthropy: what kind of Pafion. ii.165. Sometimes, in a manner, national. ii. 166. iii. 153

Mifcellanarian Authors, their Policy and Art. iii. 288,9
Miscellanys. Vol.iii. p. 1, 2, \&c.
Mifcellaneous Memoir, Efay-Writing, Style and Manner. ibid. and iii. 95, \&c. 225, 6. See Eflay, Memoir.
Mifcellaneous Collections, annual.
iii. 274

Mode: Modes of Religion.
Model : current Models of Religion. i.84. Models for Poetry and Writing. i، zo6. See Exemplar.
Moderation Pbilofopbical. iii. 37. Wben in faffion with the $Z_{\text {ealots of every Party. iii. 110, } 11 . \text { Moderation dif- }}^{\text {in }}$ claim'd.
iii. 342

Moderation in a Writer. i. 166
Monarch: Grand Monarch nowe ; and of old in Greece. i. 223
Monarchy. See Hierarchy.
Monarchy univerfal. i. 216, 17, 220, \&cc. iii. 23. (See Barbarians, Tyranny.) Abfolute Monarchy, deftructive of Arts, Manbood, Reafon, Senje. i. 219 , \&c. 237, \&c. iii. 23, 77, \&ic. World groaning under the Roman Monarcby. iii. 77, 8. Hopes of a Divine Deliverer or Meflias from bence. ibid. See Emperor, Roman.
Monarchs.
ii. 137

Monofyllables in files or firings. iii. 265. Clafb or clattering Rencountsr of them in our Language. ibid.
Monfter: Monflrous Imaginations. i. 60 . Monfrous Objects and Tafte. i. 344, 47, \&c. iii. 157
Moon: Embaffadors from the Moon. iii. 339 . Moon and Planets. ii. 373, \&cc. Traveller from the Moon. ii. 198
Moor: Moorifh Hero. i. 347,8 Moor of Venice (Play.) i. 347, \&c.
Morals: Rule and Difinction of Revelation. i. 298. Difference about Morals. i.70. Morals intervove rwith political religious Infitutions. i. 87. Brought into difgrace. i. 90. (See Charity.) Nery Forgers of Morals. i. 133 . Moral Magick.

## INDEX.

Morals and Government bow related. i. 106, 8. Morals effential to Poetical Performance. i. 278. Sce Manners, Poetick Truth.
Morals mathematically demonflrated. ii.173, \&c. and iii 194, \&c. 212, \&c. See Philofophy.
Moral Senfe, Rife of it. ii. 28, \&c. 53, \&c. Foundation in Nature. ii. 413, \&c. Whetber it can be perfectly lof in any rational Creature. ii. 41, \&c. Impair'd by oppofite Affection. ii.44. Not by Opinion merely. ii.45. Corruption of moral Senfe. ii. 45, 6. Caufes of this Corruption. ii. 46, \&c. Rife of moral Scnfe, antecedent to the Belief of a God.
ii. 53,4 .

Moral Inquirys, why out of faßion. ii. 185

Moral Excellence. i. 39. Moral Venus and Graces. i.337, 8. Moral Species. See Species.
Moral, the Word, in Painting, fignifys the Reprefentation of the Paffions.
iii. 379

The Moralists (viz. Treatife V.p.181, \&c.)... criticiz'd. iii. 284, \&c.

Moralifts, the fafionable fort. i.80, 124. See Virtue, Philofophy.
More (Dr.) iii.65, \&c.
Morofenefs.
i. 23

Moses. i.356, \&c. Character and Life iii.52,5,7,8, 116,246
Mountebanks, modern Prometheus's. ii. 205

Mountebanks. See Empiricks.
Mummius. i. 272
Muse. i.4, 8. Divine, Ortbodox. i.359. iii.229. Mufes what, in the Heathen Creed. i.6, 7. Britifh Mufes. i. $215^{\circ}$ \&ci. In their Cradle. i.217,262,3. Lifping Speech. ibid. Hobby-Horfe and Rattle. ibid.
Mufes, Tutorefles. i. 220. Favourites. i.224. Cbief Recorders. ibid.
Mufes degraded by Epicurus. iii. 32

Muses perfonating the Paffons, Virtues, and Vices. i.313, \&c. Profane Miftrefles in refpeEt of facred Letters. i. 35 S
Mufick, Barbarian. i. 24i. Fuft, real, independent on Caprice or Will. i. 353 . When beft reliftid by the Englim. iii. 398. See Harmony.

Mufician, aßam'd of Praife from the Unskilful. i.42. Delighted with Examination and Criticign. i. 234, 5
Mufician-Legiflators.
i. 237,8

Myftery makes any Opinion become confiderable. i.91. Gives rife to Partys; Sedts.

> ibid.

Myterys by Lazu eftablifid. i.359, 60. Religious Myferys. i. 361

F\& = Myitery
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Myitery debated.
iii. 10,1 in

Sacred Mylferys inviolable with our Autbor, and, as fuch, un-nan'd by bim. iii. $70,71,315$
Myfterys the moft abfurd, bow introduc'd into the Cburcb. iii. 333,4

Myftical Love.
ii. 211,243

Mylticks. ibid. Confequences of their indifcreet $Z_{\text {eal }}$. ii. 27 I Myfticks. i. 175. See Quietilts.
Mythology. i. 359. Mytbological or Fabulous Style of our Blefled Sarviour. iii. 122,3

## N.

1Aftinefs. See Cleanlinefs. National Church. i. 17. See Church.
National Opizion.
Natural Affection, confefs $d$. i.92. See Affection.
The Natural and Unnatural in Things. iii. 213 , \&c.
Natural Ideas. See Ideas, Inftinct.
Nature, its Energy. ii.359, 60. Naturs in Man. ii. 300. In Brutes.
Nature's admirable Difribution. ii. 306,7 State of Nature, imaginary, fantafical. i. 109. ii. 310, \&c. See Society.
Nature, Divinity with Epicurus. iii. 64. See Epicurus.
Porver of Nature in moral Aetions and Bebaviour. i.92. ii. 128. Naturam expellas Furcã. iii. 216. Nature wuill not be mack'd. i. 354. Has a frong Party within ourSelves. ibid. Makes reprifals on ber Antagonifts. ibid. Prerogaiive of Nature.
ibid.
Nefto.
Nobility, Polifh-Englifl. iii. 150. Toung Noblemen. i. 103 , \&c. Young Noblemen, Englifh. iii. $168,9,172, \& c .216, \& c c$.
Nofe: a Nofe (Difcernment or Senfe) in Morals, Life, \&c. i. 125. (See Senfe, Tafte.) Nofes counted. i. i. 148

Novels, fweet natural Pieces, bighty in roogue. ii. 194. iii. 254. See Gallantry, Chivalíy.
Numbers and Proportion. i. 139, 336. Numbers of Life. i. 141. See Proportion, Beauty.
Nympholepti. i. 50. See Fanaticks.
O.

0Economy of the Animal Races. ii. 92, \&c. 131, \&c. 300 , \&c. 31 S, \&c. iii. 220, \&c. See Society.
Olympicks, antient, modern. i. 269. Olympick Games, and Congrefs of Greece. iii. I3 8

## I. N D E X.

Omnipotence, what? i. 39, 40. ii. 10, 11, 57, 71, \& \& . 203, 359, 60, 64. What not. i. 107. ii. 14
Opinion (fee Doctrine, Hypothefis) National, or by Inheritance. i. 9. ii. 103. Governour, and Govern'd. i. 185. Ground of Paffion. i. 294, \&c. Principle of Conduct. i.307,8. Opinion all in all. ii. 435,37 , \&sc. iii. 186,7 , 196, \&c. Freedom in examining our oren Opinions, as swell as the Opinions of others. i.60,61. Corrupt Opinion, Caufe of Wrong. ii. 32, 3. Opinion and Fafbion, fuppos'd meafure of Virtue and Vice. i. 80, 352,3, ii. $417,18$. Life regulated by Opinion. ii. 435, \&c.
Oracle. i. i26. Divine Oracles Guardianfbip. i. 360 . Heathen and Cbrifitian Oracles. ii. 330,31 . iii. 232, \&c.
Oration. See Rhetorick, Declamation, Preaching.
Orator. i. 161 . Orators. i. 268
Order: Principle of Order Univerfal. ii. 362. Love of Order. ii. 212. Study and Contemplation of it, a natural foy, Inclination, and Affection is Man. . ii. 105
Order and Providence. ii. 276
Order in Writing. See Style.
Ornament independent, to be cautioufly employ'd in the Action of Hercules. iii. 384. The Emblematick and Hiforical not to be confounded. iii. 384, 5. An Objection concerning it anfwer'd. iii. 385 . Falfe Ornaments to be avoided. iii. 390

Orthodoxy: cafual, fortunate. iii. 104, \&c. Orthodox Mufe. i. 359

Orthodox, Divine, or Cburchman.
iii. 10,11

Orthodexy of the Autbor.
iii. $70,7 \mathrm{I}, 315$

Osiris. iii. 47

Ovid, sited. iii. 144

$$
P
$$

PACE. See Amble.
Pageant of State. i. 204. Court-Pageant. iii. 185
Pain and Pleafure mix'd.

$$
\text { ii. } 234,5
$$

Paint. See Fucus.
Painter of Hiflory, to fix bis Date. iii. 353. Not at liberty to mingle Actions of different Dates. ibid. Teft of bis $\mathcal{F u d g}$ ment. iii.357. Not to make bis Action Theatrical, but according to Nature. iii. 368. The fame Qualifications neceffary in bim as in a Poet. iii. $3^{87}$

Painters: Ill Painters compar'd with like Poets. i. 225,6. Painter put to bis Bift.

Painters:

Painters: Raphael. i.338. iii.230. Carache. 1.338
Painters after the Life. iii. 294. Face-Painters. 1. 144, 5. Painting and Painters. i. 142, \&c. Mafter-Painter. i. 197, 206, 227. Battel-Painter. i. 202. See Artifts, Statuary.
Painting. Falfe Tafte in Painting. i.338. True Tafte bow gaind. i.338,9. Dignity, Severity, Aufterity of the Art. i. 340 , \&c. Style in Painting. ii. 186. Simplicity and Unity of Style and Colouring. i. 143, 4, 341, 2. Unity and Trutb of Defign. The ${ }^{3}$ Evouvoriov. ibid. and $354^{\circ}$ Greatite/s. i. 144. Antient Mafters. i. 144, 340, \&c. Falfe Tafte and Comption of the Art, zubence. ibid. Gratefque Painting. iii.6. Inpofture in Painting. iii. 230. Pretended Heavenly Style, and Divine Hand difprov'd. ibid. The Progrefs Painting is like to make in England.
iii. 399

Painting of Hifory, the Regulation of it.
iii. 349

Dalaces and their Ornaments. i.139. iii.173,184, \&c. See Beauty.
Palestine, the Country: its Superffitions. iii.124. See Syria, Jew.
Pan. i. 15, 16. Panick Fear. ibid. Panick Rage or popular Fury. ibid. Religious Panick. ibid. and iii. 66, 69. Panick Fear for the Cburch. iii.83, \&c. Panick Zeal. ibid. \& 69. i.43, 4
Panegyricks the worft of Satires. i. 226. Pancgyricks, Englifh. i. 266, \&c. Panegyrick Games. i. 269. Herculean Law, or Club-Metbod in Panegyrick. i.267. Panegricks modern. iii. 274

Parables, double-meaning to amufe. i. 63

Paraceisus.
i. 287

Parafites. i. 35. See Sycophants.
Paris, Judgment of, bow difinguifb'd from that of Hercules. iii. 359

Parody. i. 198, 246. See Comedy.
Parterre. See Palace.
Paflon: Genealogy of the Paffions. i.116, 295. Study of the Pafions. i. 295,97 . iii.31. Belle Paffion. i.5. Heroick Paffion of the Derout. i. 19. OEconomy of the Pafions. ii.92, \&c. See OEconomy.
Paflion too floing or too weak. ii. 9 I
Human and weak Pafions deify'd. i.38. ii. 256. iii. 306, 7
Paffion, bow the Cbange of it may be exprefs'd in a Subject. iii. 355,6

Patch-work.
iii. 5

Patent : Letters-Patent. iii. 338

Patentees for Autborfrip. i. 335. For Religion.
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Patria : Non ille, EGc. i. 123. Dulce \& Decorum. i. 102 The word wanting in our Language. iii. 149
Patriot. i. 101, 2. Bougbt and fold. iii. 170, \&c. Patriots of the Soil.
iii. $15^{\circ}$

Patrons, modern.
i. $229,68,304$

Paul (St.) bis Cbaraeter. i. 30. iii. 74, 75, 83, \&cc. Style. iii. 83, \&c. 337. Cited. i. 26, 102, 281. iii. 238, 45

St. Paul allows to the Heatben their own Prophets. iii. 238. Cites their Poets with Honour.
ibid
Pedagogue. i. 72, 3. See Tutor, Pedant.
Pedant baited.
iii. 14

Pedant and Pupil. i. $64,122,3$

Pedant. See Pedagogue, Zealot, Scholaftick, Univerfity.
Pedantry a Milfone. i. 67. Pedantry in Converfation. i. 70
Pedantry oppos'd to truse Knowvedg. i.122, 3
Pencil, Sacred, or Heaven-guided. iii. z3o. See Painting.
Penmen, Gladiatorian.

## iii. 12

People, naturally good Fudges of the Poet. i. 278...Alfo of Arcbitecture, Painting, \&c. iii. 402,3
Perfection, of Workman/hip. i. 332,37

Peripatetick Pbilofoph. Genius. i. 256. Autbor de Mundo. ii. 214 . iii. 263,4

Perfecution. ii. 35. iii. 115. In Arcadia. i. 21. Unknowen to the polite Heatben-World. ii. 166. iii. 154, 5. How begun. i. 25 . iii. 60, 86, \&c. See Ægypt, Jews.

Romifh Perfecution, of any otber the moft tolerable, and of beft Grace and Countenance. iii.93, 4, 103, 6
Perfecution of Features, Airs, Complexions, Miens. i. 84
Persian Empire and Hierarchy. iii.48. See Hierarchy.
Persius. i. $162,170,71,89,315,30$. iii. 158,3 II2, 13
Perfpective, the Rules of it reverrs'd in Sculpture. iii. 380
Perfuafion, Goddefs. i.237, \&c. Mother of Mufick, Poetry and otber Arts. ibid. Sifter to Liberty. ibid. Men perfuade themfelves into whatever Opinions. iii. 310
Petronius, cited.
i. 192

Petulancy: wanton Micchievoufnefs unnatural. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ ii. 164
Phænomena in Scripture. i. 282. Moral Pbenomena. ibid.
Phallico. i.250, See Farce.
Phenix-Sect. i. 27
Philip. i. 249
Philologits.
i. $24 \mathrm{I}, 46$. ii. 295

Philofophers, favage. i. $90,94,350$, \&c. See Clown. Moral Pbilofophers of a modern fort, more ignorant and corrupt than the mere Vulgar. i.132,352. iii. 204,5. Run a Tale-gatbering.
i. $35^{\circ}$

Ff 4 Philo-
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Philofophers, their Original and Rife. i. 240. Pofterior int Birtb to Poets, Mufficians, Criticks, Sophifts. ibid. iii. 136, 7. Pbilofopber's themelelves, Criticks of a double kind. i. 240, \&c. Pbilofopbers, their antient Schools diffolv'd. iii. 79
Philofophers and Bear-Garden. iii. 9, in, \&C'
Philofophy-modern. i. 122, \&c. iii. 308.—Antient. i. 18, 122, 3.-Home-foun. i. 43,364. Mafterßip in Life and Manaers. iii. I59. Its State and Liberty in the antient World. i.18. Pbilofophical Speculations innocent. i. 96. See Hypothefis, Doctrine.

Philofophy, ushere confin'd norv-a-days. i. 333. ii. I84. Its Study incumbent on every Man. i.322, \&c. ii. 438, \&c. Plilofoply peculative and practical. i. 292, \&c. Vain, o: folid. i. 297, \&c. Guide to Virtue in all Religions: i. 101, 2.- Balance againft Superfition. i. 18. Pbilofophy Fudg of Religion. i. 297, 8.——OOf ber-felf, and of every thing befides. ibid. Majefly of Philofopby. i. 298, 99. Pbilofopby appeal'd to by all. i.285. Standard or Meafure of Truj, Friendjbip and Merit in Men. ibid. sc. Genuine and falfe Fruits. i. 286, 7. Unbafpy Mixtare or Conjunction of Pbilofophy rwitb Religion. iii. 6I, -6,7. Monflous Iflue and Product of this Union. iii. $79, \& c .86, \& c$.

Dry Pbilofopby. iii. 191. Vocal Pbilofopby. i. 287. Ideal Pbilofiopby. See Idea.
Lineage of Pbilofophy and Poetry. (See Lineage.) Pbilofopby of the Woods. ii. 428
Phyfician.
iii. 181

Phyficians in the Bodj-Politick.
i. 14,16

Phyfiologifts. See Metaphyficians.
Piece (Work, Treatile) legitimate, illegitimate. i. ọ̧6. iii. 2 Pilate (Pontius.)
Planets: /ee Moon. Plonetary Syfem. ii. 19, 370, \&ec.
Plate, embofs'd with Satyrs, Fauns, \&c. proper to accompany the Figure of Pleafure.
Piato. i. 54 . iii. $77,247,80$. Cited. i. 53,4
Platonifts, latter fort.
Plays (Theairical) bow frequented. i. 265. See Comedy, Tragedy, Theatre. Plays (vulgar) fee Foot-ball, Hotcockles.
Pleafing Senfations. i. $123,4,315$
Pleafure: whether our Good. i. 308. ii. 226, \&c. iii. 200, \&cc. All Pleafure not to be reckon'd as Good. ii. 229, 30. Enjoyments of Reafon, not really comprebended in the Notion of Pleafure. ii. 232,3. Pleafure no Rule of Good. i. 309, 339, 40. Plcafures of the Mind greater than thofe of the

## I N D E X.

Body. ii. 99, 100. Senfual Plcafure, rubo the propereft 'fudg of it. ii. 102, 3. Senfual Pleafures dependent on jocial and natural Afection. ii. 126, \&.c. Difaftefiul, inconfant, and infupportable reithout it. ii. 129, 30. Unnatural Pleafure in general, its Effects. ii. 168, 9. Pleafure (Luxury) ii. 147, 8. See Luxury.
Undeyftanding in Pleafure. i. 140. Rule and Order in Pleajure. ibid.
Men of Pleafure, forc'd to acknowledg Virtue. i. 140. Sce Poets.
Pleafure, follicites Hercules in oppofition to Virtue. iii. 350. Is firft beard. iii. 352. Her Figure to be drazun filent. iii. 369. Her Pofture and Ornament. iii. 370, 71

Pliny citcd.
Plum : Cant-word among rich Knaves.
i. 144 . iii. 280 i. 130

Plutarch. i. 334 . Cited. i. 41,54 . iii. 126,27 , \&c. 280
Pneumatophobia. iii. 64

Poem Heroick, Epick. (See Homer.) i. 356, \&cc. iii. 259\% $\& \mathrm{c}$. Not to be model'd on Holy Writ. i. 356, \&c. Poet: Characier of a Poet and Poetry from Strabo. i. 208, 252. Poct, fecond Maker. i. 207. Poet, Herald of Fame. i. 225. Ill Poets avorfe than ill Painters. i. 225,6 Poets preferable to moft Pbilofophers. i. 122. iii. 307, 8. More infructive than Hiftorians. i. 346. Morality of Poets. i. 137. iii. 308, 9. Poets acknowledg Virtue. i. 136, 7. Strongef Party on Virtue's fide. i. 316, 17
Poets, Entbufiafts. i: 4, \&c. iii. 66, 232. Friends to Revelation. i. 4, \&c. French Poets. i. 218. Modern Poets or poetical Writers affected, and falfe in their Draugbts or Imitations. i. 204. iii. 289, \&icc. Conceited, combin'd. iii. 273, \&ic. Injudicious. i. 207. Impotent. ibid. Englifh Poets, of a preceding Age. i. 275, 6. Of the prefent.
Audience forms the Poet. i. 264. Poet, bow far of neceffity a Pbilofophor, and true to Virtue and Morals. i. 278. iii. 282 Divine or Ortbodox Poets. i. 7, 359. iii. 118, 231, \&c. Ortbodox Mock-Puets. iii. 240, 41. Poets Fanaticks. i. 51 Poets infopir'd.
i. 7,5 I. iii. $66,228,8 c .238$

Poet. See Author.
Poetefs, Englifh.
ii. 235

Poetical Enthufafm. i. 21. Poetical Genius. i. 161. Poetical Imitation. i. 193, \&c. Poetical Truth. See Truth. Poetick Sciens.
i. $14 \mathrm{I}, 8 \mathrm{cc}$.
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Poetry: (See Mufes.) Lineage of Poetry and Pbilofopby. (See Lineage.) Sacred Wit and Poetry. iii. 118. Indifferent Poetry, detefable. iii. 283. Art of Poetry (an Englifh Pocm.)
iii. 28 I

Poetry Epick or Dramatick, incompatible with orthodox Divinity. i. 356, \&c.
Point, Gingle or Pun. ii. 335
Points of Wit. iii. 5, 261
Point of Honour. ii. 194, 5. See Gallantry, Duel.
Poiron to Reafon.
i. 91

Policy, Britifh and Dutch: Turkifh and French. i. 80
Politenefs, owing to Liberty. i. 64, 72, 96. (See Liberty.) Flux and Reffux of Politenefs. i. 271, z
Politicians.
i. 188, 9

Politicks, part of Morals. ii. 184, 5
Polytheit : Defrnition.
ii. 11

Pope (Clement XI.) iii. 241 . See Gregorius, Leo.
Popery. See Rome, Church, Prieft, Mafs.
Poit-way of Writers. See Writers, Correctnefs.
Power, Balance of.
i. 94,95

Yraife of the Deity. i. $41, \& \mathrm{c}$. 2ualifcations for fuch Praife. ibid. Value of Praife or Glory from the Ignorant. ibid. Talue of forc'd Praife or Applaufe. ibid. True Praije how learnt.
Preaching. i. $70,73,4,134,166$. iii. $97,8,287$. Elegant and grofs. iii. 112,13 . Fafbionable and unfafbionable. ibid. Solemn, melancholy. i. 134. Variouly bunour'd, alternate, bigh and lowv. iii. 130. See Declaration, Puipit.
Pre-conception. ii. 307, 412 . See Anticipation.
Prefaces, Dedications, \&c. i. 200, 231, 304. iii. 27. Preface become a word to Jignify Excufe. i. 329, 30
Prelate. i. 6. See Bifhop.
Pre-fenfation. See Pre-conception.
Prefs: Printing-Prefs. i. 305. See Printer.
Priefts, confecrated by the Magifrate. i. 362. iii. 337. Tbeir Faation, Sedition, and Engagement of Mankind in their Quarrels. iii. 51, 59, 60, 80, 86, \&c. 342. Their Love of Blood. i.28. Propagation and Increafe of the Priefthood: Manner and Confequence. iii. 44, \&cc. Model of the Exgyptian and Afiatick Priefthoods; and Difference from the European, or that of Greece or Rome. iii. 43, 49. See Hierarchy.

Prince: fee Abfolute. Story of an Heroick Prince. i. 176, \&c.
Princes, ufe the plural Style, whence. i.210, \&c. PrinceAuthors.
i. 213,14 Princely:
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Princely : fec Royal.
Principle: one univerfally active Principle. ii. 364,5
Printer. iii. 16. See Bookseller, Amanuenfis.
Printing, fire.
Prodicus. ii. 253
Prometheus, poetical Solution of the Phenomenon of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

$$
\text { ii. } 192,201,2
$$

Poet a Prometheus.
i. 207

Proof. See Criterion, Theft.
Prophet, the name allowed to Heathens. iii. 238
Prophets, pafive Organs. i.z8. Modern Prophets. i. 46,
\&c. Compar'd with antient. ibid.
Prophecy catching. i. 45. -The evil as well as the good Spirit. ibid. iii. 116
Prophecy or Prophet-errant, proceffional, faltant. iii. 11.7. Naked Prophecy.
ibid.
Property, Dominion founded in.
iii. 49

Proportion, and Symmetry founded in Nature; not in Opinion or Fancy. i. 353. See Symmetry, Architecture.
Proteftant Authors.
iii. 18

Proteftant Liberty.
iii. $235,6,319,330,8 \mathrm{cc}$,

Publick. i. 37. See Conflitution.
Publick Afemblys. See Affemblys.
Pulpit. i. 31. iii. 255, 265, 287. See Preaching.
Punifhments and Rewards, of what use in the State. i. 126. ii. 63, 4. In Familys. ii.65. In Religion, ii. 65,6

Puns: See University, Point.
Pupil : See Royal, Pedant, Tutor.
Puppets, in Dialogue. iii. 292. See Dialogue.
Puppet-how.
i. 28,9

Pyrrho, Pyrrhonist. ii. 355 . iii. 194,212 . See Sceptick.
Pyrrhus.
Pythagoras. iii. $77,127,203$
Pythagorean Sect. i. 8
Pythian-God. i. ı26.—Prophetefs: fee Sibyl.
Q.

$0^{4}$
UEEN Elizabeth. iii. 150

Quibble. See Pun, Point.
Quietifts.
iii. 38, 92
R.
$\mathbf{R}^{\text {Aillery, Sober Ufo of it. i. 128. Defensive Raillery. i. }}$ 62. Oppofition to Banter. i. 63 . iii. 225. Gross fort and refin'd. i. 63, 5, iii. 225. (See Ridicule.) Socratick Raillery.
i. 194, 5, $9^{8}$

Raillery

## I N D E X.

Raillery affected by grave Doctors. i. 65. iii. 291. Grim Raillery. ibid. (See Burlefque.) Spirit of Raillery wiby frevalent in certain Converfations. i. 95. Why carry'd into the Extreme. i.72. Notbing proof againft Raillery but wwbat is honeft and juft.
i. 74

Rake, a better Cbaracter than that of certain grave and thougbtful Gentlemen.
iii. 302

Reader courteous, uncourteous. i. 303,4

Reading, awrong Cboice and Manner. i.341, \&c. Multiplicity of Reading. i. 342, 3. Task-reading. ibid. Surfeiting. i. 344. Polite Reading and Converfe, chief 2ualifications in a Cbaracter. i.364. Gothick and barbarous Reading.
Realift in Morality.
i. 344, \&c.
ii. 267,8

Reafon, its Nourifbment, Healtb. i. 69, \&c. Its Antidote, Poifon. i.91. Reafon Correctrix of the Fancys, \&c. i. 322, \&c. Intendant, Mijfrefs, Houfe-keeper. ibid. Reafon quitted: for what Reafon? When? How? iii. 299, \&c. Reafon confui'd: wwhat effect?
i. 71, 77

Kealoning: Habit of Reafoning alone can make a Reafoner. i. $69,71,77$

Records: Recorders, Compilers, Regifers in facred Matters. i. 360. iii. 231, sic. Ruld by Law.
ibid.
Rehearfal (Comedy.) i. 259. iii. 277, 81
Religion, Virtue, bow ally'd, founded, deriv'd, maintain'd. See Treatife IV. riz. The Inculry, firt of Vol. ii. Religion: a pubtick Leeding, or National Cburch. i. 17. Religion by Lave eftablificid. i. 362. iii. 71. (See Law.) Differences in Religion. i.79. (See Modes, Models.) Religious Antipatby. i. 18. ii. 96. iii. 40, 60, 80, 257. Religious Paffon. iii. 35, 6. Different Alpects of Religion, according to the Views or Apects of Divinity. iii. 39 . Power of Fancy or Imagination in Religion. iii. 68. Religion confder'd as a Paffion. ii. 88, 9. Its Infuence. ii. 51. Religion antient-Grecian. iii. 126, \&cc. Roman, Egyptian, Syrian: iii. 41,2 . Where firft it grew unfociable. ii. 387,8 . Religion cruel Enemy to Virtue, by what means. ii. 256 . Religion liberal, illiberal. ii. 272, 73. Knavils Religion. i. 126, 7, 132,3. iii. 125, \&cc. True Foundation of Religion. ii. 269,70 . Religion betray'd. ii. 279. O.ver-laid. i. 97. Exbilaration of Religion. iii. 95, 123. Different Faces or Reprefentations of Religion, with rubat defgn. iii. 130, 31 . Uniformity in Religion. See Uniformity.
Reliih, falfe, fatal to Painting and the ether Arts: iii. 390
Relignation, devout, falfe.
ii. 59

Refolution: See Will.
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Retirement, agreeable, neceffary.
ii. 223, 4

Revelation judy'd by Morals. i. 298. What frervious and antecedent. i. 39. ii. 333, 4. See Authority.
Revolution, the late bappy one.
i. 216 iii. 151

Revolution in the World and Nature. ii. 20, 214, 15, 367, 380, 81. In our-felves, i. 284, 5. ii. 236,350 . Sce Self.
Rewards and Puni/hments, of what ufe in the State. ii. 63, 64. In Fanilys. ii. 65 . In Religion. ii. 65, 6, 273 Future Rezvards and Punifbments: rwrong inforcement. i. 97, 8. ii. 69. Virtue for Rewward, not worth rewwarding.
ibid.
Reward mof deferv'd, when urfougbt. i. 100. No Goodnefs or Virtue in Nature, if no Motive befides Rervard. i. 98. A Knave not the Lefs fuch, when Rerward and Punifoment alone make him boneft in outward Bebaviour. i. 125, \&c. 171, \&c. Confcioufnefs, only Rerward of Friendfitip. i: 100. See Mercenarinefs, Difintereftednefs.
Rhetoricians.
Rhetorick: See Declamation, Preaching.
Rhetorick, in what part of a Dijcourfe its greatef Energy Boou'd be employ'd.
iii. 353

Rhythmus: falfe and true.
i. 217, 18. iii. 263,4

Ridicule, its Rule, Meafure, Teft. i. 11, 12. (See Teft.) Appeal to Ridicule. i.61. Affectation of it by Pedants. i. 65. See Banter.
Ridicule ridiculous, whben balf-way, lame, or leaning to one fide. i.81. Injudicious and impofing, when far frain'd, and beyond its fize. i. 83. \&cc. Nonferffical, whben rais'd from Contrarys,
i. 129

Notbing ridiculous, but what is deform'd. i. 128. Virtue not capable of being ridicul'd. ibid. \& 129
Right and Wrong. ii. 33, 4. In Neture, not from Opinion, Will, or Lazw. ii. 35. See Opinion, Virtue.
Rites or Rituals by Laws eftablifod.
i. 360

Rites, Ceremonys, Habits, Proceffions, Pomp, their ufe and effect in Religion. iii. 91, 2
Roger, Sir Roger.
Roman Eloquence, corrupted. iii. 276

Roman Monarchy. See Monarchy.
Roman Empire, Rife and Fall. iii. 22,3
i. $21 \mathrm{~g}, \& \mathrm{c}$.

Roman Emperors. iii. 4i, 78, 90, 24.2. (See Cæfars) Roman Wortbys. i. 267,8

Romance : foe Novel.
Romans old, rais'd from Barbarity by Greece. i. 223, 269, 270,72. Their gradual Refinement. i. 251 . Growth of Heatber Religion under the Romans.
iii. 4 I
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Rome old. i. 219, 21. iii. 234. Rome moderí. i. $338^{\circ}$ iii. 91, 3, 255. See or Court of Rome. iii. 241, 2 Royal Preceptor. I. 214 . See Prince.
Royal Pupils. i. 106, 211,12

Rule. (See Law.) Rule of Difatch. i. 267 Rufticks.

## S.

SAcrifice buman. ii.35. Familiar to the Inbabitants of the Paleftine. iii. 1240 (See Abraham; Jephtha.) Sacrifice of Forms, Natures. See Subordination.
Sadducee.
iii. 77

Saint—on what terms? iii. 127. Female Saints. iii. 38. Saint-Protectrices.
Saint-Errantry.
Salomon Britib.
Salvation: See Saving.
Saracen's Head.
i. 362

Satirs, Roman: their Origir. i. 258 , 9. See Atellan, Fefcennin.
Satir, Englifh. i. 266. Spirit of Satir. iii. 109
Satirick and Comick Genius, Style. i. 258 , \&c.

Satirifts, true to Virtue. i. 141. iii. 23. See Poet.
Savage : $f_{e e}$ Goth
Savages.
Savagenefs, Inroad rubence. i. 96. See Barbärians.
Saving of Souls. i. 19.~of Complexions... i. 85
Saul.
i. 45 . iii. II 16,17

Scandal.
Scene, of the Story of Hercules, to be laid in the Country. iii. 376. To bave notbing in it to call the Eye off from the Subject.
iii. 377

Sceptical Converfation.
i. $68,9,78,8<c$.

Scepticifm, Support to Reafon. ibid. Sce Reafon.
Scepticifm, fabionable fort. ii. 206. Defence of Scepticicim. iii. 71, \&c. Partial Scepticijm caufe of Vice and Folly. i. 81. (See Thinking.)

Scepticifm, Remedy againf the Dogmatical Spirit. i. 95. Sceptical Wit, Apology. i. $9^{6}$
Scepticifm of a Reverend Divine. iii. 68
Scepticks, the Advantages of their Pbilofophy. ii. 206, 7 Sceptick perfonated. iii. 295. Modern Scepticks dogmatize. ii. 230, 31. Real Sceptick. ibid. \& 236, 7. CbriftianSceptick. iii. 72. See Academy, Pyrrho.
Scholar and Gentleman. i. 333, \&c. Scbolar, ill-read. i. 342. True Scholar, or Man rvell-read, reads feso Autbors. i.

## INDEX.

Scholaftick. i. 67. (See Style.) Scholafick Brood. iii. 80. Sibolaftick Weapons.
iii. 296

School: inferior Schools of Arts and Exercijes, teach Truth and Nature better than Some bigber. i.333, \&c.
Sciences in general. i. 289,90. Mock-Science. i.287. Science of Articulation. ibid. Science: fee Art.
Scripture, $\mathfrak{J} u d g m e n t$ of. i. 146,7._Criticifm. iii. 72, 3. Scripture Sacred and Profane. iii. 231, \&c. Sacred Hiftory, Characters, Scripture, fubject to humans Criticism, Philofopby, and Rules of Art. i.147. ii.268, 69, 333. iii. 229, \&c. Scripture interpolated, fupprefs'd, controverted, manag'd. iii. 320, \&c. 330, \&c. (See Fathers of the Church.) Variety of Readings, contraverted Paffages, Books, Copys, Catalogues. iii. 322, 3 , 326,7
Scripture, fragile, volatil. iii. 234

Scythian. See Goth, Anacharfis.
Sea: Sea to drink.
iii. 207,8

Secular. See Arm.
Sedition. See Faction.
Self: A Man when bimfelf; when not bimfelf. i. 324, 5 . (See Revolution, Identity.) What makes a Man himself. ii. 253, 4. (Self-Love. See Love.) True Self-Love depends on Knowledg of Self. i.121, 282, \&c. Self-Knowledg.
i. 170 . iii. 189 , 192, \&c.

Selfifhnes. i. I15, 117, \&c. ii.23, 291. Deftructive of SelfEnjoyment. i.315. iii. 302. Improved by certain Pbilofophers. i. $124, \& \mathrm{c}$. Folly of the Endeavour. ii. 128
Self-Infpection. i.196. Acknowledgment of a better Self. i. 281. Self-Reverence. i. 171, \&c. Self-Abafement. i. 331, 2. iii. 125 , \&c. See Sycophants, Intereft.

SEneca, bis Character, Genius, Style. iii. 22, \&c.
Senfations. See Pleafure, Pain.
Senfe impair'd. ii. 32. Senfe in Morals, Life. i. 132. iii. 204, 5. See Taille, Nofe.
Common Sense, various Signification. i.78, \&c. Commons Sente, boneft Sente. i.132. iii. 204, 5
Sente, equivocal, in Painting, to be avoided in the Story of Hercules.
iii. 370

Senfus Communis interpreted.
i. 103, \& c .

Sermon, Law of. ii. 282. See Preaching.
Sexes. See Love, Women.
Fair-Sex, feduc'd by Tales, Impofinres. i. 347, \&c. Inclined to monstrous Laves, according to our antient Poet. ibid. (See Superftition, Ladys.) Won by appearance of Submiffon and Tendernefs. iii. II 5. Exposition of the Modefy
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If the Sex in barbarous Nations. i. 273, 4. Better Cons duct of the more polite. ibid. Prerogatives of the Fair-Sex. ii. 194. Writings. ii. 194, 5. iii. 254. Tafte and Hunour. ii. 271,73 . iii. 166,$2 ; 6$
Śextus Empiricus cited. i. 87

Shepherds: fee Arcadia.
Sibyi. i.46. iii. 232. Sibylline Scripture. iii. 227, 232; \&c.
Sight, fingle, fimple. Tò'Evouvorsiov.
i. 143

Silence, to be difinctly characteriz'd in the Figure of Hercules, during the Contention.
iii. 361

Simplicity : See Style.
Sinner againgt Good-Breeding. i. 166. Againft Grammar. ibid.
Sins.
Slavery: Court-Slavery. i. 119. ii. 116, 17. iii 168 \&.0 208, 9. Slavery of Vice. iii. 307, \&c. 3II. Slavifb Principles and Spirit. iii. $148,168,251,2,306,310$, $8 \cdot$
Smithfield.
i. 28

Social Animals.
iii. 220,21

Social Enjoyment: See Enjoyment.
Social Affection: fee Affection.
Society (fee Tribe, Government) Early State and Progrefs of Society. i. 236. Natural Growth of a Society, or National Community. i. 110, 11. Principle of Society, natural. i. 107, \&c. Prov'd from Sedition, War. i. 112 , 13. From the greateft Oppofers of this Principle. i. 89, 90, 92. From its force in ill, as well as in good Paffons. i. 16. Society in Nature; not from Art or Compact. i. Iog. (See Nature.)
Religious Orders, or Societys.
i. II年

Socrates. i. 31, 254. iii. 214, 244. See Raillery.
Chartæ Socraticæ. i.192, \&c. 205, 6
Socraticks : their Charaflers. i. 254, \&c.
Soil, Climate, Region. iii. 146 , \&c.

Patriots of the Soil.
iii. 150

Solemnity: Follys and Amufiments beiome folemn. i. SI. See Gravity, Impofture.
Soliloquy, fee Treatife of, viz. Tul. i. p. 153 .
Solitude, \&c. i. 174. ii. $22 \overline{3}$, \&c.
Solon.
iii. 246

Sophocles. i. 244
Sophifts once bonourable, and of bigheft Dignity. i. 240 . Sophift Language-Mafers. iii. 140. Fir:f Teachers of Pbilofo. phy. iii. 137. Sophiftry. i. 74. See Impofture,

## I NDEX.

Sot: Sotti/Jmefs.
i. 309,10

Soul : trvo Souls in Man.
Sounds articulatc.
i. 184,5

Space, Vacuum. i. 301. Space, Plcnitude, Subfance, Mode, Matter, Immateriality. See Metaphyficks.
Species: Intercft of a Species. ii. 16. A whole Species, fubfervient to fome other. ii. 18. Specics of Fair. i. 139, 41 (See Fair, Beauty, Decorum.) MoralSpecics or Appearances, overbearing all other. ii. 100. iii. 33, \&c.
Specters.
i. 60. iii. 299

Spencer, de Legibus Heb.
Spider.
Spirits: Fudgment of others. i. 54~of our own. ibid. Fear of Spirits, in an odd fenfe. Sec Pneumatophobia.
Animal Spirits confin'd.
i. 71

Spleen. i. 20. Objected to Criticks and Satirits. iii. 108,9
Stage of the World.
ii. 184

Stage, Englifh. i. $271,75,6$. iii. $255,6,289,90$. See Drama.
Stage allow'd to inflruet as well as the Pulpit. i.361. iii. 255
Standard of Manners, Breeding, Gentility. iii. 179, \&c. Standard of Wit, Englifh. i. 265: iii. 272, Sec. Standard of moral Rectitude.
i. $107,298,353$. iii. 303,4

Statuary, Lysippus.
i. 227

Statuary and Statuarys. See Painters.
Statuary, with other Arts and Letters, deftroy'd by antient Bifhops of Rome and Greece. iiii. 239, \&c.
Statute againgt Criticifn.
iii. $269,278,9$

Statute of Mortmain, and Repeal, among the Antients. iii. 45, 49, 50, 79. See Hierarchy.
Statutes. See Laws.
Storys (Old Wives.) i.6. Told up and down.
i. 37

Storks.
iii. 80

Strabo cited. i. 208,252. iii. 153
Styles and Manners of writing, the fiveral kinds. i. 242, \&c. 255, \&c. Didactive, Preceptive Style. i. 25. iii. 285. Scholaftick, Pedantick. i. 256, \&c. iii. 141. Metaphorick. i. 242 , 3. iii. $140,26 \mathrm{I}, 2,337$. Methodick. i. 256 , \&c. Simple. ibid. and iii. 2I, 2, 141, \&c. Sublime. i. 256, \&c. 276. iii.285. See Sublime, Comick, Tragick, Farce, Bombaft.
Heavenly Style in Painting. iii. 220. See Painting, Painter. Style-of our bleffed Saviour.
iii. 122, 3

Englim Style in Profe and Verfe. iii. 264, \&c. 276, \&c. Gouty Foints, Darning-Work, \&c. iii. 264, 5. Difcord, Difonance, ibid. See Monofyllables.

Vol. 3.
Gg
Subjects,

## I N D E X.

Subjects; Multiplication of them in a Piece perplexes the Ordonnance of a Work.
iii. 383

Sublime.
iii. $14^{\circ}$

Sublime in Jpeaking. i. 8, 335. Falfe and True. i. 241, \&c. (See Bombaft.) Sublime of Characters. i. 336. Of Actions. iii. 34. Sublime in Things. See Beauty, Admiration.
Subordination neceffary in Nature.
ii. 21.4, \&c. What requir'd to make it perfect.
iii. $\hat{}^{83}$

Succeffion: Cburch-Succeffion.
i. 360 . iii. $33^{8}$

Succeftion of Wit and Humour. i. 253, \&c. See Lineage and Genealogy.
Superftition. ii. 166. (See Enthufiafm, Prieft, Miracle, Magi, Ægypt, Hierarchy, Tales.) Difference betwëen Superfition and Entbuffafm. iii. 39. Anti-fuperfititious Pafion, or Counter-Entbufiafm. i. 88, \&c. iii. 64, 5 Superfition, Fear. i. 295. iii. 65. Superfition the moft enflaving and worrt of Vices.
iii. 305

Female Superfition. i. 348, 9. iii.48. See Ladys.
Picture or Character of Superffition. iii. 125 , \&c.
Supertition deftruclive of Moral Reczitude. ii. 46, \&c. The Superfitious are ruilling Atbeifs. i.126,28. Unable to believe as they defire.
ibid.
Quantity of Superfition anfuers to the number of religious Dealers.
Supinenefs, proper for the Figure of Pleafire. iii. 371
Surgeons, firivitual.
iii. 95,106

Surgery in Politicks and Religion. i. 16, 17. iii. 106. Inveard Surgery.
i. 156 , \&c.

Sweden. See Denmark.
Sycophants in Religion. i. 35. iii. 125, \&c. See Beggars, Flattery.
Symbol. See Tef, Creed, Watch-word.
Symmetry. i. 353 . iii. 263 . Real. iii. 168, 180 . See Beauty, Decorum.
Synods. i.360, \&cc.
Syria: its Religion. iii.41,2. See Paleftine, Jews, 厌gypt.
Syftem: a Fool by Metbod and Syfem. i. 2go. See Hypothefis.
Syftems impos'd by Autlority.
i. 96

Syftem of the World. ii. 287. Particular Sylems, and their fingle Parts united in one Sylfem.
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'г.

TAblature, fpecifically diftinguifh'd. iii. 347, 8. The Defgnge of it Joon'd be immediately apparent.
iii. 378

Tacituscited.
iii. $53,4,253$

Tail : Works or Pieces zvitbout Head or Tail. See Works.
A Tale: its Ufe, upon occafion.
ii. 202, 3

Tales: Love of Tales and monftrous Storys; its Afonity with the Paffinn of Superfition. i. 348,9. Tremendous Taletellers. ibid.
Talkers. i. 167
Tartar. See Goth.
Tartar-Notion. i. 86

Tafte: Explanation of a right Tafte in Manners, Morals, Government. iii. 163 , \&c. Iw Wit and Ingenuity, bow rais'd and improv'd. i.239, \&cc. 250, \&c. A Tafte in Morals, Life. i. 355. iii. 176 , \&c. (See Senfe, Nofe.) Moral Tafle or Senfe, bozu acquir'd. ii. 401
Tafte in inward Beauty and Cbaracters, founded in Nature.
i. 336 . iii. 303

True Tafte or $\widetilde{J} u d g m e n t$ in Life, borw gain'd. i. 338, 9. Lies in our orwn power.
iii. 186

Virtuofo-Tafte.
i. 135

Ruin of. Tafle from Nultiplicity of Reading. i.342, scc. Tafte barbariz'd.
i. 344

Reformation of Tafle, great Work. Good Tafte in tbe polite World.
i. 354

Taylor, Biflop, cited. i. 99,100 . iii. $40,4 \mathrm{I}, 318$, \&c. 326, 7
Temper, the truly divine. i. 37. Beft or weorf in Man. ii. 96. What makes a good Temper. ii. $114,15,17$. The fitteft Temper for fudgment.
i. 12, 32, 3

Temperance: bow valuable. ii. $248,8 \mathrm{cc}$. Set in oppofition to Avarice and Ambition. $\therefore \quad$ ii. ii. $25 \mathrm{I}, 2$
Terence.
i. 334 . iii. 184,263

Terra Incognita.
i. 344 . iii. 210

Teft: Religious Teff, Problems, \&c. i.60, 61. See Symbol, Creed.
Teit of Ridicule. i.11, 30, 31, 61. (See Criterion.) Teft of Gravity. i. 74
Tentimony, buman. i. 45,148 . ii. 33 I . Divine. ii. 333,4
Theater. See Stage-Play.
Theifm: baw it tends to promete Virtue. ii. $7 \mathrm{1}, 2$. Compar"d in that refpect with Atbeifm. ii. 72 , \&cc. Theifnn to what oppos'd. ii. zo9. Faith of Theijm. ii. 358
Theilt: the Belief of a perfect Theijf. ii. 11 . . Tbeints, naminal, real.
ii. $257, \& c$.

Gg2 Theogonys
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Iheogony, Theology, Heatben and Chrifian. i. 359, \&c. See Divinity.
Theology.
j. 359

Thinking: Free-Thinking. iii. 297, \&cc. Free-Thinkers. ibid. Half-Tbinkers, a forry Species. iii. 300. Difonefty a Half-Thought. iii. 297, \&c. Under-thinking, or Shortthinking, its Nature, Caufe and Confequences. iii. $301,8 x$. See Scepticiom.
Thorns: Grapes not from Thorns. i. 286

Thought, zubether able to produce Matter. ii. 296,7

Thucydides. iii. 247

Tiberius. i. 105

Tillotson (Arcbbibop) cited. iii. 329,8 c.
Time, Points of; the Judgment of Hercules capable of being divided into tbree. iii. 350 . The proper Circumfonces of each. iii. 35 1. Objections againft a fourth. ibid.
'Time, a future, may be exprefs'd by enigmatical Devices. iii. 353
'Tire-men. i. 84

Title of a Work, laft determin'd. iii. 26,7
Titles.
i. 203,4
'Toilette, a General's.
iii. 186

Toleration, (See Perfecution, Liberty) when and on wbat account oppos'd. iii. 110, 11. When admir'd and recommended. ibid. See Charity.
Top (Child's Top) i. 187. Works reithout Top or Bottom. See
Tragedy, Genius of. i. 218, 19. (See Euripides.) Prior to Comedy. i. 244, \&c. iii. 140 , 41
Tragedy, modern: Love and Honour. i. 276. (See Play, Theater.) Englifh Tragedy. iii.61, 2. Moral and Virtue of Tragedy. i. 317,18 . iii. 309
Tragi-Comedy.
iii. 7

Tragick Apeect of certain Divines. i. 66, 74
TRAJAN. i. 228
Traveller, or Travel-Writer in form. i.346,7. Hifory of certain travelling Gentlemen. iii. 99, \&c.
Treachery: Negative Vice. ii. 167
Treatife. Sec Piece.
Trial. See Proof, Criterion, Teit.
Tribe: Formation of a Clan or Tribe. i.110, 11. Of federate Tribes, mixi Colonys, \&c. ibid. and 236, \&cc.
Truftee. See Guardian.
Truth bears all Lights. i. 11, 30, 31,61 _Ridicule, a Light, or Criterion to Truth. i.61. Trutb injur'd by over-much Difcovery. i.62. Face of Trutb fuffers by Masks. i.84, 5
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Truth (See Beauty) porverful. i. 4. Principal even in Fable and Fiction. ibid. Poetick Truth. i. 142, \&c. 193, \&c. 336, 7, 354, 5. iii. 180, \&c.... 259, \&c. 282. Plafick or Grapbical Trutb. i. 146. iii.ı81. Hiforical, Critical, Moral, Pbilofophical and Religious Trutb. ibid. and iii. 181, \&c. (See Revelation, Hiflory.) Magna, \& prevalebit.
i. 148

Truth of Work. i. 261, \&c. Trutb of Actions. ibid. Verum atque Decens. iii. 162. Strength of Perception no fure Ground of Truth.
iii. 68

Truth, Hiforical, to give way to Poetick or Probable in Painting. iii. 372, 3, 5
Truth, Poetick, prefuppofes Prognofication. iii. 354
'Turis. i. 26. Turkifh Policy, defruuzive of Letters. i. 226 . iii. 235
'Turn. See Viciffitude.
Tutor. See Pedagogue.
Tutor and Pupil. i. 211 . The Age not to be tutor'd. i. 67
Tyranny. i. 107. Worfbip'd. i. 219. See Abfolute, Arbitrary, Force.
Tyro's in Pbilofoply. iii. 37

$$
\mathrm{U} .
$$

UNdermining or fapping Metbod in Wit and Pbilofopby. iii. 134

Underftanding and Eyes fitted to fo much Light, and no more. i.62. Plot of Mankind againgt their owon Underfanding.
iii. 101, \&c.

Uniformity in Religion, lopeful Project. i.19. How practicable. iii. $89,90,103,4,6,318,19,343$
Unity in the Univerfe.
Univerfe.
ii. 212 . iii. 224

Univerfity-Wit. i. 64. See Pedant.
Univerfity-Learning. ii. 286, 298, 334, \&cc. Univerfity-Cbair.
ii. 258 . iii. 287 Modern Univerfatys not reery fortunate in the Education of Youth.
i. 333 , \&c.

Urbanity.
i. $7^{2}$
V.

## I NDEX.

View. See Sight.
VIRGIL. i. 46,7 . ii. 223,343 . iii. 233
Virtue, Honefy, and Fufice in Nature; not from Will or Law. i. 109, $353^{\circ}$ Nothing to do with. Fafion or Vogue. ibid. and ii. 35. Independent of Opinion, and above the World. i.'262. Virtue, the Trutb and Symmetry of Manners. See.Symmetry, Mufick, Harmony, Proportion.
Beauty of Virtue.
i. 140

Virtue, Fafhion and Name only in the Senfe of fome fabionable Moralifts. i. 80, 92, 124, \&c. 352. Lefs a Sufferer by being contefted than betray'd. i. 96, 7. Over-laid by its Nurfes. ibid. Under-prop'd. ibid. Forfeited. ii. 34. Trial of Virtue. ii. 36, 7. Degrees of Virtue: ii. 38,9. Caufes of Virtue. ii. 40. Virtue degraded and defac'd. ii. 254, 5. See Religion.
Virtue made mercenary. i.97. Heroick Virtue. i. 101
Virtue and Morals demonftrated. See in Vol. II. Treatife IV. and in Vol. III. pag. 194, \&c.
Virtue-incapable of being ridiculd. i. 128, 9. See Jeft, Raillery, Ridicule.
Virtue, ber Figure in the Piece. iii. 364. To be drawn ftanding. iii. 362. How babited. iii. 363. Her proper Attitude. iii. 364. Her Palace not to be inferted. iii. 377
Virtuofi. iii. 156, \&c. 182. ii. 183, 394. Mock-Virtuofo, or Pedant of the kind. $\quad$ i. 341.: iii. 156, 7
Virtuofi and Pbilofopbers compar'd. גii. 156, \&c.
Virtuofo-Lovers. i. $137,185,6$. ii. 183,394
Virtuofo-Pafion. iii. 184
Virtuofo-Tafte. Sce Tafte.
Virtuofofhip, a fep towards Virtue. i. 333. iii. 161. Science of Virtuofo, and that of Virtue, almoft the fame. i. 338
Vifionarys: See Fanaticks.
Vitruvius. iii. i8i
Volunteer in Faith. i. 6. In Morals. . . i. 194
Vossius (Is.) de Viribus Rhythmi. iii. 263

## W.

TTAR: Pafion of Heroick Spirits, why? i. $112,13$. England Seat of War, whence feaird. iii. 148,9
Watch-maker. i. 293

Watch-word in Divinity. iii. 60
Whitehall, when to be rebuilt, a noble Subjoct for ArchiteEture.

$$
\text { iii. } 400,401
$$

Whole. A Whole and Parts. i. 143, 207. ii. 284. iii. 259. The WhoLe, a Sytems compleat. ii. 286, 7. iii. 348

## 1 NDEX

Will, Freedom of. i. 185. Refolution and Will, a Nofe of Wax, ibid. Top or Foot-ball. i.187. Will infurd, af. certain'd. ibid. Readinefs to obey the firft Motion of II'ill, is Impotence and Slavery.
ii. 235

Will and Power no Rule of Good or Juft. 1.107, 124. See Arbitrary.
Will (Teflament) Power and Praktice of the Prieflhood, in making P.coples Wills. iii. 79, 86
Widdom, in permitting Folly. i.13, 14. Wifdom as well as Charity begins at bome.
i. 189

Wife-men of Greece.
i. 89

Wit: mere or Beer Wit. iii. 2, 3. Mannerly Wit cant burt no boneft Caufe. i.g6. Ortbodox Wit. iii. 291. Lay-Wit. ibid. Bottom of Wit enlarg'd. iii. 4. Lineage of Wit. See Lineage.
Separate Pravinces of Wit and Wifdom. iii. 6. Generationz and Succelfion of our National and Modern Wit. iii. 26g, \&c.
Freedom of Wit, a Cure to falfe Wit. i. 19, 64. Liberty of Wit. i.69. See Liberty, Freedom.
Liberty of Wit and Trade parallel. i.69. Falfe Wit, hows prov'd. i. 74. Men frighted, not laugb'd out of their Wits.
i. 96

Wit and Humour. See Treatife II. viz. Vol. I. pag. 59p
\&c. and iii.97, \&c.
Wits or Poets, Offspring of. iii. 274. Wits by Patent. ibid. Stratagem of affected Wits. iii. 300
Witches.
i. 148

Wolf: Silly Comparifon of Man and Wolves. i.88, 93, 118. ii. 320

Women. See Sex, Lady.
Women Spectators, Fudges of Combats, Duels, Amphithratrical Spectacles, Mafculine Games. i.272, \&c. ii.195. Judges of the State, and Poetical Performance. i. 271, \&cc. Flattery of their Tafte by Poers. i. 271, 76. iii. 259; 60
Silly Womèn won by Preachers. i. 348, 9. Forfake courteous Knights for black Efchanters. ibid. Follow the Hero of a black Tribe.
ibid.
Women who live by Profitution. ii. 128
Wonder, Wonderment. i. 144 , \&c. ii. 324, \&c. See Admiration.
Work. (See Piece.) Truth of Work. i.26I, \&c.
Works rvithout Head or Tail, Beginning or End. i. $145,6$. iii.8,25. What contributes to the Perfection of a Work.
ii. 186

Workman. See Artifan.
World. iij. $33^{\circ}$ See Univerfe,

## INDEX.

Worfhip, vitious.
ii. 3 ;

Worth and Bafenefs acknowledg'd. ii. $420,2 \mathrm{I}^{-}$
Wreftler.
Writer. See Author.
Antient Writer de Mundo.
iii. 263,4

Fuft Writer, an able Traveller, or Horfeman. iii. 26. Modern Writers: their Foundation, Polity, State, Myftery. iii. $2,3, \& c .272, \& c$. See-faw of modern Writors. iii. 26. Poft-way.
ibid.
Writings: See Memoir, Effay, Mifcellany.
Writing: Faßionable Model of Writing. iii. $25 . S_{6 e}$ Correctnefs, Incorrectnefs, Critick, Penmen.
Wrong: Right and Wrong, what. ii. 31, \&cc. See Right, Virtue.

## X.

*TEnocrates.
i. 252,3

Xenophon. i. 334. iii. 248 . His Commentorys. i. 224. His Genius, Character, Style. i. $254,5$. iii. 248

## Z.

Eal and Knarvery. i.I32, 3. ii. 325 . iii.125. Imprudent Zeal. ii. 68
Zeal, Compound of Superfition and Entbufafm. Hii. 39. Offenfive and Defenfive. iii. 82, 3, 6, \&x. See Bigotry, Perfecution.
Amorous Zeal.
iii. 38

Zealots, bear no raillery. i. 60. Pretend to railly others. i.61.
Cbaracter of modern Zealots:
iii. 218,19

Zealot-Writers, their Grimace. i. 65,6. Picture. ibid. Affectation of Pleafantry and Humour. ibid. and iii. 291.
Cbaratter of a Zealot Autbor. i. 67. Anti-Zcadots. i. 9x.
iii. 64,5 .

Zealous Charity for the Converfon of our Neighbour, bow far fufpicious.

## The End of the TABLE.
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[^0]:    * Yiz. The Letter concerning ENTHUSIASM.

[^1]:    * VOL, I. pag. 305 .

[^2]:    * Du 25 Mars, 1709.
    + Ses penfées ne femblent accuper dans fon Ourvrage, que la place que le bazard leur a donnée. Ibid. pag. 181.
    $\ddagger$ (1.) Bibliotheque Chaifie, année 1709. Tome XIX. pag. $427^{\circ}$
    (2.) Hiftoire des Ouvrages des Savans, Mois d" Oefebre, Nowembre E' Decembre, 1708. pag. 514.
    (3.) Nouvelles de la Republique des Lettres, Mois de Mars, 1710.

[^3]:    * Ceux qui l'ont luë ont pü voir* ent général, que l' Auteu* ne s'y eft pas propofé un certain plan, pour traiter fa matiere metbodiquement ; parceque c'eft une Lettre, \& non $u n$ Traité. Bibliotheque Choifie. Ibid. pag. 428.
    + If in this joint Edition, with other Works, the Letter be made to pafs under that general Name of Treatife: 'tis the Bookfeller muft account for it. For the Author's part, he confiders it as no other than what it originally was.

[^4]:    * V OL. I. pag. 233, 257, 258.
    +' Tis not the Perfon, Cbaracter, or Genius, but the Style and Manner of this great Man, which we prefume to cenfure. We acknowledg his noble Sentiments and worthy, Actions. We own the Patriot, and good Minifter: But we reject the Writer. He was the firt of any Note or Worth who gave credit to that falfe Style and Manner here fpoken of. He might, on this account, be call'd in reality The Corrupter of Roman Eloquente. This indeed cou'd not

[^5]:    * Infra, p. 259, 260. in the Notes. And V OL. I. $p, 146$.

    $$
    \mathrm{C}_{3} \quad \text { juft }
    $$

[^6]:     I OL. I. Treatife I.
    +V.OL. I. pag. 54.

[^7]:    * Viz. Treatife I. (Letter of Enthusiasm) V OL.I. fag. 55. lin. 7.
    † YOL. II. p. $75,105,400$, हैi

[^8]:    * VOL. II. pag. 400.

[^9]:    * Lucret lib. iv. ver. 1059.
    
    
    

    Fiz. Lucretius. As above, VOL. I. p. $5^{z}$.

[^10]:    * Kóru(), Mundus. From whence that Expoftulation,
     atrooviia; M. Ant. Lib. iv. 27. And that other Allufion
    
    
    † V O L. I. pag. 138, 139, \&c. V OL. II. pag. 100, 104, 5, 6.

[^11]:    * VOL. II. pag. 429, 430.
     pag. $82, \& \mathrm{c}$.

[^12]:    * Supra, pag. 32. And VOL. I. pag. 48, 49, 117, \&c.

[^13]:    * Letter of Enthusiasm, VOL. I. pag. 49.

[^14]:    
     See below, pag. 202, 203. in the Notes.

[^15]:    

[^16]:    * Infra, pas. 130.

[^17]:    * A Paffage of Hiftory comes to my mind, as it is cited by an eminent Divine of our own Church, with regard to

[^18]:    * Juvenal. Sat. xv. yer. 35. See VO L. II. p. 387, 388.

[^19]:    * Infra, p. 79.

[^20]:    * Which was one Third. B४дopévni dè тìv'I $\Sigma_{1} I \mathrm{~N}$, \&c. Sed cum I sis lucro etiam Sacerdotes invitare vellet ad cultus iflos, (nempe OSIRIDIs, mariti fato funcri) tertiam sis terre partem e's wego'dys, ad Deorum minizeria है

[^21]:    facra munia, fruendan donavit. Diod. Sic. lib. i. A remarkable Effect of Female Supertition! See alio the Parfage of the fame Hiftorian, cited above, pag. 43. in the Notes.

    * See Treatife II. viz. Senfus Communis, (V OL. I.) pag. $85, \Theta^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$. Herodotus gives us the Hiftory at length in his third Book.
    + Diod. Sic. lib. i. F. 17 , \& 73.
    $\ddagger$ Herodot. Euterpe ; \& Diod. Sic. lib. iii.
    
     infula primaria Atbiopum) Deorum cultus Eo bonorcs adminijirant facerdotes, (ordo autem bic maximâ pollet auctoritate) quandocunque ipfs in mentem venerit, mifo ad Regem suncio, vitâ fo illum abdicare jubent. Orsculis enim Dcorums

[^22]:    
    
    
    $\dagger$ Juvenai. Sat. xv. ver. Io.

[^23]:     Fgypto in orbem terrarum difeminatas fuife dicurt. In Babylonem colonos deduxit Belus, qui Neptuni छ' Libye filius babetur: © pofitâ ad Eupbrateon fede, inflituit facerdotes ad morem Egyptiorum exemptos imperfis \& oneribus publicis, quos Babylonii vocant Cbaldcoos, qui, exemplo Sacerdotum $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ Pbyfcorum, Afrologorumqne in Agypto, obfervant fellas. Diod. Sic. lib. i. p. 17. Ibid. p. 73.

[^24]:    * Gen. cap. xii. ver. $10, \mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$.
    + Abramus, quando Agyptum ingrefus eft, nondum circumcifus erat, neque per annos amplius viginti poft reditun. _Illius pofteri circumcifo funt, Ej ante introituin, 跃 dum in Egytto commorati funt: poft exitum verò non funt circumcif, quamdiu vixit Mofes.-Fecit itaque Jofue cultros lapideos, \& circumcidit filios Ifrael in Colle Præputiorum.
    
    

[^25]:    * It can fcarce be faid in reality, from what appears in Holy Writ, that their Retreat was voluntary. And for the Hiftorians of other Nations, they have prefum'd to affert that this People was actually expel'd Egyp on account of their Leprofy; to which the $\mathfrak{f e w i} / \mathrm{h}$ Laws appear to have fo great a Reference. Thus Tacitus: Plurimi audtores confentiunt, ortà per Aigyptum tabe, que corpora fadaret, regem Occhorim, adito Hammonis oraculo, remedium petentem, purgare regnum, $\Xi^{\circ}$ id genus bominum ut invifum Deis, alias in terras avebere jufum. Sic conquifitum colle Etumque vulgus, ——Nofen unu monuife, Eoc. Hift. lib. v. c. 3. Agyptii, quum fabiem $\varepsilon$ פ vitiliginem paterentur, responfo moniti eum (Mofen) cum agris, ne pefis ad plures ferperet, terminis Fgypti pellunt. Dux igitur exulum factus, facra Agypriorum furto abfulit: quce repetentes armis Egyptii, domum redire tempefiatibus compulfa funt. Juftin. lib.xxxvi. c. 2 . And in Mar/ham we find this remarkable Citation from Manetho: Amenophin regem affecialle $\Theta$ sẽv veveorob Trotiv,
     contemplatorem, firut Orum quendam Regum priorum. Cui re-
     fi Regionem à leprofts $\mathfrak{g}$ immundis bominibus purgaret. Chronicus Canon, p. 52 .
    + See what is cited above (p.52. in the Notes from MarBam) of the Ferus returning to Circumcifion under Jos HuA, after a Generation's Intermition: This being approv'd by God,

[^26]:    
     cap. vii. ver. 22.
    (2.) Exod. cap. vii. ver. it, \& 22 .
    (3.) Ibid. cap. viii. ver. 7 .
    (4.) Juftin. lib. xxxvi. cap. 2.
    $\dagger$ Gen. cap. xxxix, \&c. Minimus alate inter fratres Joeph fit, cujus excellens ingenium veriti fratres clam interceptum peregrinis mercatoribus 'vendiderunt. A quibus deportatus in Kgyptum, cum magicas ibo artes folerti ingenio percept $\int_{\text {let, }}$ breve ip Reg percarus fruit Juttin. lib. xxxvi. c. 2.

    E 3 at-

[^27]:    * Gen. xlvii. ver. $22,26$.
    + Gen. xli. ver. 45 .
    $t$ Exod. chap. iii. ver. I. and chap. xviii. ver. $1, E^{\circ} c$.
    ** Such were the Midianites, Gen. xxxvii. ver. 28, 36.
    + Exod. xviii. ver. $17 \longrightarrow 24$.

[^28]:    * Dryden, Indian Emperor, Act v. Scene 2.
    $\dagger$ Infra, pas. Bi.
    + VOL. I. pay. 221, 222, \& 350. in the Notes. And Infra, pas. 79, 80, 1,2, E' $^{\circ}$.

[^29]:    * Dr. Cudwortris Intellecual Syftem, pag. I34.
    $\dagger$ The good Doctor makes uie, here, of a Stroke of Raillery againf the over-frighted anti-fuperfitious Gentlemen, with whom our Author reafons at large in his fecond Treatife (viz. VOL. I. pag. $85,86, \varepsilon 0^{\circ} c$. and $88,89, \varepsilon 9^{\circ} c$.) 'Tis indeed the Nature of Fear, as of all other Paffions, when exceffive, to defeat its own End, and prevent us in the execution of what we naturally propofe to our-felves as our Advantage.

[^30]:    * §. 16.
    + It appears from hence, that in the Notion which this learned Divine gives us of Enthusiasm, he comprehends the focial or popular Genius of the Paffion; agreeably with what our Author in his Letter concerning Entbufaffm ( $p .15,16,44,45$.) has faid of the Influence and Power of the ADembly and Auditory it-felf, and of the communicative Force and rapid Progrefs of this extatick Fervor, once kindled, and fet in action.

[^31]:    * §. 20, 21, 23, $26 . \quad+$ Acts ii. 13. $\ddagger$. 1. * \$. 15. $\dagger+$ §. 30, \& 57 . 林 VOL. I. p. 53 .
    (a) $\$ .63$.

[^32]:    * Infra, pag. 3 I5.
    + V OL. I. par. 44, 45, E\%6. And VOL. II. pag. 322,
    

[^33]:    F 2 Mind,

[^34]:    * VOL. I. pag. 146́, 147. And Infia, pag. 316, 317, 320, \&c.

[^35]:    * 2 Cor. xii. ver. 2,3 .

[^36]:    * 1 Cor vii. $10,12$.
    + I Cor. vii. 40 .

[^37]:    * VOL. I. pag. 230, \&c. And in the preceding Chapter, fag. 67.

[^38]:    * VOL. I. pag. 133. And below, pag. 90.
    + How rich and valt thefe were, efpecially in the latter thmes of that Empire, may be judg'd from what belong'd to

[^39]:    + As above, pag. 6!.

[^40]:    *Supra, pag. 42, 46, 47,60. And VOL. I. pag. 350. in the Notes.

[^41]:    * Infra, pag. 332, 3, 4. in the Notes. Et fupra, p. 61.
    $\dagger$ Let any one who confiders diftinctly the Meaning and Force of the word BIGOTRY, endeavour to render it in either of the antient Languages, and he will find how peculiar a Paffion it implies; and how different from the mere Affection of Entbufiafin or Superfition.

[^42]:    * The Magnificence and Beauty of that Temple is well known to all who have form'd any Idea of the antient Grecian Arts and Workmanfhip. It feems to me to be remarkable in our learned and elegant Apoftle, that tho an Enemy to this mechanical Spirit of Religion in the Ephesians; yet according to his known Character, he accommodates himfelf to their Humour, and the natural Turn of their Enthusiasm; by writing to his Converts in a kind of Arcbitect-Style, and almoft with a perpetual Allufion to Building, and to that Majefly, Order, and Beauty, of which their Temple was a Mafter-piece. 'Eтoוnodounsteves
    
    
    
     ch. ii. ver. $20,21,22$. And fo Ch. iii. ven. 17, $18, \varepsilon_{0} c$. And Ch.iv. ser. 16, 29.
    + Act. Aport. chap. xix, vex, 23.
    \$ Ibid. ver. 28, \& 34.

[^43]:    * Act. Aport. chap. xix. ver. 32.
    + Letter of Enthufiafm, V OL. I. pag. 15.
    $\pm$ Act. Apolt. chap. xix. ver. 25, \&c.

[^44]:    * Letter of Enthufafin, V OL. I. pag. 16, \&cc.

[^45]:    * Thus the Controverfy ftood before the Time of the Emperor Juifan, when Blood had been fo freely drawn, and Crueltys fo frequently exchang'd not only between Chriitian and Heathen, but between Chrittian and Chriftian; after the moft barbarous manner. What the Zeal was of many early Chriftians againft the Idolatry of the old Heathen Church (at that time the eftablifh'd one) may be comprehended by any Perfon who is ever fo flenderly vers'd in the Hiftory of thofe Times. Nor can it be faid indeed of us Moderns, that in the quality of good Cbriffians (as that Character is generally underftood) we are found either backward or fcrupulous in affigning to Perdition fucci Wretches as we pronounce guilty of Idolatry.

[^46]:    * Infra, pag. 343.

[^47]:    * VOL.I. pag. I $33 . \quad$ Supras 78, 79.
    + VOL.II. pag. 270, 271.
    $\ddagger$ Supra, pag. 4I.

[^48]:    * Witnefs the Cafe of Molinos, and of the pious, worthy and ingenious Abbé Fenelon, now Archbifhop of Cambray,

[^49]:    * Viz. Effay on the Freedom of Wit and Hunour, VOL. I.

[^50]:    * Letter of Enthufiarm, V OL. I. pag. 34.

[^51]:    * Supra, pag. 94.

[^52]:    * VOL. I. pag. 94. and VOL. II. fag. 334 .

[^53]:    It has been obferv'd by our * Author, "That the Jews were naturally a very

    * Letter of Enthufiafm, V O L. I. pag. 29. And above, pag. 55, 56.
    ". cloudy

[^54]:    * Numb. Ch. xii. ver. 3 .
    + Exod. Ch. xxxii. ver. 27, E' $^{\circ}$. And Numb. Ch. xví, vert 41 .
    $\ddagger$ I Sam. Ch. xviii. ver, 10 . And Ch. xix. ver. 9 .
    ** Ibid. ver. 23, 24 .

[^55]:    * Jonah, Ch. i, E sc.
    + Ibid. Ch. ii. ver. 8.
    $\pm$ Ch. iii. ven. $1, \varepsilon^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$.

[^56]:    * Ver. 9.
    + See the laft Verie of this Prophet,

[^57]:    ＊Gen Ch．iii．ver．9， $\mathrm{g}^{\circ}$ ．
    $\dagger$ Numb．Ch．xxii．ver． 28 ，E＊＇．
    お（1．）Job，Ch．i，\＆ii．
    （2．） 2 Chron．Ch．xviii．ver． $18,19, \mathcal{E}^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ ．
    ＊＊See Burnet，Lich．eol．cap．7• p．280，E゚ंc．

[^58]:    * St John, Chap.ii. ver. ir.
    $\dagger$ Above, Chap.i, ii.

[^59]:    * See V OL. I. pag. 35 .
    $\dagger \longrightarrow$ Non tu prece pofcis emaci, Esc.
    Haud cuivis promptum eft, murnurque humilefque $\delta_{u}$ -
    furros,
    Tollere de Templis.
    De Jove quid fentis? Eftne, ut praponere cures
    Hunt cuinam?
    - Q 2 ût $t u$ mercede Deorum
    Emeris auticulas?
    0 curva in terris anima, $\vartheta^{\circ}$ ccolefium inanes!
    2uid juvat boc, Templis noftros immittere mores,
    Et bona Diis ex bâc fcelerata duccere pulpâ ?
    Perf. Sat. ii. ver. 3.
    Non eft meum, f mugiat Africis
    Malus procellis, ad miferas preces
    Decurvere.
    Hor. lib. iii. Od. 29. ver. 57.
    $\dagger$ See VOL.I. pag. 133. And above, fag. 79. in the
    Notes.

[^60]:    
    
     "O wretched Greeks! (fays he, Speaking to his then " declining Countrymen) who in a sway of Superfition run "fo eafly into the Relib of barbarous Nations, and bring ss into Religion, that frightful Mein of fordid and vilifying « Devotion, ill-favour'd Humiliation and Contrition, abject ${ }^{6}$ Looks and Countenances, Confernations, Praftrations, Diff"fisurations, aids, in the A\&t of Worship, Difortions, con"Strain'd and painful Pofures of the, Body, wry Faces, beg"Eerily Tones, Dumpings, Grimaces, Cringings, and the reft " of this kind A Brie indeed to us Grecian!sc For to us, we know, 'is prefcrib'd from of old by our pe"culiar Laws concerning Mufck, and the publick Chorus's, ${ }^{5 \%}$ that we found perform in the baiddomeft manner, and "s with a jut and manly Countenance, avoiding thole Fri"maces and Contortions of which Sone Singers contract a "Habit. And Ball we not in the more immediate Workip " of the DEITY preserve this liberal Air and manly Ap" pearance? Or, on the contrary, whilft we are nicely ob"Servant of other Forms and Decency in the Temple, bal "we neglect this greater Decency in Voice, Words, and Man"s hers; and wit's wile Cries, Fazinings, and profitute Behan " viour", betray' the natural Dignity and Majefly of that Divine "Religion and National Woifbip deliver'd down to us by ont "Forefathers, and purg'd from every thing of a barbarous " and Savage kind?"

[^61]:    * Sutra, pag. 39.

[^62]:    * Viz. In the Letter of Enthufiafm, which makes Trearife I. See VOL. I. pag. $41,43,44,49$. at the end.-

[^63]:    * V O L. I. ұag. 236, 7, 8, 9, \&c.

[^64]:    * Vïz. VOL. I. pag. 242, \&c.

[^65]:    * 'Autogndasık'. VOL. I. pag. 244. 'Tis in this fenée of the natural Production, and Self-Formation of the Ait:, in this Free State of antient Greece, that the fame great Mafter ufes this Word a little before, in the fame Chapter of his Pceticks, (viz. the 4 th) fpeaking in general of the Poets:
    
    幺้ข

[^66]:    * YOL. I. pag. 245 in the Notes.

[^67]:    * Page 21. and VOL. I. pag. 257, 258.
    $+\mathrm{V}_{1 \mathrm{z}}$. Soliloquy, or Advice to ain Autbor: Treatife III, rol.I.
    \$Tiz. INQUIRY, $\mathcal{G}^{\circ} c$. Treatife IV. VOL.II.

[^68]:    * A Multitude held together by Force, tho under one and the fame Head, is not properly united: Nor does fuch a Body make a People. 'Tis the focial Ligue, Confederacy, and mutual Confent, founded in fome common Good or Intereft, which joins the Members of a Community, and makes a People $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ne}}$. Abfolute Power annuls the Publick: And where there is no Publick, or Confitution, there is in reality no Mother-Country, or Nation. See VOL.I. pag. 105, 6, 7.

[^69]:    * Ovid. Pont. Lib. i. Eleg. iii. ver. 35.
    

[^70]:    * VOL. I. p. 109, s.c. and VOL.II. p. $310,8 \mathrm{sc}$.

[^71]:    Vol. 3 .
    L
    from

[^72]:    * Perf. Sat. iii. ver. 6-,

[^73]:    * TOL.II. pag. 184, 190.

[^74]:    * VOL.I. pac. $129,130$.
    + Horal. lib. i. Ep. 1. ven. Is.

[^75]:    * VOL. I. pas. 336 , \&c.

[^76]:    * VOL. I. page. 3j6, \&c.

[^77]:    * VOL.I. pag. 139.

[^78]:    $\dagger$ Juven. Sat. 2. ver. 149.

[^79]:    * VOL.I. pag. 142, \&c.

[^80]:    * In GRACIS Operibus, nemo fub snutulo denticulos confituit, Eoc. Quod ergo fupra Cantherios Eg Templa in Veritate debct effe collocatum, id in Imaginibus, fi infrà confitutum fuerit, mendofam babebit operis rationem. Etiam. que ANTIQUI non probaverunt, neque infituerunt, $E^{\circ} c_{0}$ Ita quod non poteft in Veritate fieri, id non putareviont in Imaginibus factum, pofe certam rationcm babere. Omnio cnim certâ proprietate, $\xi^{\circ}$ à veris NATUR 在 daukets Moribus, traduxerunt in Operum perfestiones: Es ea probazerunt quorunt explicationes in Difputationibus rationem pof funt babere VERITATIS. Itaque ex eis Orioginibus Symmetrias हo Proportiones uniufcujufque generis conftitutas reliquerunt. Vitruvius, lib.iv. cap. 2. whofe Commentator Phifander may be alfo read on this place. See above, VOL. I. pag. 208, $336,8 \mathrm{cc}$ 340, 350 , \&c. And below, pag. 259, 260 .

[^81]:    

[^82]:    "See with what Ardour and Vehemence, the young Man, " neglecting his proper Race and Fellow-Creatures, and for" getting what is decent, bandfom, or becoming in human " Affairs, purfues thefe SPECIES in thofe common Ob" jects of his Affection, a Horfe, a Hound, a Hawk! "What doting on thefe Beautys! What Admiration " of the Kind it-felf! And of the particular Animal, what " Care, and in $\pi$ manner Idolatry and Confecration; when " the Beaft beloved is (as often happens) even fet apart from " ufe, and only kept to gaze on, and feed the enamour'd " Fancy with higheit Delight!-See! in another Youth, " not fo forgetful of Human Kind, but remembring it ftill " in a wrong way! a фıло́кал $G$ of another fort, a CH 圧"REA. Quam elegans formarum Spectator! - See! " as to other Beautys, where there is no Poffeffion, no En" joyment or Reward, but barely feeing and admiring: as in " the Virtuofo-Paffion, the Love of Painting, and the $D_{e}$ "figning Arts of every kind, fo often obferv'd. How " fares it with our princely Genius, our Grandee who affem" bles all thefe Deautys, and within the Bounds of his fump" tuous Palace inclofes all thefe Graces of a thoufand kinds ? " ——What Pains! Study! Science ! ———Behold the " Difpofition and Order of thefe finer forts of Apartments, " Gardens, Villas!-The kind of Harmony to the Eye, "from the various Shapes and Colours agreeably mixt, and 's rang'd in Lines, intercroffing without confufion, and for'6 tunately co-incident. - A Parterre, Cypreffes, Groves, "Wilderneffes.——Statues, here and there, of Virtue, "Fortitude, Temperance. Heroes-Bults, Pbilofophers"Heads; with futable Mottos and Infcriptions. So" lemn Reprefentations of things deeply natural. _Caves, " Grottos, Rocks. Urns and Obelisks in retir'd places, " and difpos'd at proper diftances and points of Sight : with " all thofe Symmetrys which filently exprefs a reigning Or-

[^83]:    © Bloom of Youth. The experienc'd Senator and aged Ge" neral, can, in our days, difenfe with a Toilet, and take " his outward Form into a very extraordinary Adjuftment " and Regulation.-All Embelifbments are affected, be" fides the true. And thns, led by Example, whilit we run " in fearch of Elegancy and Neatnefs; purfuing BEAU"TY; and adding, as we imagine, more Luftre, and Va" lue to our own Perforn; we grow, in our real Cbaracter" " and truer SELE, deform'd and inorffrous, fervile and "abject; flooping to the lowef Terms of Courthip; and " facrifing ail internal Proportion, all intrinfock and real "BEACTY and WORTH, for the fake of Things " which carry fcarce a Shadow of the Kind." Supra, V OL. II. pag. 394 , \&c. and VOL.I. pag. 138, \&cc. and pag. 337.

[^84]:    * Viz. Treatife III. (ADVICE to an Author) VOL. I. $\dagger V_{i z}$. In Treatife IV. (The INQUIRY, ©̛́r.) Vol. II.
    $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ prefent

[^85]:    * Monfieur Des Cartes.
    "falfe

[^86]:    * Of the neceffary Being and Prevalency of fome fuch IMAGINATION or SENSE (natural and common to all Men, irrefiltible, of original Growth in the Mind, the Guide of our Affections, and the Ground of our Admiration, Contempt, Shame, Honour, Difdain, and other natural and unavoidable Impreffions) fee VOL.I. pag. 138, 139, 336, 337: VOL. II. pag. 28, 29, 30, 394, 420, $421,429,430$. And above, $p .30,31,2,3,8 c .182,3,4,5,6$. in the Notes.

    Vol. 3.
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    โิ

[^87]:    * V O L. I. pag. 308. V OL. II. pag. 227.
    f V O L. II. pag. 432. And below, fag. 307, \&cc.

[^88]:    
     cap. vii.
     Gヘ̈ras tai wecalge7tư. Arrian. Lib. iii. cap. 22. This fubdu'd or moderated Admiration or Zeal in the highelt Subjects of Virtue and Divinity, the Philofopher calls ou' $\mu$ -
    
     this over-forward Ardor and Purfuit of high Subjects runs naturally into Enthufiaim and Diforder, is fhewn in what fucceeds the firft of the Paffages here cited ; wiz. T Tथy dé $\varepsilon p{ }^{\prime}$
     hence the repeated Injunction, 'A $\pi^{\prime}$ og wovi wailz' $\pi \alpha J \omega$
    
     To this Horace, in one of his latelt Epirtles of the deeply philofophical kind, alludes.

    Infani Sapiens nomen ferat, cquus iniqui,
    Ulitra quam fatis eft Virtutem fretat itfam. Lib. i. Ep. vi. And in the beginning of the Epifle: ver. 15.
    Nil admirari propè res eft una, Numici,
    Solaq; que polfet faccre Eo fervare beatum. Ibid. ver. I. For tho thefe firt Lines (as many other of Horace's on the Subject of Philofophy) have the Air of the Epicurean Difcipline and Lucretian Style; yet ty the ivhole

[^89]:    * Horat. Lib. iii. Od. xxix. ver. 54.

[^90]:    * See what is faid above on the word Senfus Commnnmis, in that fecond Treatife, VOL. I. pag. 103, \&c. and pag. ${ }_{110}, 138,139,140$. And in the fame VOL. p.336, \&c. and $352,353, \& c$. And in VOL. II. $p .307,411,412$, \&c. concerning the notural Ideas, and the Pre-conceptions or Pie-fenfations of this kind; the Megrinters, of which a learned Critick and Mafter in all Philofophy, modern and antient, takes notice, in his lately publifh'd Volume of Socraticr Dialogues; where he adds this Reflection, with refpect to fome Fhilofophical Notions much in vogue amongt us, of late, here in Engiand. Obiter dumtaxat addemus, Socraticam, quam expofuinnus, Doctrinam magno ufui efe poffe, fo probè expendatur, dirimende inter viros dozios controverfare, ante paucos amos, in Britannia profertim, exortre, de Ideis Innatis, quas dicere pofis ésuqutos Évucidso Quamvis enim nulla fint, fadurate loquamur, notiones à notura arimis noffris infixa; attanen remo negarit ita efo focultates Animormm noftrorum naturis adfectas, ut quam primum ratione uti incipimus, Verum ì Falfo, Malum is

    Bono

[^91]:    * Hor. Lib. i. Ep. 10. ver. 24 .
    + VOL. II. fiag. 92, 93, \&c. and 131, \&.c. and pag. 307, \& Cc

[^92]:    * $\Sigma$ togen; for which we have no particular Name in our Language.

[^93]:    * Viz. The INQUIRY concerning Virtue, VOL.II.

[^94]:    * TOI. II. pag. $72,-3,8 \mathrm{Ec}$,

[^95]:    * VOL. I. tag. 63.

[^96]:    * Viz. Treatife III. VOI. I.

[^97]:    * Supra, pag. 153, 154 . in the Notes.
    + VOL. I. pag. $53,54$.
    $\pm$ Viz. Letter of Enthufiaim, VOL.I. And above, MISC. II. chap. 1, 2.

[^98]:    * Virg. 厄n. lib. iii. 444.

[^99]:    * Libri tres in Sacrarium conditi, Sibyllini appellait. Ad eos quajk ad Oraculum Quindecimeviri adeunt, cum D:i immortales publicè corifullerdi funt. Aul. Gell. lib. i. c. 19. \& Plin. Iib. xiii. c. r3. But of this firt Sibylline Scripture, and of other canoniz'd books and additional Sacred ITrit among the Romans; fee what Dionysius Halicarnasseus cites (from Varro's Roman Tupeclogicks) in his Hifory, Sib. iv. c. 62.

[^100]:    * John, chap. v. ver. 3 .

[^101]:    * Aratus, Actsch.xvii. ver. 28. And EprmeniDEs, Titus ch.i. ver.12. Even one of their own PROPHETS. For fo the holy Apoftle deign'd to fpeak of a Heathen Poet, a Pby fologift, and Divine: who prophefy'd of Events, wrought Miracles, and was receiv'd as an infpir ${ }^{\circ} d$ Writer, and Author of Revelations, in the chief City's and States of Greece.
    $\dagger$ Menander, 1 Cor.ch. xv. ver. 33.

[^102]:    * Even in the fixtb Century, the fam'd Gregorius Bifhop of ROME, who is fo highly celebrated for having planted the Chritian Religion, by his Miffionary Monks, in our Euglifh Nation of Heathen Saxons, was fo far from being a Cultivater or Supporter of Arts or Letters, that he carry'd on a kind of general Maflacre upon every Product of human Wit. His own Words in a Letter to one of the Frencls Bifhops, a Man of the highelt Confideration and Merit, (as a noted modern Critick, and fairical Genius of that Nation acknowledges) are as follow. Pervenit ad nos quod fine verecundiat memorare non poftums, fraternitatem tuam GR AMMATICAM quibufdam exponere. Quam rem ita nolefte fuccepimus, ac fumus vebementius afpervati, ut ea que prius dicta fuerunt, in gcmitum $\sigma$ trifitian verteremus, quia in uno fe ore cum Jovis laudibus CHB is II laudes nonr capiunt. * * * * * Unde fir poft boc evirdenier ea quee ad nos perlata funt, falfa effe clarnerint, nee ะOS NUGIS छ$~ S E C U L A R I B U S$ LITERIS fudere contigerit, Deo noftro gratias agimus, qui cor veftrum maculari blappbemis nefandorum laudibus uon permifit. GneGorim Opera, Epift. 48. lib. ix. Parif. Ann. 1533. And in his Dedication, or firt Preface to his Morals, after fome very infipid Rbctorick, and fourative Dialect imploy'd a-, gainft the Study and Art of Speech, he has another Fling at the Claffick Authors and Difcipline ; betraying his inveterate Hatred to antient Learning, as well as the natural Effect of this Zealot-Pafion, in his own Barbarity both of Style and Manners. His words are, Unde E ipfam artcm loquendi, quam Masifieria Difcipline exterioris infinuant, fervare deppexi. Nam ficut bryus quoque Epifole tenor enunciat, non Metacifmi collifonem fugio: non Barbarifmi confufionem devito, fitus motufque prapofitionum cafufque fervare contemno:

[^103]:    ＊So Luke，chap．i．ver．1，2，3，4．＂（1）For as ＂much as MANY have taken in hand to fet forth，in order， ＂a Declaration（Expoffition or Narrative，$\Delta$ bifinov）of thofe ＂things which are moft furely believ＇d among（or were ＂fulfill＇d in，or among）us；（2）Even as they deliven＇d them ＂unto us，which from the beginning were Eye－witneffes ＂ and Minifters of the Word：（3）It feem＇d good to ME ＂alfo，having had perfect underftanding of all things from ＂the very firtt，（or baving look＇d back，and fearib＇d acch－ ＂rately into all Matters from the beginning，or bighof time，
     ＂Thee in order，moft excellent Theophilus，（4）That ＂Thou mighteft know the Certainty（or Validity，found ＂Difcuffon，dंspóricesev）of thofe things wherein THOU
     Whether the words สธธ Verfe，fhou＇d be render＇d belier＇d among，or fulfill＇d in，or among us，may depend on the different reading of the Ori－ ginal．For in fome Copys，the $\xi_{v}$ next following is left out． However，the exact Interpreters or verbal Tranflators render it fulfill d，Vid．Ar．Montan．Edit．Plantin， 1584 ．In Ver． 4. the word CERTAINTY，$\dot{\alpha} \sigma p \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \in \sin$ ，is interpreted $\dot{\alpha} x e^{\prime}-$ Gerav，Validity，Soundnefs，good Foundation，from the Senfe of the preceding Verfe．See the late Edition of our learned Dr．Mili，ex recenfone Kusteri，Rot．i7io．For the word Catechizd，凶纠入insus，（the laft of the fourth Verfe） Rob．Constantine has this Explanation of it： ＂Prifcis Theologis apud Efyptios mos erat，ut Myi－ ＂teria voce tantium，veluti per manus，poferis relinquerent． ＂Apud Cbrifianos，qui Baptijmatis erant candidati，iis， ＂vvivâ voce，tradebantur fidei Cbriffiana Myyteria，fine fcrip－ ＂tis：quod Paulus Eo Lucas yetnueriv rocant．Unde ＂qui docebantur，Catechumeni rocabantur＂；qui docebant， ＂Catechifte．＂

[^104]:    * Deut. ch. xxxiv. ver. 5, 6, 7, E*~.

[^105]:     him, lib. xi. See VOL. I. pag. $255^{\circ}$

    > † Et te fonantenn plenius aurco, A LCEE, plectro dura navis,
    > Dura fugg mala, dura belli.
    > Horat. Lib. ii. Od. xiii. ver. 26.

    > \$ Barbite, carmen.
    > Lesbio primùm modulate Civi; Qui ferox bello, E'c.

    Horat. Lib, i. Od. xxxii. ver. ${ }^{2}$.

[^106]:    * Horat. de Arte Pcet. ver. 304.
    + Tacit. Annal. lib. xiv. cap. 9.

[^107]:    * Viz. In his Adruice to Authors, Treatife III. V OL. I.

[^108]:    * V OL. I. pag. 217 , Sx. $223,259,275,276$.

[^109]:    * V OL. I. pag. 270, \&rc.

[^110]:    * VOL. I. par. 269, scc.

[^111]:    * Horat. Lib.ii. Epift. i. ver. 165 .
    $\dagger$ Ibid. $\ddagger$ Ars Poet.

[^112]:    ${ }^{*}$ Viz. Supra, MISC. I. chap. z.

[^113]:    * Juven. Sat. ii. ver. 47 .

[^114]:    * To fee the Incorrigiblenefs of our Poets in their pedantick Manner, their Vanity, Defiance of Criticifm, their Rhodomontade, and poetical Bravado; we nëed only turn to our famous Poet-Laureat (the reyy Mr. Bays himfelf) in one of his lateft and moft valu'd Pieces, writ many years

[^115]:    Vol. 3.
    T
    "SIR!

[^116]:    * Viz. Plato, Aristotle. See, in particular, the Phadrus of the former; where an entire Piece of the Orator Lysias is criticiz'd in form.
    
    

[^117]:    * The Rebearfal. See VOL.I. pag. 259. and juft above, pag. 277. in the Notes.

[^118]:    * Viz. VOL. I. pag. 207, 208. and 277, 278. and 336, Esc. So above, pag.260. and in the Notes.
    $\dagger$ Horat. de Arte Poet. ver. $\jmath^{1} 2, \varepsilon^{\circ} c$.

[^119]:    * Hor. Ats Poet. ver. 376.

[^120]:    * Supra, p.135, 189.

[^121]:    ${ }^{*}$ V OL. I. pag. 193, \&c. and pag. 257.
    $\dagger$ That he is confious of this, we may gather from that Line or two of Advertifement, which ftands at the beginning of his firf Edition. "As for the CharaCters, and Incidents, " they are neither wholly feign'd (fays be) nor wholly true : " but according to the Liberty allow'd in the way of DIA" LOGUE, the principal Matters are founded upon 'Truth; " and the reft as near refembling as may be. 'Tis a Sceptick " recites: and the Hiero of the Piece paffes for an Entbufaraf. "If a perfect Character be wanting; 'tis the fame Cafe here, " as with the Poets in fome of their bef Pieces. And this " furely is a fufficient Warrant for the Author of a PHILO"SOPHICAL.

[^122]:    *VOL. I. pag. 202, \&c.

[^123]:    * VOL. II. pag. 187, 188.

[^124]:    * See V O L. I. pag. 193, \&ic.

[^125]:    * VOL. II. pag: 256. And below, pag. 310.
    + VOL. I. pag. 38.
    $\ddagger$ VOL. II. pag. 252,432,

[^126]:    *VOL. I. pag. 848.

[^127]:    * Hora. Epode. xi. vel. 16.

[^128]:    * V OL. II. pag. $2 j 6$.

[^129]:    * Hunccine an bunc fequeris? Subeas alterrus oportet

    Ancipititi obfequio Dominos.——Perf. Sat. v. ver. $155^{\circ}$
    See VOL. 1. pag. 285, 309, 323, \&c.
    $\dagger$ Magne Pater divùm, feros punire Tyrannos
    Haud alia ratione velis, cùm dira libido
    Moverit ingenium ferventi tineta remeno, Virtutem videant, intabef cantque relicàa.

    $$
    \text { Peri. Sat. iii. ver. } 35 \text {, }
    $$

    
    

    $$
    x_{3}
    $$

[^130]:    * Viz. The Moralists, or Pbilofophick Dialogue, recited in the Perfon of a Sceptick, under the name of Philocifs. See Treatife V. V OL. II. pag. 206, 207, \&cc.

[^131]:    * VOL. I. tag. 146, 147 .

[^132]:    * V OL. II. paz. 321, 2, 3, 4, \&c.

[^133]:    * The pious and learned Bibop Taylor, in his Treavife on the Liberty of Propbefying, printed in his Collection of Polemical and Moral Difcouries, Anno 1657. The Pages anfwering to the Places above-cited are 401,402 , (and in the Epiftle-Dedicatory, three or four Leaves before) 438,439 $-444,451,452$. After which, in the fucceeding Page, he fums up his Senfe on this Subject of facred Literature, and the Liberty of Criticifin, and of private Judgment and Opinion in there Matters, in the following words: "Since there " are fo many Copys, with infinite Varietys of Reading; "f fince a various Interpunction, a Parenthefis, a Letter, an "Accent may much alter the Senfe ; fince fome Places have *6 divers literal Senfes, many have fpiritual, myftical, and al" legorical Meanings; fince there are fo many Tropes, Me"tonymys, Ironys, Hyperboles, Proprietys and Improprie"tys of Language, whofe underftanding depends upon fuch "Circumitances, that it is almoft impoffible to know the ${ }^{* 6}$ proper Interpretation, now that the knowledg of fuch Cir${ }^{6}$ curnftances and particular Storys is irrecoverably loft : fince "there are fome Mylterys, which at the beft Advantage of "E Exprefion, are not eafy to be apprehended, and whofe © Explication, by reafon of our Imperfections, mult needs ss be dark, fometimes weak, fometimes unintelligible: And. "c laftly, fince thofe ordinary means of expounding Scrip"s ture, as fearching the Originals, Conference of Places, Pa-

[^134]:    * Viz. Archbifhop Tillotson in his Rule of Faith, pas. 677.

[^135]:    * His Grace fubjoins immediately: "The Traditionary " Church now, receives the Epifle to the Hebrerws as Canoni"cal. I ask, Do they receive it as ever deliver'd for fuch ? "That they muft, if they receive it from Oral Tradition, " which conveys things to them under this Notion as ever " deliver'd ; and yet St. Hierom (fpeaking not as a Speculco" tor, but a Tefififer) fays exprelly of it, That the Cuffom of "the Latin Cburch dotb not receive it among the Canonical "Scriptures. What faith Mr. S.... to this? It is clear " from this Teltimony, that the Roman Churcii in St. Hie" rom's time did not acknowledg this Epifle for Canonical; " and 'tis as plain, that the prefent Roman Church doth re" ceive it for Canonical."

[^136]:    * VOL. I. pag. 362.

[^137]:    * Gondibert, Book ii. Canto r.

[^138]:    Potiores
    Herculis ærumnas credat, fævoíque Labores,
    Et Venere, \& conis, \& plumâ Sardanapali. Juv. Sat. 10。

[^139]:    * If the fame Queftion concerning the inftantaneous Action, or prefent Moment of Time, were apply'd to many famous hiftorical Paintings much admir'd in the World, they wou'd be found very defective: as we may learn by the Inftance of that fingle Subject of ACTEON, one of the commoneft in Painting. Hardly is there any where feen a Defign of this poetical Hiftory, without a ridiculous Anticipation of the Metamorpbofis. The Horns of ACTEON, which are the Effect of a Charm, fhou'd naturally wait the execution of that Act in which the Charm confifts. Till the Goddefs therefore has thrown her Caft, the Hero's Perfons fuffers not any Change. Even while the Water flies, his Forehead is fill found. But in the ufual Defign we fee it otherwife. The Horns are already fprouted, if not full grown: and the Goddeis is feen watering the Sprouts.

[^140]:    * Parazonium.

[^141]:    * Herat. Lib. iii. Od. ii. veer. $2 z$.
    + Idem ibid. Od. xxiv. ver. $44^{\circ}$

[^142]:    + As antient as the Poet Hesiod: subich appears by the following Verfes, cited by our Hiftorian, as the Foundation, or fiji Draught of this Herculean Tablature.
    
    
    
    
    
    

    Oper. \& Der. Lib. i. vert. 285.

[^143]:    * Horat. de Art. Poet. ver. 13 z .

[^144]:    * This is what Raphaei, himfelf has done, in his famous Defign of The Gudgment of Paris. But this Piece taving never been painted, but defign'd only for Maro Antonio's engraving, it comes not within our Cenfure; as appears by what is faid in the Paragraph juft preceding.

[^145]:    * This is urderflood of the Machine-Work, when it is merely ornamentel, and noi effential in the Piece; by making part of the Hifory, or Fable it-lelf.

[^146]:    * KajTEeía, 'E[regीtéd: They were defcrib'd as Siffers in the emblematick Moral Pbilofophy of the Antients. Whance that known Precept, 'Avé $\chi$ ' 号 'ATs' $\chi$ ", SUSTINE \& Abstine.
    † Castor, Poliux; all the Heroes of Homer: Alexander the Great, \&ic.

[^147]:    * Seg Vertuvius and Pliny.

[^148]:    * Carlo Marat was yet alive, at the time this Letter was written; but had been long fuper-annuated, and incapable of any confiderable Performance.

[^149]:    Vol. 3.
    D d
    fetting

